

A Note on Kiowa Linguistic Affiliations

Wick R. Miller

American Anthropologist, New Series, Vol. 61, No. 1. (Feb., 1959), pp. 102-105.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-7294%28195902%292%3A61%3A1%3C102%3AANOKLA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-V

American Anthropologist is currently published by American Anthropological Association.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/anthro.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

mainly at White River and San Carlos) so that my own material will, I hope, fill a gap in the historical data as well as give a picture of near recent developments.

CHARLES R. KAUT, University of Chicago

NOTES

¹ Concerning contemporary conditions, Goodwin (1937:403-04) wrote: "When a person is expected to die, he is attended by members of his clan and even of related clans, especially women. This is both an expression of sympathy and the fulfilment of a duty. A bereaved family invites all who will to join in a wake of one night's duration. Though guests include mere friends, relatives on the father's side, and the like, yet clan representation is notable, including not only those closely related by blood but others as well. In a few other death customs the clan is involved, but in these blood kinship is of equal importance."

² "Among the White Mountain Apache the small number of clans and the fact that they are definitely divided into four sets within which there is no variation in relations to other clans make the use of 'phratries' quite possible. But the clan systems in the other four groups are not so simple, for here clans may be related to other clans which in turn are not interrelated. Thus it is impossible to form all clans bearing relationships to one another into nonconflicting sets, as among the White Mountain Apache . . . " (Goodwin 1942:100).

REFERENCES CITED

BELLAH, ROBERT N.

- 1958 Review of The Western Apache Clan System. American Anthropologist 60:586-87. Goodwin, Grenville
 - 1937 The characteristics and function of clan in a Southern Athapascan Culture. American Anthropologist 39:394-407.
 - 1942 The social organization of the Western Apache. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
 - n.d. Field Notes in possession of Mrs. Grenville Goodwin (copy in the Department of Anthropology, University of Chicago).

KAUT, CHARLES R.

- 1956 Western Apache clan and phratry organization. American Anthropologist 58:140–46
- 1957 The Western Apache clan system: its origins and development. University of New Mexico Publications in Anthropology, No. 9. Albuquerque, University of New Mexico Press.

A NOTE ON KIOWA LINGUISTIC AFFILIATIONS

In 1910 J. P. Harrington postulated the relationship between Kiowa and Tanoan. Since that time the matter has rested, although some linguists and anthropologists, notably Sapir (1929), Whorf and Trager (1937) and Trager (1946), have accepted the relationship. Others are more cautious and regard the question as being still open (Newman 1954:630; Hoijer 1946:23). The list of possible cognates given by Harrington is suggestive, but not entirely convincing. The material available to him in 1910 was poorly recorded, and many of his sets are untenable. Unfortunately Harrington did not attempt to set up sound correspondences.

It is strange that for almost 50 years there has been little further work on this problem. Harrington (1928) has listed possible Tanoan cognates, mostly Tewa, in his Kiowa vocabulary, but this has received little attention. One reason little work has been done on this problem is that we still lack material. There is little modern descriptive material for either Kiowa or the Tanoan languages. There is no modern dictionary or word list for Kiowa, and no dictionary or word list at all for any of the Tanoan languages. No detailed comparative work can be done without grammars and dictionaries. However, there is enough material available to make a preliminary statement, and the purpose of this note is to make such a statement.

We have compared the 102 forms of Aztec-Tanoan from Whorf and Trager (1937) with Harrington's Kiowa vocabulary (1928). The number of forms compared is in reality less than 102, since several forms given by Whorf and Trager are dubious at best (e.g. number 6, 16, 25, 40, 46, 55 and a few others). The AT (Aztec-Tanoan) form is listed with the number assigned by Whorf and Trager. Where possible, we also list the T (Taos) form. The K (Kiowa) forms have been changed along the lines indicated by Crowell (1949) and Sivertsen (1956). The resulting orthography cannot be considered phonemic, but only an approximation of a phonemic transcription. Unfortunately, tone and stress are not indicated in either the Kiowa or Taos material.

```
beat AT *x w uva to whip, beat (60); T x w on a beat, x w on-tu a whip; K go-p,
guo- to hit, whip.
   blow AT *p'u (45); T p'uci; K p'o-.
   boil (verb) AT *lə?a (77); K sɔ-n-, -sɔ-.
   breathe AT *hula, *hul (15); T hola; K ho-breath.
   brother AT *pa older brother (38); T popo-; K pa bia.
   buffalo AT *ko?u (16); T kon-; K ka-l.
   deer AT *ťuna antelope (94); *ťa-p- deer, antelope.
   dog AT *ciyu (71); K cey-.
   drag AT *kw'ela, *kw'el dragging, tail (26); T xwe- tail; K k'ue- drag.
   fingernail AT *cə (1); T -ce-; K -co.
   fire AT *p'a (86); K p'ia.
   grass AT *li flower, grass (30); T li-; K sõ-.
   hair AT *p'o, *p'oho (44); T p'o-; K p'o- body hair.
   hand AT *ma (33); T man-; K m5-.
   hit AT *to strike (93); K to-, to- by hitting (verbal prefix).
   I \text{ AT *ne}?a, *ne? (37); T nã; K nɔ̃:.
   ice AT *ton*i winter (90); K te-, ten-.
   man (1) AT *θəho man, person (53); T səo-nena; K t'a·l- boy.
   man (2) AT *tanwa father, man (89); K ta-l father.
   mountain AT *piya (85); K p'ia- hill.
   neck AT *kowo, *kowora (19); T koo-; K kol-.
   speak AT *tüŋwa, *tüŋw (48); T tũ; K tõ-.
   three AT *pahi, *pahi-wa (39); T poyuo; K p'ã. Po.
  tie AT *p'ala wrap, tie (87), K p'ã to be tied.
   water (1) AT *θuŋw (54); T sũ drink; K t'ō-m drink.
```

```
water (2) AT *pa (41); T po-; K po river, poo-se to wash. wolf AT *k a wild canine (23); T kol-; K kue. you AT *peme, *en *e, *en * (66); T e; K pam.
```

The above evidence for this relationship is certainly not overwhelming. However, the case is much stronger than a mere list of 28 possible sets might indicate. First of all, fewer than 100 Aztec-Tanoan forms were examined. Second, sound correspondences can be found for the initial consonants, and vowel nasalization matches fairly well between Kiowa and Tanoan. There are eight examples in which a labial stop of Aztec-Tanoan is matched by a labial stop of Kiowa: blow, brother, fire, hair, mountain, three, tie and water (2). Dental stop equals dental stop in five sets: deer, hit, ice, man (2) and speak. Velar stops correspond in only two examples: buffalo and neck. We find two examples of Aztec-Tanoan labiovelar stops and Kiowa velar stops plus u: drag and wolf. Affricates correspond in dog and fingernail. There are two sets with Aztec-Tanoan *0 (Uto-Aztecan *t, *c, Proto-Tanoan and Taos s), Kiowa t', namely man (1) and water (1); and two sets with Aztec-Tanoan *l (Uto-Aztecan *s, Proto-Tanoan and Taos l), Kiowa s, boil and grass.

Glottalization, aspiration, and nonaspiration match in almost all these sets. Hence the three sets where these features do not match (fingernail, mountain and three) are possibly not cognate. However, only the Tanoan languages and Kiowa have the three-way contrast between glottalized, aspirated, and plain voiceless stops; Uto-Aztecan has only one series of stops. The three series of stops are reconstructed for Aztec-Tanoan on the evidence found only in the Tanoan languages. This may suggest that Kiowa and Tanoan are more closely related to each other than either is to Uto-Aztecan, but it would be unwise to jump to this conclusion until more detailed comparisons are made.

It is interesting that only one Kiowa form, beat, has a voiced stop. Tanoan, and also Aztec-Tanoan as reconstructed by Whorf and Trager, have voiced stops. The evidence for Aztec-Tanoan is again based solely on Tanoan, since Uto-Aztecan languages have no voiced stops, except as a secondary development in a few languages.

Enough evidence has been presented to show that Kiowa is very likely related to Tanoan and Aztec-Tanoan. However, more evidence is needed for final verification.

It will aid the ethnologist in reconstructing the prehistory of western America if it can be shown that Kiowa has wider affiliations. A more important question to the ethnologist (assuming for the present the relationship is valid) is the matter of internal relationships. Is Tanoan more closely related to Uto-Aztecan or to Kiowa, or are the three equally distant from each other? Harrington (1910; 1928:1) and Sapir (1929) assumed that Tanoan and Kiowa formed a unit, whereas Whorf and Trager (1937:609–610) imply that Kiowa is more distantly related to Uto-Aztecan and Tanoan. Questions of internal relationship can not be answered until comparative work, both phonological and grammatical, has been done. As a corollary to such work, it will also be

possible to spot and trace loanwords between the Indian languages of the area. Information of this sort will be of immense value to the ethnologist, because it will show which groups were in contact in pre-Columbian times, and will often show the direction of linguistic and, in turn, cultural borrowing.

WICK R. MILLER, University of California, Berkeley

REFERENCES CITED

CROWELL, EDITH E.

1949 A preliminary report on Kiowa structure. International Journal of American Linguistics 15:163-67.

HARRINGTON, J. P.

1910 On phonetic and lexical resemblances between Kiowa and Tanoan. American Anthropologist 12:119-23.

1928 Vocabulary of the Kiowa language. Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 84. Holjer, Harry

1946 Introduction. In Linguistic structures of native America, by Harry Hoijer and others. Viking Fund Publications in Anthropology, No. 6. New York.

NEWMAN, STANLEY S.

1954 American Indian linguistics in the Southwest. American Anthropologist 56:626–34.

SAPIR, EDWARD

1929 Central and North American languages. Encyclopaedia Britannica (14th ed.) 5:138-41.

SIVERTSEN, EVA

1956 Pitch problems in Kiowa. International Journal of American Linguistics 22:117-30.

TRAGER, G. L.

1946 An outline of Taos grammar. In Linguistic structures of native America, by Harry Hoijer and others. Viking Fund Publications in Anthropology, No. 6. New York. Whorf, B. L. and G. L. Trager

1937 The relationship of Uto-Aztecan and Tanoan. American Anthropologist 39:609-24.

TABOO EXPRESSIONS IN ETHIOPIA

Taboo expressions are used in all languages, and usually lie in the realm of religion, parts of the body, sexual activities, diseases, the animal world, and in other domains according to the culture of the linguistic community. Sociologists, psychologists, and linguists have dealt with the underlying principles of taboo expressions. The present note discusses various taboo expressions used by the Ethiopians, and particularly by the Gurage. I collected some of these in Ethiopia, while others were given to me in Los Angeles by my student Habte Mariam-Marcos, a Gurage of the Ennemor group.

RELIGIOUS LIFE

Among the pagan Gurages the girls, beginning at the age of seven, are organized into the society of the $m^w \partial y \ddot{a}t$. Special ceremonies are performed in connection with this initiation. All the $m^w \partial y \ddot{a}t$, together with the chief of the $m^w \partial y \ddot{a}t$, go to the girl's house on initiation day, throw her onto the roof of the house three times, and then bring her back into the house. Several times during