

The Old Hittite Legal Idiom šuwaye- with the Allative

Harry A. Hoffner, Jr.

Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 102, No. 3. (Jul. - Oct., 1982), pp. 507-509.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0003-0279%28198207%2F10%29102%3A3%3C507%3ATOHLIS%3E2.0.CO%3B2-V

Journal of the American Oriental Society is currently published by American Oriental Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/aos.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

BRIEF COMMUNICATIONS

The Old Hittite Legal Idiom suwaye- with the Allative

The current translations of KBO 3.1 ii 50ff. from the Old Hittite Telepinu Proclamation as "he (the king) risks his royal head" or "he (the king) gives security with the king's head" cannot stand. The form of "head" is not ablative <code>har-a\security-a\security-a\security-a\text{-}na-\security-a\security-a\text{-}na-\security-a\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>har-a\security-s\security-a-na-\security-a\security-a\text{-}na-\security-a\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>har-a\security-s\security-a-na-\security-a\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>har-a\security-s\security-a-na-\security-a\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>har-a\security-s\security-a-na-\security-a\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>parna-\security-a-\security-a-na-\security-a-\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>parna-\security-a-\security-a-na-\security-a-\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>parna-\security-a-\security-a-\security-a-na-\security-a-\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>parna-\security-a-\security-a-\security-a-\security-a-na-\security-a-\text{-}" with/by (the king's head," but allative <code>parna-\security-s\security-a-na-\security-a-\security-a-na-\security-a-\security-a-na-\security-a-\s</code></code></code></code></code></code></code></code></code></code>

In a forthcoming Orientalia article¹ H. G. Güterbock offers additional evidence to support the interpretation of the clause parnassea suwai(e)zzi in the Hittite Laws as "He (the injured party) shall 'look into' (= have recourse to) the estate (of the offender) for it (the damages or compensation)." Following R. Haase, Güterbock argues that the Hittite idiom is synonymous with a usage of the verb dagālum in the Old Assyrian commercial documents from Cappadocia. He suggests that the Assyrian idiom may have been derived from the Hittite in a pre-Old Hittite form, rather than vice versa.

F. Starke and F. Josephson have discussed the various spellings of the verbs šuwai- and šuwaye-, carefully distinguishing the examples by date.³ But to date no one has noticed that šuwaye- is construed with the allative in all examples of this legal idiom.

In this connection KBo 3.1 11 50-52, a passage from paragraph 31 of the Telepinu Proclamation, becomes relevant. Josephson⁴ has correctly observed that the verb šu-wa-a-i-e-ez-zi in line 51 exhibits the same spelling as the verb in the parnaššea šuwayezzi formula in Hittite Laws 13 and 19b, and that, since this passage also contains a technical legal expression, there "can be no doubt" that the verb is the same. Josephson did not claim that the spelling šu-wa-a-i-e-ez-zi of KBo 3.1 ii 51 and laws 13 and 19b is attested in Old Script. In fact, an examination of the two passages in the laws shows

But there is still another vital similarity between KBo 3.1 ii 51 (Telepinu Proclamation) and the parnaššea šuwayezzi clauses in the laws. The verb in all cases is construed with the allative (noun case in -a). Josephson was kept from grasping the full significance of the Telepinu passage by a misreading of a crucial sign. In the earliest reading of the sign, published in 1918 as the first half (pages 1-40) of Keilschrifttexte aus Boghazköi, Heft II, but based on a copy made a year or two previously, H. H. Figulla copied the fifth sign in KBo 3.1 ii 51 as -a, clearly distinguishing the trace in his copy from -za. Four years later, in Die Boghazköi-Texte in Umschrift (1922), E. Forrer transliterated this sign as -za with hatching to indicate that the left half of the sign was damaged. Subsequent transliterations and translations of this line have employed Forrer's preference without, however, adequate statement of reasons. Forrer himself did not even indicate that he was correcting Figulla. Now in the light of the parnassea šuwayizzi clauses from the laws it would appear that Figulla's was the better reading. One should read the passage from the Telepinu Proclamation as follows:

> ku-iš šeš.meš-na NIN.meš-na iš-tar-na i-da-a-lu i-ia-zi nu LUGAL-wa-aš (51) har-aš-ša-na-a šu-wa-a-i-e-ez-zi nu tu-li-ia-an hal-zi-iš-ten ma-a-na-pa ut-tar-še-et paiz-zi (52) nu SAG.DU-na-az šar-ni-ik-du "Whatever (king) 'does evil' among (his) brothers and sisters, he

(the one who claims redress) shall 'look to' (= have

that in law 13 the Old Script copy A is broken away, and in law 19a A has its customary *šu-wa-i-iz-zi*. It is the New Script copy B which has *šu-wa-a-i-e-ez-zi* in law 13 and is partially broken, but probably had the same spelling in law 19b. Since, therefore, KBO 3.1 also is Old Hittite in New Script, the verb in question was written *šu-wa-i-iz-zi* in Old Script and could be written *šu-wa-a-i-e-ez-zi* in New Script modernizations.

¹ "Noch einmal die Formel parnaššea šuwaizzi", Festschrift Kammenhuber (forthcoming).

² BiOr 19 (1962) 118-122, and WO 11 (1980) 93-98.

³ F. Starke, Studien zu den Boğazköy-Texten Heft 23 (1977) 36f.; F. Josephson in E. Neu and W. Meid, Hethitisch und Indogermanisch (1979) 97f. with notes 50-55.

⁴ Op. cit., 98 and note 50.

recourse to) the person (lit. 'head') of the king (i.e., the offender in this case). Convoke the assembly! If/when his case goes against him, ^{4a} let him make compensation from/with (his) person (lit. 'head')" [KBo 3.1 ii 50-52, transliterated as Forrer, BoTU 23A ii 50-52 and edited by Sturtevant and Bechtel, A Hittie Chrestomathy (1935) 190f. with comment on p. 199.]

This section of KBo 3.1 has no preserved duplicate. Sturtevant and Bechtel interpreted the clause as "gives security with the king's head", 5 which assumes the correctness of Forrer's reading har-a\(\delta\)-\

The plene writing of the ending⁶ is paralleled by ki- $i\bar{s}$ -ra-a KBo 8.42 rev. 4 (os), ha-pa-a KUB 13.3. iii 29, 32 (pre-NH/Ns), ha- $a\bar{s}$ - $\bar{s}a$ -a KBo 17.36 i 4, ii 15 (os), ta-ak-na-a KBo 17.3 iii 8 (os), ta-a-ak-na-a Hittite law 169, q₄ iii 13 (os), $ta\bar{s}k$ -na-a passim in NH as well as earlier texts. For the plene writing in the locative sg. in -i compare ki- $i\bar{s}$ - $\bar{s}a$ -ri-i StBot 8 i 28, har- $\bar{s}a$ -ni-i KBo 3.28:17 (OH/Ns), and A- $\bar{s}A$ -ma-ri-ia-ni-i KBo 10,37 iii 21 (OH/Ns).

har- $a\bar{s}$ - $\bar{s}a$ -na-a KBo 3.1 ii 51 should have been included by Kammenhuber⁷ among the r/n stem nouns with case forms in -a.

KBo 3.1 ii 50-52 shares a number of similarities with the parna=§§e=a §uwai(e)zzi passages in the laws. In the laws the clause which immediately precedes this formula always has a different grammatical subject from that implied in the formula, which is the party having the legal claim. In the

Güterbock has suggested that it may be a very old idiom in Hittite. The similarity to the Old Assyrian idiom with dagālum is indeed striking. One cannot say at present which language borrowed the idiom from the other. Hitherto attention has been focused upon the collocation bītam... dagālum in the Assyrian phrase, probably because of the Hittite analogue with parna=šše=a. But the OAss examples in CAD D 22 also attest suḥārtum, amtum, kaspum and būlātum as objects of dagālum in this usage. The objects can therefore be house(hold), persons (in this case slaves), silver and livestock. One should not, therefore, be surprised to find another Hittite example in which the object is the person (lit. "head") of the king.

It is relevant to this employment of a phrase meaning literally "look to or at" in the extended meaning "have recourse to" or "accept in lieu of something else" to compare the usage of the Luwian verb :ma(m)manna- with the plural dative-locative in KUB 24.12 ii 28-33 and iii 4-7. In these passages the infernal deities are beseeched to accept the tarpalli-substitutes and various valuable gifts and to return vital capacities of the sacrificer Tudhaliya, which the infernal deities had been holding. F. Starke and D. Hawkins (Kadmos 19:123-148, esp. 146) have identified the Luwian verbs manā-and ma(m)manna- together with their hieroglyphic representatives LITUUS-na- and LITUUS-LITUUS-na- as verbs of looking or seeing. :ma(m)manna- takes its objects in the dative-locative case (kēdāš tarpalliuš arkammi=ya). The singular arkammi=ya shows the NH -i case, which replaced

Telepinu Proclamation passage "He who (i.e., whatever king)⁸ does evil among his brothers and sisters" describes the offence, which is immediately followed by a clause whose subject is the claimant: "he shall have recourse to (lit., shall look to) the person (lit., 'head') of the king." Both the p. š. clauses in the laws and the Telepinu Proclamation passage combine the verb šuwayezzi with the allative case. These similarities suggest strongly that we have before us the same legal idiom.

^{4a} Cf. Carruba, Or NS 33 (1964) 421, "wenn seine Sache zutrifft (d.h. er für schuldig befunden wird)."

⁵ Chrest. (1935) 199. "Gives security" as a translation of *Suwaye*- accords with Goetze's (ANET) "and he shall pledge his estate as security" for *parnasse(y)a suwai(e)zzi* in the laws. But Haase, Güterbock and I understand the subject of *s*. in the laws to be the claimant, not the offender. And I would similarly understand it in the Telepinu Proclamation.

^o Plene forms of the allative (-a) and locative singular (-i) are not given separate mention or discussion by Kammenhuber in Neu and Meid, *Hethitisch und Indogermanisch* (1979) 126f.

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ So correctly Sturtevant and Bechtel, *Chrest.* (1935) 191. Cf. ii 46: ku-i-ša LUGAL-uš.

the OH and MH -a case in most instances. With the verb :ma(m)anna- we have no OS or MS example. So far as we know, it is a NH idiom. But since "look to these substitutes and tribute, (and let... come back up to the sacrificer)" seems to imply "look with favor upon (gifts)" or "accept (substitutes) in lieu of" here, the parallelism with parnaššea šuwai(e)zzi and LUGAL-waš harššanā šuwayezzi is striking. For the claimant in the OH legal contexts looks upon the house of the offender or the head of the king as a source of reparations to be accepted in order to offset losses which he has incurred.

Once it has been recognized that KBO 3.1 ii 50ff. contains the same construction (§uwaye- plus the allative) as the parnaššea šuwayizzi clauses in the laws, one can use the former to clarify the latter. In KBO 3.1 the haršanā šuwaye-clause entitles the claimant to make claims for redress against the person of the king. If the case goes against the king in court before the pankuš, the king must make com-

pensation (§arnink-) from/with his person (har§anaz/SAG.DU-naz ablative). If this same procedure were followed in the cases described in the laws, the p. §. formula marked those instances in which the claimant might make a claim on the 'house' (estate) of the offender. If the offender's guilt has been duly established, he would have to make payment parnaz "from/with (his) house". That is, he must pay the settlement out of that to which the claimant has been allowed recourse in the parna/har§anā §uwaye- clause. So that, although it is nowhere stated explicitly in the laws, the analogy would suggest that the liability of the party who must make redress might have been expressed parnaz(§et) §arnikdu, just as in KBo 3.1 it was expressed SAG.DU-naz §arnikdu.

HARRY A. HOFFNER, JR.

THE ORIENTAL INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO