

Ugaritic pwt: A Term from the Early Canaanite Dyeing Industry

Author(s): Harry A. Hoffner, Jr.

Source: Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 87, No. 3, (Jul. - Sep., 1967), pp. 300-

303

Published by: American Oriental Society Stable URL: <a href="http://www.jstor.org/stable/597725">http://www.jstor.org/stable/597725</a>

Accessed: 25/06/2008 10:51

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=aos.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

more striking is the fact that even a word for which there are several  $Sh\bar{o}gakuhen$  characters may be represented by an entirely different character today. I give below an example of one such word,

together with one for which the modern character is found in Shinsen  $Jiky\bar{o}$ .

Douglas E. Mills

University of California, Berkeley

kasugai "clamp"  konoshiro "Chatoessus punctatus"	Ueda 鈴, 銭 魚冬	Shinsen Jikyō 銈, 鳅 鯯, 鬘, 奠祭, 奠冬
a.新住學為 b.山王 d.王 e.王	Glossary f· 煮, 金, 少 g· 志, 鱼,	月金,赤金,平所

Ugaritic pwt: A Term from the Early Canaanite Dyeing Industry

The Ugaritic noun pwt has attracted attention during recent years because of its possible bearing on the question of the origins of the ethnic terms "Punic" and "Phoenician." In published texts

the term is found only in PRU II, text 138 (= UT 1106:10) and PRU V, text 66 (= UT 2051:6). The first text records allocations of clothing and the second the "desiderata of a guild" (the  $y \not h m$ ).<sup>2</sup> In both texts pwt is found in the company of terms for materials of the dyeing industry: linen (pttm),<sup>3</sup> blue-purple (iqni),<sup>4</sup> glaze (spsg),<sup>5</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> It gives me great pleasure to express my indebtedness here to Professors William W. Hallo of Yale University and W. von Soden of Münster for the general guidance and specific information which they generously contributed to this short study. They are not to be held accountable for every viewpoint proposed herein, for the author has taken the liberty to disagree with his counselors at certain points.

¹ Aside from the purely lexical observations of Virolleaud (PRU III, p. 137), Gordon (UT, p. 467, entry no. 467 no. 2031), and Aistleitner (WUS, p. 254), the term has been subjected to study by M. Astour in Hellenosemitica (Leiden, 1965), p. 146. Astour proposes that pwt via the gentilic form  $p\hat{u}n\hat{i}$  is the source of both Latin Punicus and Greek  $\Phioinu\xi$  and  $\Phioinus$ . [Cf. also JNES 24 (1965) 348 f. Ed.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UT, p. 274 and 282-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> On the signification "linen" rather than "flax" for  $p\underline{t}tm$  see Dietrich & Loretz, WdO III (1966), 224 f.

<sup>4</sup> On the signification "dark, blue purple" (vs. phm bright, red purple") for iqnu see WdO III (1966), 220, 227 f., 231.

Not much can be added to the many observations on spsg (Ginsberg, BASOR 98, 21 ff.; Albright, BASOR 98, 24; Goetze, JCS 1, 1947, 311-15), including the latest ones by E. M. Good (JBL 77, 1958, 72-4), M. Lee (UT, p. 543), and W. W. Hallo (BiOr 20, 1963, 140, n. 66). The term has been restored in the passage from the royal

and "stones of the dyer" (abn srp). For this reason and because a cognate (fuwwatu(n) "dyer's madder") is available in Arabic, the UT glossary now defines pwt as "red, purple dye(?)." The Wörterbuch of Aistleitner-Eissfeldt, on the other hand, adopts Virolleaud's suggested rendering "bracelet." 8

As an occurrence of pwt outside of the Ugaritic texts, UT (following Virolleaud) lists pu-a-ti in EA 14 I 74, II 27,28. EA 14 is a list of presents from Amenophis IV to the Kassite ruler Burraburiaš II. A number of the gifts are provided with "native" (i.e., non-Babylonian or "western") appellatives, which could be either Egyptian, West Semitic, or possibly Hurrian. The term pu-a-ti (or bu-a-ti) is applied in the first two occurrences (II 27 and 28) to objects whose description is largely obscured by the lacuna in the text. In column I, line 74, however, it is clearly attached to šemīr qāti ša hurāṣi tamlû "a handbracelet trimmed/edged with gold." In short,

funerary ritual published by H. Otten (Heth. Totenrituale, Berlin: 1958, p. 32, line 32; pp. 58-9, line 7, and compare therewith VII 37: 10-11, in all of which passages zapzagai is placed on the scales of a balance). One should not conclude that the term is Indo-European simply because it occurs frequently in Hittite texts. Friedrich (HWb, 260) calls it a "Wanderwort." The same term also occurs in Akkadian texts as zabgû (CADZ, 8) and zabzabgû (CADZ, 10) and possibly survives in Arabic sifsiqutu(n) (cited in UT, p. 451). The formation of the word is not Indo-European in appearance (Kronasser, EHS, I, 114:4 and 117:4). If it designated a mineral substance mined in Anatolia or the rocky areas of North Syria, the name probably antedates the arrival of the Indo-Europeans and may even be Hattic or Hurrian. The semantic range of this term is broad. In Hittite texts it can refer to a mineral substance (twice bearing the NA, determinative: Bo 862 obv. 9, cited in Sommer & Ehelolf, Papanikri, II, 361, and IBoT III 148 rev. iii 9) listed with gold, silver, and precious stones, or to objects coated with this substance (XXIX 1 obv. ii 14; cf. ANET, 357, where it is translated "glassware"; note also Otten, Totenrituale, 32). The OT occurrence (Prov. 26:23) indicates a white glaze which coats an earthen vessel.

this passage should only be cited as extra-Ugaritic evidence for *pwt* if one is persuaded that *pwt* in Ugaritic texts is "bracelet," but not if one believes that it is rather a term for a dye or colored paste.

The elimination of EA 14 leaves only Arabic fuwwatu(n) and the Hebrew PN Puwwa (with the ethnicon ha- $P\hat{u}n\hat{i}$ ) as clear examples of early Semitic cognates to Ugaritic pwt.<sup>11</sup> Attested from a later period is the Hebrew noun  $p\bar{u}'\bar{a}$  "dyer's madder." But there is yet one more possible second millennium cuneiform term which is to be connected with Ugaritic pwt, not to be sure as a cognate, but as a loan.

The Boğazköy text KBo I 42 has been classified by Landsberger with those vocabularies which form a part of the lexical series Izi Bogh., Tablet A.<sup>13</sup> Schuster has shown 14 that the sequence of Sumerian entries in the  $IZI = i \bar{s} \bar{a} t u$  series is governed by the acrophonic principle. The entries consisting of simple DA occupy lines 31-38 (CADI 10d sub idu; the Akkad. column contains i-du,  $te_4-hu$ , iš-tu, and four additional entries in the lacuna). Line 39, whose Sumerian column contains the reduplicated DA.DA, begins the section of compound Sumerograms of which DA is the initial component. Lines 44 and 45 contain the last of the compound entries in DA (DA.Rf.AN.ŠI). Von Soden prefers to read these four signs as DA.Rf  $ili^{ii}$  "darium-sacrifice of the deity" (see AHw, 164, 607-8 sub dariu I and  $maqq\hat{u}$ ). The CAD (following Landsberger), on the contrary, interprets DA.RÍ.AN.ŠI as a variant of DA.RÍ.AN. ŠUB "to be careless, neglectful (of duty)"  $(CADE, 48 \text{ sub } eg\hat{u})$ . It reads the signs  $me-ku-\hat{u}$ of line 44 as  $m\bar{e}k\hat{u}$  "inactive, negligent (man)" (CADE, 48; AHw, 643a; MSL IV, 125). In line 45 the Akkadian rendering is written pa-da-nu (see pa-ta-nu in 49). This the CAD reads as  $b\acute{a}$ -ta-lu! "to be idle, inactive" (CADB, 174-6; AHw, 116b). The spelling of batālu with nu is an error which may have arisen during an earlier period when the final sign in the nom. sg., if written with the lum sign (Deimel/Labat #565), could have been read as lum or núm. When one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The correct Akkadian cognate to srp in this expression is  $sar\bar{a}pu$  B "to dye, steep" rather than  $sar\bar{a}pu$  A "to refine (metals)" (CADS, 102-5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Page 467, entry no. 2031.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Page 254, entry no. 2208.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> Ranke (apud Knudtzon, *Die El-Amarna Tafeln*, p. 1549) classifies *bu-a-ti* as Egyptian, as does T. O. Lambdin, *Orientalia* NS 22, 364; *CADB*, 298a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Transliteration: ḤAR ŠU<sup>\*</sup> šā GUŠKIN tam-lu. On the meaning of Sumerian HAR see W. W. Hallo, BiOr 20 (1963), 138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> M. Astour, Hellenosemitica, 146.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> R. J. Forbes, Studies in Anc. Technology, IV, 100, 106, 143 (n. 30).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> H. G. Güterbock apud E. Laroche, Catalogue des textes hittites, entry 561. For the interpretation of the Sumerian and (original) Akkadian readings of KBo I 42, I am largely indebted to Prof. Hallo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> H. S. Schuster, ZA 44 (1938), 267.

considers the Hittite column at this point, the conclusion is well nigh inescapable that the Hittite scribe misunderstood the forerunner. In me-ku-ú he probably saw  $megq\hat{u}$  (AHw, 607-8) "sacrifice" and rendered it with sippanduwar "to make an offering, libate." In the Akkadian pa-da/ta-nu, which he may well have read as pa-da/ta-lu!, he probably saw the verb patālu "to twist" 15 and rendered it with Hittite malkiyawar "to twist, spin." Beginning at line 44 the Mesopotamian forerunner inserts a section of entries with Sumerian ŠE.BE. DA. This violates the acrophonic principle governing the IZI =  $i\check{s}\bar{a}tu$  series, and must be explained on another principle, that of allied meaning. ŠE.BE.DA like DA.RÍ.AN.ŠI conveys the idea of idleness (and perhaps negligence). The entries in the Akkadian column should be interpreted from this point of view.  $Eg\hat{u}$  (e-gu<sub>5</sub>, line 47) was not intended by the forerunner to represent  $eg\hat{u}$  "antimony paste" (CADE, 47d-48a), much less  $ek\hat{u}/ik\hat{u}$  (CADI, 69; unit of surface measure), which Ungnad correctly perceived to have been the Hittite scribal editor's understanding (OLu 1923, col. 572; cited by Goetze, Tunnawi, 94), but rather the verb  $eg\hat{u}$  "to be careless, negligent" (CADE, 8-49).  $Bed\hat{u}$  ( $b\acute{e}$ -du- $\acute{u}$ , line 48) was not intended by the forerunner to represent  $ped\hat{u}$  "to release, send away," as possibly misunderstood by the Hittite editor (= Hitt. pišgatallaš; see Tunnawi, 95 and n. 377), nor even petû "to open (the grain on the threshing floor)," as proposed by Goetze (Tunnawi, 95), but rather the verb  $bed\hat{u}/bet\hat{u}$ , which von Soden (AHw, 117d) refrains from translating, and which the CAD defines as "to cheat(?)"  $(CADB, 215a \text{ sub } bet\hat{u})$ . The passage in VAB VI, 266:23 (at the close of an OB letter) reads: lā tebetti issūrātim šūbilaššu, "do not delay(??)! Have him bring the birds to me!" The meaning "to delay" is proposed (quite tentatively) on the basis that one expects warnings against delay at the end of such letters. That there are other terms for "to delay" does not rule out the attribution of such a meaning to betû. Furthermore, a possible cognate to  $bet\hat{u}$  exists in Arabic batu'a "to tarry, linger, delay, daily." If this interpretation is correct, it places  $bet\hat{u}$ squarely in the same general semantic range as the verb  $eg\hat{u}$  and batalu discussed above. One cannot be sure that the Sumerian column of line 50 con-

tained ŠE.BE.DA. If so, then ap-pu-tù may have been intended by the forerunner as apputtu, a term frequently used at the end of letters for somewhat the same purpose as the verbal expressions  $l\bar{a}$ tebetti and  $l\bar{a}$  teggi (see AHw, 60d). In the synonym list published and discussed by von Soden in ZA 43, pages 243, 273 f.  $apputtu = n\bar{a}pultu$  "reply (requested)" and lā teggî "do not be negligent!" Thus the signs in the Akkadian column of line 50 were not intended by the forerunner to be read as abbuttu (the hairstyle; CADA, 48-50; AHw, 5d; so Goetze in Tunnawi, 95) nor even (as was probably the interpretation of the Hittite editor) tubbu-tu (= Hitt. la-az-z[i-is] "good"; see Otten, AfO 16, 70). The proposed tubbūtu "goodness(?)" although probably close enough to satisfy the Hittite editor, cannot be satisfactorily connected with the verb tiābum "to be good" in any of its derivative formations. Accordingly, it can hardly have been the intended reading of the forerunner.

The only Akkadian entry in these lines at first glance resists this interpretation of ŠE.BE.DA is ši-in-du (line 46), for to my knowledge no Akkadian word exists which phonetically resembles šindu and conveys the notion of idleness, carelessness, or delay. The shape of the sign read in in ši-in-du is, however, a rare form at Boğazköy (see Forrer, BoTU I, sign no. 120 and Friedrich, HKL, II, no. 283, neither of whom attest this shape). Though within this very same tablet (and even in the Hittite column) there is a convincing example of this shape for in: hi-in-ga-ni-ya-wa-[ar] in obv. iii 13c, we may therefore reconstruct the original entry as ši-i'-tù "to neglect, sin." 15a

It seems clear enough that the Hittite editor had no understanding of the Sumerian column at all and could only supply approximate Hittite translations of what he regarded as the Akkadian words represented by the somewhat ambiguous orthography of the Akkadian column. In  $me-ku-\hat{u}$  (line 44) he saw  $meqq\hat{u}$  ("an offering"; = šippanduwar). In pa-da/ta-nu (lines 45, 49) he saw patalu "to twist" (= malkiyawar). In e-ku (line 47) he saw  $ik\hat{u}$  (the unit of surface measure) and rendered it IKU- $a\tilde{s}$ . In  $b\hat{e}-t\hat{u}-\hat{u}$  (line 48) he saw  $p\bar{e}d\hat{u}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Bezold, Babyl.-assyrisches Glossar, 231b; compare the root \*ptl in Hebrew, Aramaic, Arabic, Ethiopic, etc.

 $<sup>^{15</sup>a}$  Cf. nu-še-bi-da = la~i-še-et, OECT 6:23:15 f., quoted Deimel, šL 2:367:123c; le-qu-u-še-tu-ut-ni, Gössmann, Era-Epos I 77 (p. 95 as restored from Lambert, AfO 18:397 [Rm. 2:477]).

"he who releases, frees" and rendered it with pišgatallaš ("he who sends away").  $ap-pu-t\hat{u}$  (line 50) he apparently saw  $tub-bu-t\hat{u}$ and rendered it lazziš ("good"). The Akkadian entry of line 46 he read as šindu and connected with the *šindu* best known to him, the noun which (according to information generously contributed to me by Prof. von Soden) derives ultimately from the root \* $w \check{s} m$ . This  $\check{s} imtu$  (later  $\check{s} indu$ ) denotes a colored paint, salve, or paste (usually goldcolored), 16 and often (especially in Neo- and Late-Babylonian documents) 17 a brand or ownershipmark. From this latter meaning is derived the late verb šamātu "to designate with a mark." 18 It is probable that, when the Hittite editor entered pu-wa-at-ti-iš in the right-hand column, he was thinking of this *šindu*, which denoted a colored paint or paste. Puwattiš is not attested elsewhere in published texts.

But is puwattiš a native Hittite word, which was loaned into Ugaritic as pwt, or is it a Semitic word borrowed by the Hittites to denote a colored paste which they had become familiar with from the Semites? The presence of fuwwatu(n) in Arabic and the PN Puwwa in Hebrew incline one to the view that the term is native Semitic. In the light of other names applied by the ancients to madder, Semitic \*puwwatu could have meant "the red (one) "19 or simply "the root." 20 If puwattiš is Hittite, it might be connected etymologically with the primary verb puwai- "to crush(?)." 21 Related to the verb puwai- are the Luwian iterative verb puššai-, as well as the reduplicated pupušša-22 and the noun pupulli-" crushed, trampled down ruins." <sup>23</sup> It is not likely that the *putiš* which occurs in HT 3 obv. 4; VII 29 obv. 14; and XXXV 142 rev. iv 14, and which designates a small unit of dry measure employed for salt, is related. <sup>24</sup> The term *puwattiš*, if it is a native Hittite word, might then denote that which is crushed or ground, i. e., "powder, salve, paste."

In summary, we have attempted to demonstrate: (1) that Ugaritic pwt in both of its occurrences  $(UT\ 1106:10\ and\ 2051:5)$  designates a substance useful to persons (the yshm?) engaged in dyeing or tanning; (2) that the El Amarna word (probably Egyptian) b/pu-a-ti should not be connected with pwt unless one is convinced that the latter also designates a "bracelet"; and (3) that another linguistic relative of pwt, "Hittite" puwattiš, not only resembles it phonetically but was apparently used by the Hittite editor of IziBogh. A to render what he understood as Akkad. šindu "(colored) paste." The evidence of IziBogh. A does not warrant in itself the determination of the specific color of the paste. If pwt/puwattiš is red or red-purple, we can determine this only on the basis of the Semitic cognates. We have intentionally left open the question of the direction of the loan, since, although a suitable Hittite etymology of puwattiš is readily at hand in puwai-, one would certainly expect the loan to follow the opposite direction a priori in view of the acknowledged Canaanite superiority over the other peoples of the East Mediterranean in the technique of dyeing.

HARRY A. HOFFNER, JR.

Brandeis University
Waltham, Mass.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The information on which much of the discussion of *šindu/šimtu* is based was generously supplied to me by Prof. von Soden.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> E. Ebeling, Glossar zu den neubabylonischen Briefen, pp. 229 (sub šindu) and 227 (sub šamāṭu).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Ebeling, p. 227, and R. Labat, Manuel, 323b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> R. J. Forbes, op. cit., p. 143, n. 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Ibid., p. 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Goetze, JCS 1, 1947, 316 ff.; Güterbock, Or NS 25, 123 ff.

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  HWb Erg. 2 1961), 21 citing Laroche, RHA f. 63 (1958), 107. See also N. van Brock, RHA f. 75 (159, n. 9). The -šša- may be the Luwian iterative again.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> To the only occurrence of pupulli heretofore (Hittite law 173) may now be added with plausibility the broken lexical text passage KBo I 42 obv. iii 6: G°. BAL = te-lu = URU-as p[u-pu-ul-li]. Regardless of the Mesopotamian forerunner's intended meaning of te-lu (normalized  $t\bar{e}l\hat{u}$ ,  $tel\hat{u}$ , or tellu?), the Hittite editor apparently understood the entry as tellu/tillu "city ruins, tell." Hence, he rendered it in Hittite as "of a city the r[uins]."

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  HT 3 obv. 4 has now been read by Rosenkranz (ZANF 23, 238) as kut?-pu-te-iš and connected with Akkad. kutpû. For my objections to this see my review of Friedrich's third supplementary fascicle to HWb in JAOS 87.3, pp. 353-357 (below).