

The Song of Ullikummi Revised Text of the Hittite Version of a Hurrian Myth (Continued)

Author(s): Hans Gustav Güterbock

Source: Journal of Cuneiform Studies, Vol. 6, No. 1 (1952), pp. 8-42

Published by: The American Schools of Oriental Research

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1359160

Accessed: 27/08/2009 20:03

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=asor.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The American Schools of Oriental Research is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Journal of Cuneiform Studies.

THE SONG OF ULLIKUMMI REVISED TEXT OF THE HITTITE VERSION OF A HURRIAN MYTH

(Continued)

Hans Gustav Güterbock Oriental Institute, University of Chicago Chicago, Ill., U.S.A.

Transliteration

B₂ (position uncertain)¹
(Beginning broken)

1' [......] e[?]-e[s̄²]-zi [...

2' [nu] ^DU-aš EGIR-pa A-N[A ^DUTU me-mi-iš-ki-u-wa-an da-a-iš ku-wa-at-wa(?)]

3' Ū-UL e-ez-za-a[t-ti ...

4' ša-ne-ez-zi[(-) ^DUTU-uš(?) A-NA ^DU(?)]

5' [E]GIR-pa me-mi-i[š-ki-u-wa-an da-a-iš ...

6' [ar-h]a mar-k[i²-...

7' [.....]-ra-x[...
(Broken)

B (main text; variants in D col. IV)
(About 6 lines lost at the beginning)²

1' [......] x [x x]-e-da-ni me²-mi²-n[i²] x[...]³

Col. I

¹ Cf. Otten, MGK p. 18 n. 4.

² Tablet II of copy B not being the continuation of tablet I of copy A, and exact position of fragments B_2 and D_2 being unknown, the size of the lacuna between

end of A I and the extant part of B II remains undetermined

³ In XXXIII 87; for lines 2-28 see MGK pp. 18 ff.

Second Tablet

Col. I

B₂ (position uncertain)

A: no copy of second tablet preserved;

B = XXXIII 87 + 113 + MGK 12 + 14;

 $B_2 = MGK 13;$

Col. I

D = XXXIII 92 (col. IV of copy D of first tablet).

Transcription

B₂ (position uncertain) (Beginning broken; line 1 fragmentary) (2) [nu] StG.-as appa [Istanui memiskiwan dais] [kuwat-wa] (3) natta ezza[tti] [.....] (4) šanezzi[(-).....] [Istanus StG.-ni] (5) appa memi[skiwan dais] [.....] (6) [arh]a mark[i-....] (Broken) B (main text) (Beginning broken) (1) [..........k(w)]edani memin[i]

Translation

(Beginning broken; line 1 fragmentary) The Storm-God again to the Sun-God began to speak]:"[Why] eatest thou not [....?] [.....] pleasant [.....]" [The Sun-God to the Storm-God] again began to "[..... I] refu[se(d)"] (Broken) B (main text) (Beginning broken) about [".....] [wh]at (or: [th]at) matt[er....]"

```
2' [ke-e-wa(?) INIM.MEŠ(?) ma-a-an(?)] <sup>D</sup>U-aš IŠ-ME nu-wa-aš-ši kar-tim-mi-at-t[i]
3' [pi-ra-an x-x<sup>4</sup> ta-me-u]m-ma-ah-ta-at nu-wa <sup>D</sup>U-aš
4' [A-NA <sup>D</sup>UTU ŠA-ME-E EGIR-pa]<sup>5</sup> me-mi-iš-ki-u-wa-an da-a-iš
5' [...........] ša-ni<sup>6</sup>-iz-zi-iš-du nu-wa-za e-ez-za
6' [.........]x an-da ša-ne-ez-zi-iš-du nu-wa
7' [........]x(-) pi-ia e-ku-ma-wa<sup>7</sup> nu-wa-za ha-aš-ši-ik
8' [nu-wa-kán(?) ša-ra-a ti-i]a<sup>5</sup> nu-wa-kán ne-pi-ši ša-ra-a i-i[t]
9' [nu ke-e INIM.MEŠ ma-a-an(?)] iš-ta-ma-aš-ta <sup>D</sup>UTU ŠA-ME-E
10' [nu-uš-ši-kán(?) ZI-z]a(?)<sup>8</sup> an-da du-uš-kat-ta-at
11' [.........]x ša-ne-ez-zi-iš-ia [nu-za(?) e]-ez-za[-at-ta(?)]<sup>9</sup>
12' [.......]x ša-ni-iz-zi-e-eš-ta nu e[-ku]-ut-ta<sup>9</sup>
13' [nu-kán <sup>D</sup>UTU-uš(?) ša-ra]-a<sup>10</sup> ti-ia-at na-aš-kán ne-p[i-š]i ša-ra-a [pa-it]<sup>10</sup>
```

- 14' [A-NA $^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{UTU}]$ Š
A-ME[-E-ma E]GIR-an-da $^{\mathrm{11}}$ $^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{U}$ -a
š ZI-ni pí-ra-an
- 15' [ha-at]-ta-tar da-aš[-ki-iz-zi(?) nu]-uš-ma-aš-kán DU-aš Da-aš-mi-šu-uš-š[a]
- 16' $\check{\mathbf{S}}[\mathbf{U}-\mathbf{z}]a$ $ap-pa-an-da-at^{12}$ $n[a-at-\check{\mathbf{s}}a-an(?)]$ $I\check{\mathbf{S}}-T]U$ $\acute{\mathbf{E}}ku-un-tar-ra$
- 17' IŠ-TU É.DINGIR-LIM pa-ra-a [(ú-e-er) 13] DIŠTAR-iš-ma-kán AN-az
- 18' UR.SAG(?)-an-na-az¹⁴ ar-ha u[(-it)¹⁵ (nu-za $^{\mathrm{D}}I$)]ŠTAR-iš ZI-ni
- 19' EGIR-pa me-mi-iš-ki-iz-zi ku-wa-pi[(-wa-ra-at)] an-da-an¹⁶ pid-da-iš-kán-z[i]¹⁷
- 20' 2 LÚ.MEŠ AT-HU-TIM¹⁸ na-aš-kán w[a-al-li-w]a-al-li-ia-aš
- 21' ti-ia-at DIŠTAR-iš nu A-NA 2 [AT-HU-TI-Š]U(?) pi-ra-an ša-ra-a
 - ⁴ See commentary on Tbl. I A iv 39 f.
- ⁵ Restored from context; cf. Otten's translation, MGK p. 19.
 - ⁶ MGK 12 col. i begins here.
 - ⁷ Erasure. Cf. line 12 where eku- has no -za.
 - ⁸ For this restoration see commentary.
 - ⁹ For space see commentary.
 - 10 Restored from context.
- ¹¹ Restored according to context and space. XXXIII 113 i (1') begins here.
- ¹² Corresponds to $[\ldots a]p$ -pa-an-da-at D iv 4'. For the preceding part, D differs: $(1') \ldots (2') [\ldots]$ -ri-

- ia-la- $x[\dots?]$ (3') $[\dots]$ -i-i-k-an nu-ut-tar-n[u!-ir(?)].
- ¹³ [pa]-ra-a \acute{u} -e-er in D 5'. Space in B allows for 2-3 more signs. Could it be [NIN- $\check{S}U$]? D inserts: (6') [.....] i-ia-an-ni-ir.
- ¹⁴ D 7': [...]x-an-na-za; according to Otten's collation, there is no empty space between x and an.
- ¹⁵ \acute{u} -it in D 7', nu-za in D 8'; between them, D inserts: (8') [.....] a-u- \check{s} -ta.
 - ¹⁶ In D 9'.
 - ¹⁷ About middle of tablet B (Otten, MGK p. 18 n. 3).
 - ¹⁸ D 10' inserts: $[nu-wa-ra-at \ p]a-a-i-mi \ u-u \ h-hi$.

- ^a The particle of direct speech seems unjustified here; see commentary.
 - ^b The restorations are tentative; see commentary.
 - The restorations are tentative; see commentary.
 - d From about here to B 28, D offers the following text:

(2) [kēa uddar mān] StG.-as istamasta

nu[[-wa]]-si^a kartimmiyatti (3) [piran . . tame]ummahtat

nu[[-wa]]^a StG.-as (4) [nepisas Istanui appa] memiskiwan dais

- (5) [X-i-wa-kan zuwas]^b sanezzesdu nu-wa-za ezza
- (6) [X-i-ma-wa-kan mitgaimis wiyanas]^b anda sanezzesdu

nu-wa (7) [eku]^b

[ezza-wa-za nu-wa i]spiya^b

eku-ma-wa nu-wa-za hassik

(8) $[nu\text{-}wa\text{-}kan \ sara \ tiy]a$

nu-wa-kan nepisi sara it

- (9) [nu kē uddar mān] istamasta nepisas Istanus
- (10) [nu-si-kan istanz]a anda duskattat
- (11) [nu-kan zuwas X-i]^c sanezzesta [nu-za] ezza[tta]
- (12) [X-i-ma-kan anda mitgaimis wiyanas]^c sanezzesta

nu ekutta

(13) [nu-kan Istanus sar]a tiyat nas-kan nepisi sara [pait]

(14) nepis[as-ma Istanui] appanda StG.-as istanzani piran (15) ḥattatar das[kizzi]^d

[nu]-smas-kan StG.-as Tasmisus-a (16) kessaraz appantat

n[at-san] kuntarraz (17) karimnaz para uer [nekas-sis]^e IŠTAR-is-ma-kan nepisaz (18) hastaliyannaz arha uit

nu-za ISTAR-is istanzani (19) appa¹ memiskizzi kuwapi-war-at andan piddaiskanzi (20) 2 X-es nas-kan walliwalliyas (21) tiyat IŠTAR-is nu 2 [X-s]as piran sara (22) tiyat [When these words] the Storm-God heard, from anger his [.....] became altered.

And the Storm-God [to the Sun-God of Heaven again] began to speak:

"[On the table the bread]^b shall become pleasant!

Now eat!

In [the cup the sweet wine]^b shall become pleasant! Now [drink!]^b

[Eat and f]ill thyself,b

drink and satisfy thyself!

[Then ge]t [up],

and up to Heaven go!"

[When these words] he heard, the Sun-God of Heaven,

[(his) min]d within [him] rejoiced.

[The bread on the table]^c became pleasant, [and] he ate.

[In the cup the sweet wine]^c became pleasant, and he drank.

[And the Sun-God] got [u]p, and up to Heaven he [went].

After (the departure of) [the Sun-God] of Heaven the Storm-God unto his mind wisdom took.^d And the Storm-God and Tašmišu took each other by the hand,

and out of the *chamber*, the temple, they came. [His sister] Istar from Heaven with bravery came,

and Ištar to (her own) mind again spoke: "Where do they run, the two brothers?" And quickly she took a stand, Ištar, and before her two [brothers] she stood up.

(Note d, continued)

(D iv 1-2 traces only)

(3) [.....]-is-kan nuttar[nuer]

(4) [nu-smas-kan StG.-as Tasmisus-a kessaraz] appantat

(5) [nat-kan kuntarraz karimnaz] para uer

(6) [..... iyannir

(7) [IŠTAR-is-ma-kan nepisaz hastaliy]annaz arha uit

(8) [mān-ma-kan IŠTAR-is 2 X-us] austa

nu-za IŠTAR-is (9) [istanzani appa memiskiwan dais] kuwapi-war-at andan (10) [piddaiskanzi 2 X-es] [nu-war-at] paimi uhhi

IŠTAR-is-kan (11) [walliwalliyas tiyat]

[nu] X-si piran sara tiyat

[......].. [they] hurri[ed].

[And the Storm-God and Tasmisu] took [each other by the hand],

[and] out of [the chamber, the temple] they came.

[....] they traveled.

[Istar from Heaven] with [bravery] came.

[But when Istar the two brothers] saw,

Ištar [to (her own) mind again began to speak]:

"Where do [they run, the two brothers]?

I shall go (and) see [them]!"

Ištar [quickly took a stand],

[and] before her brother she stood up.

(16-18a fragmentary)

```
22' ti(!?)-i-ia-at^{19} nu-u\check{s}-ma-a\check{s}-k\acute{a}n^{20} \check{S}U-az ap-pa-an[-d]a-at
23' na-at-kán I-NA HUR.SAGHa-az-zi-ia ša-ra-a pa-a-ir21
24' nu-kán LUGAL URUKum-mi-ia IGI.HI.A-in ti-iš-ki-iz-zi
25' nu-wa-kán IGI.HI.A-in te-iš-ki-iz-zi :ša-pí-id-du-wa
26'^{NA_4}ku-un-ku-nu-uz-zi^{22} nu :ša-pi-id-du-wa-an ^{NA_4}ŠU.U-in
27' a-uš-ta<sup>23</sup> nu-uš-ši kar-tim-mi-ia-al-ti pí-ra-an x-x-x<sup>24</sup>
28' ta-me-um-me-i\check{s} - ta^{25}
29' [nu-za(?)] <sup>D</sup>U-aš da-ga-an e-ša-at nu-uš-ši-kán iš-ha-ah-ru
30' [pa-ra]-a PA<sub>5</sub>.HI.A-uš ma-a-an ar-ša-an-zi nu <sup>D</sup>U-aš IGI.HI.A-wa
31' [iš-h]a-ah-ru-wa-an-za me-mi-ia-an me-mi-iš-ki-iz-zi ku-iš-wa-ra-an
32' [nam-m]a uš-ki-iz-zi u-ni-ia-aš(?)<sup>26</sup> hal-lu-wa-in nu-wa ku-iš nam-ma
33' [za-a]h-hi-iš-ki-iz-zi nu-wa-ra-aš ku-iš nam-ma uš-ki-iz-zi
34' [u-ni(?)]-ia-a§²² na-ah-šar-ad-du-u§ nu² DIŠTAR-i§ A-NA DU
35' [EG]IR-pa me[-mi]-iš-ki-iz-zi ŠEŠ-IA ma-al-wa-za te-pu-ia
36' Ú-UL [ša-a]k-ki UR.SAG-tar-ma-aš-ši 10-pa pí-ia-an
37' [x] x [x x x^2]-ia^2-wa-a\check{s}-ma-a\check{s} ku-in DUMU-an ha-a\check{s}-\check{s}a-an-zi
38' [.....]a x x [x] ma-a-al U-UL \delta a-ak-ti
39' [.....] \times \times \times I-NA ŠĀ \acute{\mathbf{E}}^{?} \overset{\mathbf{D}}{\cdot} A-a e-šu-u-en
40' [..... L\tilde{\mathbf{U}}-i\check{\mathbf{s}} e-\check{\mathbf{s}}u-un^{29} [z]i-ik[-m]a-an-wa-za
41' [.....]x nu pa-a-i-mi
42' [....] x x[....]
    (Two lines destroyed; edge of tablet partly preserved)
  <sup>19</sup> For B 20 b to 22 a, D 10'-11' reads: DIŠTAR-iš-kán
                                                                 <sup>22</sup> For B 24-26a, D 20'f. reads:
(11') [walliwalliyas tiyat nu A-N]A ŠEŠ-ŠU pi-ra-an ša-
                                                                   (20') [.....]x \delta a-ap-p\hat{i}-id-du-wa
ra-a ti-ia-at. Otten informs me that the traces before
                                                                   (21') [NA4ku-un-ku-nu-uz-zi IGI.HI.A-in ti-iš-ki-iz-
\check{S}E\check{S} are [N]A, not [I]I. My restoration 2[ATHUTI-\check{S}]U
in B 21 and emendation ti- for u-i-ia-at in B 22 are based
                                                                 <sup>23</sup> For this phrase, D 21'b f. reads: ša-ku-iš-ki-iz-zi-ia-
upon this variant. After a horizontal line, D inserts:
                                                                        an-za-an
     (12') [^{\mathrm{D}}I\check{S}TAR-i\check{s} \quad A-NA \quad ^{\mathrm{D}}U \quad me-mi-i\check{s}-ki-u-wa-an
                                                                   (22') [\check{s}a-ap-pi-id-du-wa-an \quad ^{NA_4}ku-un-ku-nu-uz-z]i-
          d]a-a-iš ku-wa-pi-wa pit-te-eš-ki-ši
                                                                        in.
     (13') [.....] ku-iš-ša-aš
                                                                 <sup>24</sup> See commentary on tbl. I A iv 39 f. Traces of first
          KAS-aš na-an-mu te-et
                                                              sign in XXXIII 113 (i "14"), of last two (?) signs in
     (14') [.....] DU-aš
                                                              MGK 12. Last sign might be is as in XXXIII 107 +
          A-NA DIŠTAR EGIR-pa
                                                              MGK 17, 10.
     (15') [me-mi-i\check{s}-ki-u-wa-an\ da-a-i\check{s} . . . (?) ku-it(?)-i
                                                                 <sup>25</sup> Corresponding to D 23'. End of tablet in D; colo-
          w]a-mu DUTU-uš EGIR-pa me-mi-iš-ta
                                                               phon not preserved.
     (16') [.....] x x x x x
                                                                 <sup>26</sup> aš over erasure? Or erased? Cf. line 34.
     (17') [.....]-iz-zi
                                                                 <sup>27</sup> Restored according to 32; cf. preceding note.
     (18') [.....]
                                                                 <sup>28</sup> For lines 34b-41 see Otten, MGK p. 19.
  <sup>20</sup> [na-a]t-k\acute{a}n at end of D 18' seems to correspond.
                                                                 <sup>29</sup> XXXIII 113 breaks off.
  <sup>21</sup> Corresponding to D 19'.
(Note d, continued)
(12) [IŠTAR-is StG.-ni memiskiwan] dais
                                                              [Istar to the Storm-God] began [to speak]:
                                                               "Where runnest thou, [.....]?
kuwapi-wa pitteskisi (13) [.....]
                                                              [....?] what road is it? Tell it to me!
[....?] kwis-as X-as nan-mu tet
(14) [.....]
                                                              [......"]
                                                              [And] the Storm-God to Ištar again [began to speak]:
[nu] StG.-as IŠTAR-li appa (15) [memiskiwan dais]
[....? kwit-w]a-mu Istanus appa memista
                                                              ["....? what] the Sun-God again told me,
```

[..."] (rest of speech fragmentary)

nu-smas-kan kessaraz appantat (23) nat-kan Hazziya sara pair (24) nu-kan Kummiyas hassus menin¹ teskizzi (25) nu-wa-kan² menin teskizzi sapidduwa (26) kunkunuzzi nu sapidduwan kunkunuzzin (27) austa nu-si kartimmiyatti piran [] (28) tameummesta¹ (29) [nu-za] StGas dagan esat nu-si-kan ishahru (30) para amiyarus mān arsanzi nu StGas sakuwa (31) ishahruwanza memiyan memiskizzi kwis-war-an (32) namma uskizzi uniyas halluwain nu-wa kwis namma (33) zahhiskizzi nu-war-as kwis namma uskizzi (34) [uni]yas nah- saraddus nu IŠTAR-is StGni (35) appa memiskizzi X-mi mal-wa-za tepu-ya (36) natta sakki hastaliyatar-ma-si 10-pa piyan (37) []-ya-wa-smas kwin X-an hassanzi (38) [] mal natta sakti (39) [] man] Aas parni anda eswen (40) [man] X-is esun zik-man-wa-za (41) [] nu paimi (42) [] (Two lines lost)	And they took one another by the hand, and up to (mount) Hazzih they went. And the king of Kummiya (his) face set, yea, (his) face he set upon the dreadful kunkunuzzi! And the dreadful kunkunuzzi he saw, and from anger his [] became altered.\(^1\) [And] the Storm-God on the ground sat down, and his tears like streams flowed forth. The Storm-God, his eyes tearful, the word spoke: "Who will any longer endure it, this one's violence? And who will any longer fight? And who will any longer endure it, this one's fearfulness?" Ištar to the Storm-God again spoke: "O my brother! Neithernor little does he know, but bravery to him has been ten-fold given! And the son whom [] beget for themselves, []thou knowest not. [If]in Ea's house we were, [if] I were a man, thou wouldst [] I shall go (and) [
(Note d, continued) (18b) [n]at-kan (19) [kessaraz appantat] [nat-kan Ḥazziy]a sara pair (20) [] [nu-kan Kummiyas ḥassus] sappidduwa (21) [kunkunuzzi menin teskiz]zi sakwiskizzi-yan-zan (22) [sappidduwan kunkunuzz]in (23) [nu-si kartimmiyatti piran tameummes]ta	And they [took each other by the hand], [and] up to [(mount) Hazzi] they went. [] [And the king of Kummiya] upon the dreadful [kunkunuzzi his face se]t, and he saw him, [the dreadful kunkunuzz]i, [and from anger his became alter]ed.

(End of tablet in D, corresponding to B i 28).

- For restoration, see note 13 to translit.; for reading, see Goetze, AOr XVII 1 p. 290 with n. 12.
- 'Mistake for -si piran? See commentary on tbl. I A ii 9.
 - g Cf. Otten, MGK p. 11 n. 8.
- h Mons Casius in North Syria at the mouth of the river Orontes.
- ⁱ For reading and translation see commentary.
- ^k For -wa, cf. above lines 2 f., and see commentary.
- ¹ End of variant (note d); end of tablet in D.
- m Lit.: "see".
- $^{\rm n}$ Ea, the Babylonian god of wisdom and witcheraft, who lives in the $aps\hat{u}$, the subterranean sweet-water ocean.

```
Col. II (B = MGK 12 II only)
       (About 25 lines lost)<sup>30</sup>
 1' [..... GI\check{B}AL]AG.DI-ma^{31} gal-gal[-tu-u^{?}-ri]
 2' [..... ni^2]-ni-ik-ta nu-za-kán [i§-h]a-ma-in
 3' [.....] da-ga-an-zi-pa-aš-ša
 4' [.....] - zi
 5' nu-za<sup>32</sup> iš-ha-mi-iš-ki-iz-zi <sup>D</sup>IŠTAR-iš nu-za-kán ŠA A.AB.BA
 6' a-ku-un NĂ4pa-aš-ši-la-an-na an-da zi-ik-ki-iz-zi
 7' nu-kán a-ru-na-az ar-ha šal-li-iš hu-un-hu-eš-na[-aš]<sup>33</sup>
 8' GAL-iš hu-un-hu-eš-na-aš A-NA <sup>D</sup>IŠTAR me-mi-iš-ki-iz-zi
 9' ku-e-da-ni-wa-za me-na-ah-ha-an-da iš-ha-mi-iš-ki-ši
10' ku-e-da-ni-ma-wa-za me-na-ah-ha-an-da KA <math>\times U-iš IŠ-TU I[M^? \dots]^{34}
11' šu-un-ni-eš-ki-ši L\dot{\mathbf{U}}-iš-wa du-ud-du-ud-mi-ia-an-za nu-wa [\dot{\mathbf{U}}-UL]^{35}
12' iš-ta-ma-aš-z[i IG]I.HI.A-wa-ma-wa-ra-aš da-šu-wa-an-za
13' nu-wa U-UL a-u\dot{s}-zi nu-wa-a\dot{s}-\dot{s}i<sup>36</sup> ka-ri-ia-a\dot{s}-ha-a\dot{s} NU G[\dot{A}L]
14' ar-ha-wa i-ia-an-ni <sup>D</sup>IŠTAR nu-wa-za ŠEŠ-KA an-da [ú]-e-mi-ia
15' ku-it-ma-an-wa-ra-aš na-a-wi ha-aš-ta-li-iš-zi
16' ku-it-ma-an-wa-aš-ši ŠA SAG.DU GUL-GUL-LA-DU na-a-wi
17' ha-tu-ki-iš - zi
18' nu GIM-an <sup>D</sup>IŠTAR-iš e-ni-eš-ša-an IŠ-ME nu-ká[n......]
19' ar-ha ki-iš-ta-nu-ut GIŠBALAG.DI-ma gal-gal-t[u-u²-ri]
20' ar-ha pé-eš-ši-ia-at GUŠKIN.ḤI.A-ma-za [......]
21' nu \acute{u}-e-e\acute{s}-ki-u-wa-an-za [\ldots] x x x x [\ldots]
22' i-ia-an-ni-eš nu-x[......]
(One or two lines destroyed; edge of tablet partly preserved)
       Col. III (B = MGK 12 III only; Otten, MGK p. 22-24)
       (About 8 lines lost: lines 1' and 2' traces only)
 3' ŠĀ.GAL.HI.A im-mi-ia-an-du nu Î.DÙG[.GA pé-e-da-an-d]u<sup>37</sup>
 4' nu \check{S}A ^{\text{GUD}}\check{S}e\text{-}ri\text{-}\check{s}u SI.HI.A-ar i\check{s}\text{-}ki\text{-}i[a\text{-}a]n\text{-}du
 5' ŠA GUDTi-el-la-ma KUN-an IŠ-TU GUŠKIN ha-liš-ši-an-du
 6' UZUPU-DU-ma^{38} wa-ah-nu-wa-an-du nu-u-s-ma-as da-as-sa-u-wa^{39}
 7' an-dur-za ar-nu-wa-an-du a-ra-ah-za-ma Gišhar-ša-an-da-na-hi-ti[]40
 8' KALAG.GA-uš NA<sub>4</sub>.HI.A-uš tar-na-an-du<sup>41</sup> har-ši-har-ši-ma pa-ra-a
 9' hal-zi-ia-an-du ku-e-uš-kán A-NA 90 IKU-ni NA4pi-ru-ni[-iš(?)]
10' pár-aš-ša-nu-uš-kán-zi 8 ME-ma wa-aš-ša-an-zi hé-e-uš
11' IM.MEŠ-uš hal-zi-ia-an-du wa-an-ti-ma-aš-ma ku-iš KALAG.GA-ia[]40
                                                             35 Restored by Ehelolf, l.c., in view of line 13.
  30 Line 1' approximately middle of tablet, MGK
                                                             <sup>36</sup> For lines 13b-22 see Otten, MGK p. 21.
p. 18 n. 3.
                                                             <sup>37</sup> Restored by Otten, l.c., according to line 19.
  <sup>31</sup> For lines 1-4, see Otten, MGK p. 21.
                                                             38 Mistake for GIŠBU-BU-DU? Cf. line 21 and Laroche,
  <sup>32</sup> For lines 5-13a see Ehelolf, KlF I p. 395.
```

- ³³ Nothing broken, right edge preserved! Insert a-raa-i or u-iz-zi or the like.
 - ³⁴ Cf. Otten, MGK p. 21 n. 1.

- P The Storm-God is speaking to Tasmisu.
- q Names of the Storm-God's two sacred bulls; cf. commentary.
- RA 45 p. 97; see commentary.
 - ⁴⁰ Probably nothing missing. 39 Nothing missing!
 - 41 Over erasure.
 - r See commentary.
- s The wheels'? Or the axles' (bubūtu plural?)? Or the carts' (plur. in line 13)?

o Name of a musical instrument.

GUIERBOCK, THE SUNG OF ULLIKUMMI		
Col. II (About 25 lines lost)		
[] But a harp (and) a galgalturi ^o [she took], [] she [li]fted. And a song [she began to sing], [] and of the Earth [] she []ed.		
And she sang, Ištar, and the sea's and pebble she put on. Then out of the sea a great wave (rose). The great wave to Ištar spoke: "In front of whom singest thou? In front of whom thy mouth with [] fillest thou? The man is deaf and hears [not]! In his eyes he is blind and sees not! And mercy he has not! Go away, O Ištar, and thy brother find, as long as he (the Stone) has not yet become brave, as long as (his) head's skull has not yet become dreadful!"		
When Ištar thus heard, the [] she quenched, the harp (and) the galgalturi away she threw, but the gold(en ornaments) [sheed]. And wailing [] she went, and []. (Two or three lines lost)		
Col. III (About 8 lines lost, 2 lines fragmentary) ["p] fodder they shall mix, and perfumed oil [they shall bring], and Šerišu's horns they shall anoint! But Tella's tail with gold they shall cover! But the axle they shall turn, and strong \lambdas to their inside they shall bring,		
but to the outside, as head-piece, r strong stones they shall set! The thunder-storms they shall call forth! (The rains and winds) which for ninety furlongs the rocks break, but for eight hundred (furlongs) cover (them), the rains (and) winds they shall call!		

```
12' wa-an-te-eš-ki-iz-zi na-an-kán še-šu-wa-aš É.ŠĀ-na-aš
13' pa-ra-a ú-da-an-du nu-kán GIŠMAR.GÍD.DA.HI.A pa-ra-a ti-an-du
14' nam-ma-at ha-an-da-a-i :ti-iš-ša-a-i nu-mu me-mi-an EGIR-pa ú-da
15' [n]u GIM-an <sup>D</sup>Da-aš-mi-šu-uš INIM.MEŠ IŠ-ME nu nu-un-tar-nu-ut
16' [li-l]i-w[a]-ah-ta nu Gud-Še-ri-šu-un ú-e-ši-ia-u-wa-an-za
17' [u-un-ni-e\check{s}(?)^{42}] GUD T[i-el-la-an-ma] I\check{S}-TU HUR. SAG Im-ga^{?43}-ar-ra
18' [u-un-ni-e\S(?) \quad na-a\S-k\acute{a}n(?)^{42}] \quad a-ra-a\rlap/h-z\acute{e}-ni \quad {}^{\acute{\mathbf{E}}}hi-lam-ni \quad an-da^{44}
19' [.....n]a-a\hat{s}(?) \hat{I}.D\hat{U}G.GA p\acute{e}-e-da-a\check{s} nu \hat{S}A ^{GUD}\hat{S}e-ri-\hat{s}[u]
20' [SI.HI.A-ar iš-ki-ia-at(?)^{45} ŠA ^{\rm GU}]^{\rm D}Ti-el-la-ma KUN-an
21' [I\check{S}-TU \text{ GU\check{S}KIN } ha-li-i\check{s}-\check{s}i-i]a-at^{45} \text{ GI\check{S}}BU-BU-DU-m[a]
22' [.....]a^2-nu-ut^{46} a-ra-ah-za[-ma]^{47}
23' [GIŠhar-ša-an-da-na-hi-ti KALAG.GA-uš N]A<sub>4</sub>,HI.A-uš tar-ni-eš[-ki-it(?)]<sup>47</sup>
24' [har-ši-har-ši-ma pa-ra-a hal-za-a-iš(?) ku-i²-e-u]š-kán A-NA [90 IKU]<sup>47</sup>
25' [.....]x-ar-x[....]
    (About 17–19 lines lost)
       Col. IV (B = MGK 12 IV + 14 + XXXIII 113 IV)
       (About 7 lines lost; lines 1'-5' (in MGK 12) traces only)
6' [.....]x-ú-ra-aš
 7' [.....]x x x^{48} [.....]x-gul^2-la
8' x x x x^{49}[.....]-ša^2-an-da [....]x-pi
9' 1 LI-IM gi-p\acute{e}-e\check{s}[-\check{s}ar....z]a-ah-hi-ia-u-wa-an-z[i...^{t}]i^{t}-ia-at
10' nam-ma-za Ú-NU-UT M[È an-d]a^{50} e-ep-ta GIŠMAR.GÍD.DA.HI.A-ia[-za]^{51}
11' an-da e-ep-ta n[u \ ne-p]í-ša-za ar-ha al-pa^{\mathrm{HI.A}}_{\bullet} pé-e-da[-a\S]
12' nu-kán <sup>D</sup>U-aš <sup>NA4</sup>[ŠU.U-zi<sup>52</sup> IGI.H]I.A-in da-a-iš na-an-za-an ša-ku-wa[-it<sup>2</sup>]
13' [n]a-aš pár-ga-aš-ti x[\ldots -e]š<sup>?</sup>-ta? nu-uš-ši-kán nam-ma [\ldots ?]
14' p\acute{a}r-qa-a\check{s}-ti 3 \check{S}U[53......] ne-ia-at-ta-at
15' nu <sup>D</sup>U-aš A-NA <sup>D</sup>D[a-aš-mi-šu<sup>54</sup> me-mi-i]š-ki-u-wa-a[n da]-a-iš [\dots]
16' GIŠMAR.GÍD.DA [......x-im[....]x-im[....
17' [p]a-a-an-du x[...]
18' \text{ x-}pa^{56}-u-i-wa-za[...
19' x-za^{57} hal-zi[-...
20' [n]a^{?}-aš pa-it [...
21' [ud]-da-a-a[r...
22' [x-x]-ta-x[...
    (Broken; about 20 lines lost)<sup>58</sup>
Colophon (XXXIII 113, left edge): DUB.2.KAM NU TIL ŠA S[ÎR <sup>D</sup>Ul-li-kum-mi]
                                                                 <sup>51</sup> MGK 12 breaks off.
  <sup>42</sup> Free restoration.
                                                                 <sup>52</sup> Cf. col. i 24-26; MGK p. 20 n. 4 and p. 22 n. 6.
  43 Otten, MGK p. 23 n. 1, gives mu, pi, ti or ga as
                                                                 <sup>53</sup> Either 3-ŠU "three times", or 3 ŠU[-ŠI] "three
```

- possibilities. Of these, ga gives the easiest reading.
 - ⁴⁴ Middle of tablet (Otten, MGK p. 18 n. 3).
 - 45 Cf. lines 4 f.
 - ⁴⁶ Or another sign ending like a; see commentary.
- ⁴⁷ Restored according to 7-9; third pers. sing. pret. according to 19-22.
- ⁴⁸ MGK 14 begins here ("1"). Otten reads [... GIŠBAN]ŠUR-x[...] (priv. comm.).
 - ⁴⁹ XXXIII 113 iv begins here ("1").
 - 50 Restored according to next line.

- soss'', i.e. $3 \times 60 = 180$.
- ⁵⁴ For restoration of this name with da, cf. col. iii 15; space fits.
- 55 MGK 14 breaks off, not far from middle of tablet (Otten, priv. comm.).
 - ⁵⁶ Or [k]a (Otten, priv. comm.).
 - ⁵⁷ [ZA]G-za possible.
- 58 The colophon's being written on the edge shows that col. iv was inscribed to the very end.

wantimas-ma kwis hatugaya (12) wanteskizzi nan-kan sesuwas tunnakesnas (13) para udandu nu-kan tiyarita para tiandu (14) nammat handai tissai nu-mu memiyan appa uda

(15) nu mān Tasmisus uddar istamasta nu nuntarnut (16) liliwahta nu Serisun wesiyawaz (17) [unnes] Tellan-ma Imgarraz (18) [unnes] [nas-kan] arahzeni hilamni anda (19) [..........] [n]as X pedas nu Serisuwas (20) [karawar iskiyat] Tellas-ma X-an (21) [X-it halissiy]at X-ma (22) [.....]anut arahza[-ma] (23) [harsandanahiti dassamus] X-us tarnes[kit] (24) [harsiharsi-ma para halzais] [kwe]us-kan 90 X-ni (25) [perunes parsanuskanzi] (About 17–19 lines lost) Col. IV (About 7 lines lost, 7 lines fragmentary) (8) $[\ldots] - |sanda[\ldots] - pi$ (9) $1000 \ gipes[sar]^{u}$ $[\ldots z]ahhiyawanzi tiyat$ (10) namma-za zahhiyas X anda epta tiyarita-ya[-za] (11) anda epta n[u ne]pisaz arha alpa pedas (12) nu-kan StG.-as kunkunuzzi menin dais nan-zan sakuwa[it] (13) nas pargasti [.... e]sta nu-si-kan namma [...?] (14) pargasti 3-anki (or: 180) [....] neyattat

(15) nu StG.-as T[asmisui memi]skiwan dais (16) tiyarit [............]

(17–22 fragmentary; about 20 lines lost)

Colophon:

DUB.2.KAM NU TIL ŠA S[ÎR DUllikummi]

The lightning which strongly flashes, out of the sleeping-room they shall bring it, and the carts they shall bring out!

Now arrange, set them, and word bring me back!"

When Tašmišu the words heard, he hurried, hastened. Serišu from the pasture [he brought], but Tella from (mount) Imgarra [he brought]. [And] in the outer porch [he....ed them]. [And] perfumed oil he brought, and Šerišu's [horns he anointed]. But Tella's tail [with gold he cover]ed. But the axles $[\ldots]$ he $[\ldots]$ ed, [but] to the outside, [as head-piece, strong] stones he set. [The thunder-storms he called forth]. [(The rains and winds) whi]ch for ninety furlongs [the rocks break], t (About 17–19 lines lost)

Col. IV (About 7 lines lost, 7 lines fragmentary) one thousand yards [.....]^u [.....] to fight took a stand. Then the battle-gear he took, and the carts he took, and from Heaven the clouds he brought. And the Storm-God upon the kunkunuzzi (his) face set, and he saw him. And his height $[\ldots w]as$, and again his height three times (or: one hundred and eighty) [.....] was turned.

The Storm-God to T[ašmišu] began to speak: "The cart [...."] (17–22 fragmentary; about 20 lines lost)

Colophon:

Second tablet, (text) not finished, of the So[ng of Ullikummi].

^t Traces in line 25 do not correspond to the parallel of lines 10 f.

u Division into verses not clear.

A = XXXIII 106;E = MGK 15;

Third(?) Tablet

```
E_2 = XXXIII 101;
        F = XXXIII 107 + MGK 17.
     Col. I (in A only)
     (About 30 lines lost)
 1' [x x] x-x-iš-ki-mi [x]-x ne-pi[-...
 2' nu ma-ah-ha-an DINGIR.MEŠ me-mi-ia-an iš-t[a-ma-aš-ši-ir...(?)]
 3' nu GIŠMAR.GÍD.DA.HI.A an-da ha-an-ta[-...
 4' ma-ni-ia-aḥ-ḥi-ir <sup>D</sup>A š-ta-bi-iš-kán[...
 5' wa-at-ku-ut na-aš-kán GIŠMAR.GÍD.D[A(-)...
 6' Gišti-ia-ri-ta ni-ni-in-ki-iš[-ki-iz-zi(?)...
 7' nu te-et-hi-eš-ki-iz-zi <sup>D</sup>Aš-d[a-bi-iš...
 8' nu-kán te-et-hi-eš-na-an-za <sup>D</sup>A[š-d/ta-bi-iš...
 9' a-ru-ni GAM-an-da tar-na-aš nu GIŠ[...
10' ha-ni-e-er nu {}^{\mathrm{D}}A \check{s}-da-bi-i\check{s}[\dots]
11' 70 DINGIR.MEŠ e-ep-pi-ir nu nam[-ma...
12' Ú-UL tar-ah-ta nu-kan {}^{D}A[\S^{?}-d/ta-bi-i\S(?)...
13' 70 DINGIR.MEŠ-ia-kán a-ru-ni GAM-a[n-da ma-uš-ši-ir(?)1...
14' ^{NA_4}ŠU.U-zi-iš NÍ.TE.MEŠ(-)x^2[......|x-zi [.....]
15' nu ne-pi-iš kat-kat-ti-nu-u[t.....]x-ah-ta ne-pi-iš x x[....]
16' [d]a-an-na-ra-an TÚG-an [m]a-a[-an....]-ga-an-ni-it nu-kán ^{NA_4}ku-un-ku-n[u-uz-zi-iš(?)]^3
17' [x x]x<sup>4</sup> pár-ki-iš[-ta(?).................]x pí-ra-an 1 LI-IM 9 ME-ia KAS.GĨ[D...(?)]
19' ma-ah-ha-an kar-p[i-iš-ki-it-ta-ri ^{NA_4}\check{S}U].U-zi-i\check{s} nu ^{\acute{E}}ku-un-tar-ra-an [\acute{E}.DINGIR-LIM-ia(?)] 20' [a]n-da \acute{u}-e-mi-i\check{s}-ki-i[z-zi......i]t^6 9 LI-IM KAS.GÍD ^{NA_4}\check{S}U.U-zi[-i\check{s}(?)...(?)]
21' pal-ha-aš-ti-iš-ma-aš-ši 9 LI[-IM.....n]a-aš-kán URUKum-mi-ia GIŠKÁ.GAL-aš [.....]
22' ma-a-an ti-ia-at nu-kan ^{NA_4}ku[-un-ku-nu]-zi-is ^{D}He-pa-du-un ^{\acute{\mathbf{E}}}ka-r[i-im-mi-ia(?) \check{\mathbf{s}}e-er(?)]^7
23' a-ra-a-iš nu nam-ma ¹Hé-pa-du-uš [DINGIR.M]EŠ-aš ha-lu-ga-an Ú-UL iš-ta-m[a-aš-ta]
24' Ú-UL-ma <sup>D</sup>U-an <sup>D</sup>Šu-wa-li-ia-at[-t]a-an-na IGI.HI.A-it a-uš [-ta]
25' PHé-bad-du-uš A-NA PTa-ki-ti IN[IM.MEŠ] me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-i[š PU-aš-wa(?)]
26' na-ak-ki-in me-mi-an U-UL i\check{s}-ta[-ma-a\check{s}-mi] U-UL-ma-wa {}^{\mathrm{D}}\check{S}u-w[a-li-ia-at-ta-a\check{s}]
27' DINGIR.MEŠ-aš-ša hu-u-ma-an-da-aš ha-lu-ga[-an iš-ta]-ma-aš-mi a-ši-wa ku-in <sup>D</sup>U[l-li-kum-mi-in]
  <sup>1</sup> Restored from context by Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183.
                                                                <sup>5</sup> Otten, priv. comm.
  <sup>2</sup> Trace like \S[i]; cf. note 4.
```

⁴ Trace like me or [š]i. Comparison with line 14

(note 2) is suggestive but not satisfactory.

³ Middle of tablet.

^a Tentative restoration, c/. col. iv 21. Astabi is a warrior god, corresponding to the Babylonian Zababa.

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ Perhaps ''pushed upward'' with Goetze, in Pritchard, ANET p. 123.

^c Since equal height and width seem unlikely, the measure to be restored here might be IKU rather than

 $^{^6}$ Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183, restored [1-e-i]t; one might also think of $[p\acute{a}r-ga-a\check{s}-ti-\check{s}e-e]t$ which would fill the space.

⁷ For restoration (šer arai- with accus.) cf. Kum. p. 77.

KAS.GÍD.

d The Storm-God's wife.

^e Another warrior god, corresponding to the Babylonian Nergal.

f Hebat's attendant, a goddess.

Third(?) Tablet

Col. I (A) (About 30 lines lost, one line fragmentary) (2) nu mahhan siunes memiyan istamassir (3) nu tiyarita(s) anda hanta[ir] (or: hanta[ntat]) $[\ldots,]$ (4) maniyahhir $A stabis-kan [\dots \dots] (5) watkut$ nas-kan tiyarit[(-).....] [.....] (6) tiyarita nininkis[kizzi] [.....] (7) nu tetheskizzi Ast[abis] (8) nu-kan tethesnanza A[stabis.....] (9) aruni kattanta tarnas nu [.....] (10) hanernu Astabis [.....] $[\ldots]$ (11) 70 siunes eppir nu nam[ma] (12) natta tarhta nu-kan A[stabis] (13) 70 siunes-a-kan aruni katta[nta maussir] [... (?)] (14) kunkunuzzis twekkes ...[......]-zi [.....] (15) nu nepis katkattinut $[\ldots\ldots]$ -ahta $nepi\check{s}(-)$...[...] (16) dannaran X-an $m\bar{a}[n]$]-gannit nu-kan kunkunu[zzis] (17) [...] parkes[ta] $[\dots]$ piran 1900 X $[\dots]$ (18) x X ... $[\dots]$ [nu-kan kunkunuzzis dag]anzipi katta artari ma[ldanis-as](19) mahhan karpiskittari kunkunuzzis nu kuntarran [karimmi-ya] (20) anda wemiskizzi [pargasti-s]et 9000 X kunkunuzzi[s] (21) palhastis-ma-si 9000 [X]^c nas-kan Kummiya X-as [.....] (22) mān tiyat nu-kan kunkunuzzis Hebatun kar[immi-ya ser] nu namma Hebatus siunas halukan natta istamasta (24) natta-ma StG.-an Suwaliyattan-a sakwit

austa

Third(?) Tablet

Col. I

(About 30 lines lost, one line fragmentary)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
When the gods the word heard,
the carts they prep[ared] (or: in the carts they
to[ok their place]),
[and] they assigned.
Aštabi [upon his cart like a] a sprang,
and $[on]$ the cart he $[\ldots]$.
[] the carts [he] array[ed],
[]
And he thundered, Aštabi, [],
and with thunder A[štabi] down towards
the sea let go.
And [with a water] they drew.
And Aštabi []
[] seventy gods (nom.) took.
But still [] (he) was not able.
And $A[\S abi \dots],$
and the seventy gods down into the sea [fell].
[(?)] the $kunkunuzzi$ (his) body []
[]
and Heaven he shook,
[and] he []ed,
Heaven [] like an empty garment he
[]ed. ^b
And the kunkunuzzi [] became high.
Before [] one thousand nine hundred
leagues [] x leagues [].
[And the kunkunuzzi] down on earth stood,
like a he was lifted, the kunkunuzzi,
and the chamber [and the termile] he was shed
and the chamber [and the temple] he reached. [His height was] nine thousand leagues, the
kunkunuzzi's,
but his width was nine thousand [furlongs].
And in Kummiya at the gate like [] he took
his stand,
and the kunkunuzzi [above] Hebat ^d and the temple
rose,
so Hebat news of the gods heard not,
the Storm-God and Šuwaliyattae with (her) eyes
she saw not

Hebat to Takiti the words began to speak: "[Of the Storm-God] the weighty word I hear not, of Šuwaliyatta and all the gods news I hear not.

⁽²⁵⁾ Hebatus Takitiya uddar memiskiwan dais [StG.-as-wa] (26) nakkin memiyan natta istamasmi natta-ma-wa Suwaliyattas (27) siunas-a humandas halukan istamasmi

```
28' NA<sub>4</sub> ku-un-ku-nu-zi-in me-mi-iš-k[án-zi nu(?)]^8-wa-ra-an-za-an tar-ah-ta ku-wa-a[t-qa]
29' am-me-el LÚ-an na-ak-ki-in x[.....]x-an
30' [P]H\acute{e}-bad-du-uš A-NA PTa-ki-t[i EGIR-pa(?) me]-mi-iš-ki-u-an (erasure) da-a-iš ud-da-a[-ar-mu]
31' [iš]-ta-ma-aš ŠU-za GIŠ[P]A-[a]n d[a-a9 I-N]A GIR.MEŠ-KA-ma-za KUŠE.SIR.HI.A-uš li-li[-wa-an-
32' [x].x.MEŠ-uš<sup>10</sup> š[a]r<sup>2</sup>-[ku-i(?).....]x i-it [ku-e]n-ta-wa-ra-an-kán ku-w[a-at-qa]
33' [NA4ku]-un-ku-n[u-zi-iš am-me-el LÚ-an DU-an na-ak-k]i-in LUGAL-un nu-wa-mu me[-mi-an EGIR-
          pa \ \text{ú-}da]^{11}
34' [ma-a-an-ma <sup>D</sup>Ta-ki-ti-iš INIM.MEŠ iš-ta-ma-aš]-ta nu nu-un-tar-nu-ut li-l[i-wa-ah-ta]
35' [.....]x pa-ra-a hu-it-ti-ia-a[n-...]
36' [..... AS-a\check{s}-p\acute{a}t 
37' [.....]-ta nu A-NA <sup>D</sup>Hé-bad-d[u GAM-an(?) ú-it(?)]
38' [PTa-ki-ti-iš A-NA PHé-bat EGIR-pa(?) me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da]-a-iš GAŠAN-IA-m[u<sup>13</sup>...]
39'-40' traces only, then broken. About 20 lines lost)
                Col. II (main text: A; variants in E)
   1 [ma-a]-an ^{\mathrm{D}}[T\dot{a}\check{s}]^{14}-mi-\check{s}u-u\check{s} \check{S}A ^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{U} ud-da-a-ar i[\check{s}-ta-ma-a\check{s}-ta]
  2 [n]a-aš ša-ra-a hu-u-da-ak a-ra-a-iš ŠU-za {}^{\text{Gi\$}}\text{PA}-an [da-a-aš]
  3 [I]-NA GÎR.MEŠ-Š\acute{U}^{15}-ma-za ^{\text{KUS}}E.SIR.ḤI.A-uš li-li-wa-an-du[-uš IM.MEŠ-uš]
  4 šar-ku-it na-aš-kán pár-qa-u-wa-aš a-\hat{u}-ri-ia-aš ša-ra-a pa-i[t nu-za(?) A-\check{S}AR-\check{S}U(?)]^{16}
   6 ku-it-ma-an-wa-aš-ši MU.KAM.ḤI.A ku-i-e-eš da-ra-an-te-eš nu-wa-ra-aš-za ša-ra[-a]
   7 ti-it-ta-nu-zi nu ma-ah-ha-an <sup>D</sup>Hé-bad-du-uš <sup>D</sup>Tàš-mi-šu-un a-uš-ta
  8 nu-kán {}^{\mathrm{D}}H\acute{e}-pa-du-uš šu-uh-ha-az kat-ta ma-uš-šu-u-wa-an-zi wa-aq-qa-re-eš [ma]-an
  9 ti-ia-at ma-na-aš-kán šu-uh-ha-az kat-ta ma-uš-ta-at na-an SAL-MEŠSUHUR.LÁL
10 e-ep-pir na-an Ú-UL tar-ni-ir nu ma-aḥ-ḥa-an <sup>D</sup>Tàš-mi-šu-uš me-mi-an
11 me-mi-ia-u-wa-an-zi zi-in-ni-it na-aš-kán a-ú-ri-ia-za kat-ta i-ia-an-ni-eš
12 na-aš ^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{U}-ni kat-ta-an pa-it ^{\mathrm{D}}Tàš-mi-šu-uš ^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{U}-ni ^{\mathrm{18}} EGIR-pa me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-iš
13 [k]u-wa-pi-kán an-da-an I-NA \overset{\text{HUR,SAG}}{\text{E}}Kán-dur-na<sup>19</sup> še-er e-šu-wa-aš-ta-ti
                                                                                                                                     ^{14} For this writing of the name cf. lines 7, 10 and 12.
      8 Space for one sign less than in cuneiform edition
(Otten, priv. comm.).
                                                                                                                                     <sup>15</sup> Over erasure.
```

- 9 Restored from context; traces slightly different!
- ¹⁰ See commentary on tbl. I A i 13-14. Traces in 32 are not IM.MEŠ!
 - ¹¹ Restored according to tbl. II iii 14.
 - ¹² Cf. Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183.
 - 13 Otten, priv. comm.

- ¹⁶ Restored by Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183.
- ¹⁷ For reading and restoration see commentary.
- ¹⁸ E begins here (2'). For a transliteration according to E see MGK p. 24 n. 3.
- 19 E 3': [...] nu-wa-an-na-aš-kán I-NA HUR.SAGGa $a[n-\ldots].$

ff X-us not = "winds"! Or: ... lili[wandus X-us sarkwi] (32) [nu] X-us x[.....] ".... the sw[ift winds put]! [And] thes [.....]". See commentary to tbl. I A i 13-14.

g See commentary.

h E: nu-wa-nas-kan Kan[durna] "Now for us on (mount) Kan[durna]".

asi-wa kwin U[llikummin] (28) kunkunuzzin memiskanzi[nu]-war-an-zan tarhta kuwatka (29) ammel X-an nakkin [...]-an(30) Hebatus Takitiya [appa] memiskiwan dais uddar-mu (31) istamas kessaraz X-an da X-tas-ma-za X-us lili[wandus] (32) X-us s[arkwi]ff $[\ldots]it$ [kwe]nta-war-an-kan kuwatka (33) kunkunu[zzis] [ammel X-an StG.-an nakki]n hassun nu-wa-mu me[miyan appa uda] (34) [mān-ma Takitis uddar istamas]ta nu nuntarnut liliwahta (35) [..... $para\ hwittiya[n(-)...?]$ (36) [.....] paizzi nu X-as-pat natta eszi (37) [....]-tanu Hebatui [kattan uit] (38) [Takitis Hebatui appa memiskiwan d]ais $ishassaras-mis-m[u \dots]$ (39–40 fragmentary; about 20 lines lost) Col. II (1) mān Tasmisus StG.-as uddar istamasta (2) nas sara hudak arais kessaraz X-an dās (3) X-sas-ma-za X-us liliwandus [huwandus] (4) sarkwit nas-kan pargawas auriyas sara pait [nu-za pedan-set] (5) Hebatui menahhanda epta tepawe-wa-mu pedi pau[wanzi StG.-as memista]^g (6) kwitman-wa-si wettes kwes darantes nu-war-as-za sara (7) tittanuzi nu mahhan Hebatus Tasmisun austa (8) nu-kan Hebatus suhhaz katta maussuwanzi wakkaresman (9) tiyat man-as-kan suhhaz katta maustat nan X-es (10) eppir nan natta tarnir nu mahhan Tasmisus memiyan (11) memiyawanzi nas-kan auriyaz katta iyannis (12) nas StG.-ni kattan pait

Tasmisus StG.-ni appa memiskiwan dais

(13) kuwapi-kan andan Kandurna ser esuwastati^h

21 This kunkunuzzi Ullikummi of whom they speak, perhaps he defeated him, my husband, the weighty [.....]!" Hebat to Takiti [again] began to speak: "My words hear! Into (thy) hand a staff take, upon thy feet as shoes the sw[ift].....p[ut]!ff [.....] go! He killed him perhaps—the kunkunu[zzi (killed) my husband, the Storm-God, the weighlty king! Now [bring] me wo[rd back]!" [When Takiti the words hear]d, she hurried, hastened. [....] out draw[n...(?)][.....] went, and a road there was not. [Takiti....return]ed,and to Hebat [she came]. Takiti to Hebat again began to spelak: "My lady me [....."] (39–40 fragmentary; about 20 lines lost) Col. II When Tašmišu the Storm-God's words heard, he promptly rose. Into (his) hand a staff he took, upon his feet as shoes the swift winds he put. And to a high tower he went up, [and his place] opposite Hebat he took (saving): "To a humble place [to] g[o the Storm-God bade] me,g until the years that are decreed for him he will have fulfilled." When Hebat Tašmišu saw. Hebat almost fell from the roof. Had she taken a step, from the roof she would have fallen. But the palace-women held her and let her not (go). When Tašmišu the word had ceased to speak,

from the tower down he stepped, and to the Storm-God he went. Tašmišu to the Storm-God again began to speak: "Where (shall we sit down)? Shall we sit down on (mount) Kandurna?h

```
14 [tdk-ku(?)]-kdn an-za-a-a\check{s} I-NA ^{\text{HUR},SAG}K\acute{a}n-dur-na^{20} \check{s}e-er e-\check{s}u-wa-a\check{s}-ta-ti
15 [da-m]a(?)-i\check{s}-ma-wa-k\acute{a}n I-NA ^{\text{HUR.SAG}}La^{21}-la-pa-du-wa \check{s}e-er e-e\check{s}-zi
16 [....k]u-wa-pí-pát an-da-an ar-nu-um-me-ni<sup>22</sup> nu-wa-kán ne-pí-ši še-er LUGAL-uš NU GÁL<sup>23</sup>
17 [PTàš-mi-š]u-uš DU-ni EGIR-pa me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-iš DU EN-IA ud-da-a-ar-mu
18 [iš-ta-ma-aš] ud-da-a-ar-ta ku-e me-mi-iš-ki-mi nu-mu ud-da-a-na-aš
19 [GEŠTUK-an pa-ra-a l]a-ga-a-an har-ak^{24} e-hu^{25} pa-a-i-u-e-ni I-NA ^{\mathrm{URU}}Ab-zu-wa MA-^{\mathrm{H}}AR ^{\mathrm{D}}\dot{E}-A^{26}
20 [.....a]n-na-al-la-aš INIM.MEŠ-na-aš tup-pt-ia-aš EGIR-pa pu-nu-uš-šu-u-e-ni<sup>27</sup>
21 [nu-k\acute{a}n(?) \ ma-a-an(?) \ A-N]A \ K\^A \ \acute{E} \ ^{D}\acute{E}-A \ p\acute{i}-ra-an \ e-ru-u-e-ni^{27} \ nu \ ^{D}\acute{E}-A-aš \ ^{GIŠ}IG-aš
22 [5-an-ki(?) \ nu \ nam-ma(?)] \overset{\mathbf{D}}{E} -A-a\check{\mathbf{s}} \overset{\mathbf{G}\check{\mathbf{I}}\check{\mathbf{s}}}{\mathbf{a}} -ra-\check{\mathbf{s}}i -ia-a\check{\mathbf{s}} 5-an-ki^{28} hi -in -ku -e -ni
23 [ma-a-an-ma(?) MA-HAR \stackrel{\mathbf{D}}]\mathbf{\acute{E}}-A e-ru-e-ni<sup>29</sup> nu A-NA \stackrel{\mathbf{D\acute{E}}}{=}A<sup>30</sup> 15-\overset{\mathbf{N\acute{S}}}{=}U hi-in-ku-e-ni
24 [nu-kán ar-ha A-NA <sup>D</sup>]A-a<sup>31</sup> ku-wa-at-qa :wa-aš-du-ma-ti<sup>32</sup> nu <sup>D</sup>É-A-aš ku-wa-at-qa
25 [i\S-ta-ma-a\S-zi(?) nu-un-(?)]-na-a\S^{33} ge-en-zu da-a-i nu-un-na-a\S^{34} an-na-al-la-an
27 [GIM-an DU-aš ŠA DTàš-mi-š]u ud-da-a-ar iš-ta-ma-aš-ta nu nu-un-tar-nu-ut-ta
28 [li-li-wa-ah-ta Giš]ŠÚ.A-az ša-ra-a hu-u-da-ak a-ra-a-iš
29 [PU-aš PTàš-mi-šu-uš]-ša ŠU-za ap-pa-an-ta-at na-at 1-an-ki ša[r-ri-ir n]a-at I-NA URUAb-zu-u-wa³5
30 [e-ri-ir\ nu(?)\ ^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{U}(?)\ A-NA]^{36}\ \acute{\mathrm{E}}\ ^{\mathrm{D}}A-a\ pa-it\ na-a\S\ ha-an-te-ez-zi[-ia-a\S(?)\ ^{\mathrm{G}i\S}\mathrm{IG}-a\S\ 5-\check{\mathrm{S}}U]
31 [hi-in-ik-ta \quad ^{GIS}a-ra]-ša-aš-ma-aš 5-ŠU (erasure) hi-in-ik-ta \quad [ma-ah-ha-an-ma-k\'an \quad MA]-HAR \quad ^{D1}A-a
     e-ri-ir^{35}
32 [na-a\S PA-NI(?) \stackrel{\mathbf{D}}{E}-A(?) 15]-\S U \underline{h}i-in-i[k-ta]^{37}
33 [\ldots \check{s}]a-ra-a ti[-ia-at...
34 [..... me-mi-iš-ki-u-a]n da-a-iš [...
35 [.... \dot{D}]\dot{E}-A-a\dot{s} x[...
36 [.....]x-ši U-U[L...
```

```
<sup>20</sup> E 4': [\ldots I-N]A HUR.SAGKu-un-du-ur-ra.
```

²¹ E 5': + -al-.

 $^{^{22} \}to 6'$: ar-nu-um-mi-e-ni.

 $^{^{23}}$ E 7': [. . .]-ša-ri. No horizontal line in E; e-hu-wa (corresp. A 19) follows immediately.

²⁴ A 17-19a omitted in E.

²⁵ E 7': + -wa.

²⁶ E 8': [. . .]x A-NA ^{D}A -a GAM-an A-NA $^{URU}Ab^{!}$ - $zu^{!}$ (?)-wa-x[. . .].

²⁷ These two clauses omitted in E.

 $^{^{28}}$ E 9': [. . .]x (= [5-Š]U?) $^{\rm GI\mathring{s}}a\text{-}ra\text{-}\mathring{s}a\text{-}a\mathring{s}\text{-}ma\text{-}wa\text{-}a\mathring{s}\text{-}\mathring{s}$ (erasure) 5-ŠU.

²⁹ E 10': [. . . -š]i-kán an-da ir-u-e-ni.

³⁰ E 10': nu-wa-aš-ši.

³¹ E 11': [. . . ar-h] $a-wa-a\check{s}-\check{s}i-k\acute{a}n$.

³² In A, 'Glossenkeil' over erasure (MGK p. 24 n. a);

E 11 has $:wa-x-d[u-\ldots]$ (x not = $a \check{s}!$).

³³ Restored by Goetze (priv. comm.).

³⁴ E breaks off.

³⁵ End written on reverse; see KUB XXXIII, notes on p. 37 and on p. 38 at iii 34 and 31.

³⁶ Tentative restoration according to space and context, taking account of the following singular forms; *cf.* next note.

 $^{^{\}rm 37}$ For 30–32 see Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183, with slightly different restorations.

(14) [takku]-kan anzas Kandurnaⁱ ser esuwastati

(15) [dam]ais-ma-wa-kan Lalapaduwa ser eszi

(16) [....] kuwapi-pat andan arnumeni nu-wa-kan nepisi ser hassus natta eszi^k

(17) Tasmisus StG.-ni appa memiskiwan dais¹ StG. isha-mi¹

uddar-mu (18) [istamas]¹

uddar-ta kwe memiskimi¹

nu-mu uddanas (19) istamanan para lagan hark¹ ehu paiweni Abzuwa Aa piran^m

- (20) [.....] annallas uddanas tuppiyas appa punusweniⁿ
- (21) [nu-kan mān] Aas parnas aski piran erweniⁿ

nu Aas X-as (22) [5-anki]

[nu namma] Aas arasiyas 5-anki hinkweni^p

(23) [mān-ma] Aa [piran] $erweni^q$

nu Aa^r 15-anki hinkweni

(24) [nu-kan arḫa] Aa kuwatka wastumati^s

nu Aas kuwatka (25) [istamaszi]

[nu-]nas genzu dai

nu-nas annallan (26) [....]-la maniyaḥḥi

(27) [mān StG.-as Tasmis]uwas uddar istamasta nu nuntarnutta (28) [liliwaḥta]

kishiyaz sara hudak arais

(29) [StG.-as Tasmisu]s-a kessaraz appantat

nat 1-anki s[arrir]

nat Abzuwa (30) [erir]

[nu StG.-as] Aas parna pait

nas hantezzi[yas X-as 5-anki] (31) [hinkta]

arasas-mas 5-anki hinkta

[mahhan-ma-kan] Aa piran erir

(32) [nas Aa piran 15]-anki hin[kta]

(33) [.....] sara tiyat
[.... memiskiwa]n dais
(34 b to 39 fragmentary; about 50 lines lost in

col. II of copy A)

i E: Kundurra.

* E: [.... natta e]sari (or: [.... natta ki]sari) "[.... will not s]it down" (or: "[.... will not be]come").

¹ These verses omitted in E.

"E: ehu-wa paiweni [.........] Aa kattan Abzuwa "Come! Let us go [.......] to Ea, to Apsuwa!"—The apsû, the sweet-water ocean where Ea dwells, is here written as name of a town.

ⁿ These two verses omitted in E.

[If] we sit down on (mount) Kandurna,ⁱ [anoth]er will be seated on (mount) Lalapaduwa. [The....] where shall we carry? Above in Heaven there will be no king!"^k

Tašmišu to the Storm-God again began to speak: "O Storm-God, my lord!"

My words [hear]!1

The words which I speak to thee,¹

to my words (thine) ear hold inclined!1

Come! Let us go to Apsuwa, before Ea!^m

[.....] for the tablets of the former words again we shall ask.ⁿ

[Now when] before the gate of Ea's house we arrive,"

before Ea's door [five times],

[and again] before Ea's inner door five times we shall bow.

[But when before] Ea we arrive, q

then before Ear fifteen times we shall bow.

[And to] Ea it will perhaps.....[away],

and Ea perhaps [will listen],

[and upon] us mercy he will have, and the former [rule] he will assign us."

[When the Storm-God Tašmiš]u's words heard, he hurried, [hastened].

From his chair he promptly rose.

[The Storm-God and Tašmiš]u took each other by the hand,

and at once they co[vered the way],

and at Apsuwa [they arrived].

[And the Storm-God] to Ea's house went,

and before Ea's first [door five times he bowed], but before the *inner door* five times he bowed.

[But when] before Ea they arrived,

[before Ea fifteen] times [he] bowed.

[.....] stood up,[.....] began to [speak]:(34 b to 39 fragmentary; about 50 lines lost in col.II of copy A)

- ^q E: [mān-ma-s]i-kan anda erweni "[But when] before him we arrive".
 - FE: nu-wa-si "then before him".
- * E: [arh]a-wa-si-kan kuwatka wa-x-d[u-...] "To him it will perhaps[... aw]ay". See commentary.

o Tentative translation, see commentary.

PE: arasas-ma-wa-si 5-anki hi[nkweni] "but before his inner door five times we shall bow".

The following two fragments may or may not belong into the large lacuna between columns II and III of the main text.

```
F = XXXIII 107 + MGK 17, col. I(?)^{38}
       (Beginning broken)
 1' [...] x x x [.....] x x [...
 2' [me]-mi-an ZI[-ni(?)] U-UL [...
 3' DÉ-A-aš-za-ká[n] ha-ad-da-tar [ZI-ni pí-ra-an da-a-aš(?)]<sup>39</sup>
 4' na-aš ša-ra-a ti-ia-at n[a-aš\dots(?) pa-ra-a(?)]^{40}
 5' \stackrel{\text{\'e}}{=} hi - i - li \quad pa - it \quad \stackrel{\text{D}}{=} E - A[-a\check{s}(?) \dots ]
 6' nu-uš-\check{s}i DINGIR.M[E]Š? hu-u-ma-an-te-eš [pi-ra-an(?) . . . (?)]^{40}
 7' \check{s}a-ra-a t[i]-i-e-er {}^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{U}-a\check{s}-ma[-a\check{s}-\check{s}i(?) {}^{\mathrm{URU}}Kum-mi-ia-a\check{s}(?)]<sup>40</sup>
 8' UR.SAG.HI.A[-u]\S^{?}_{41} LUGAL-u\S pi-ra[-an \S a-ra-a ti-ia-at(?)]^{40}
 9' DÉ-A-aš [D]U-an a-uš-ta [nu-uš-ši kar-tim-mi-ia-at-ti]<sup>42</sup>
10' pi-ra-an [x^2]x-i\check{s}^2 ta-mi[-um-mi-e\check{s}-ta (or: -um-ma-ah-ta-at)]<sup>42</sup>
11' Dx[...
    (Rest broken)
       E_2 = XXXIII 101, col. I (beginning of tablet)
1 [......-a]n da-iš
2 [......|x \acute{u}-e-te-na-a[z^{?}...(?)]
x (end of line written vertically on upper edge):
   [.....|x-aš-ta-ri
   (Rest of col. I broken)
       Main text, continued
       Col. III (A)
       (About 35 lines lost)<sup>43</sup>
 1′ <sup>D</sup>[...
 2' nam[-ma...
 3' SU-za-at-k[án ap-pa-an-da-at(?)...
 4' ku-it-ma-an-z[a...
 5' du-li-ia-za ar-ha u[-it (or: -e-er)...
 6' na-aš \acute{u}-e-eš-ki[-iš]-u-an da[-a-iš...
 7' TI-an-za-wa-za e-eš {}^{\mathbf{D}}\acute{E}-A \mathbf{x}[\dots]
 8' ku-iš pí-ra-an EGIR-pa ú-i[z^2-zi(?)...
 9' DINGIR.MEŠ-aš-ša wa-ar-šu-la-aš [...44
10' nu-wa-ra-an ku-wa-at za-a-it [...
```

 $^{^{38}}$ Left col. according to MGK p. 27 n. 1; in cuneiform copy called "I". For the question whether this fragment belongs here see above, Vol. V p. 138, sub (5); for the next fragment, $ibd.\ sub$ (4).

³⁹ Or the like, restored from context; cf. Otten's translation, MGK p. 27.

⁴⁰ Restored from context.

 $^{^{41}}$ [u]š according to Otten, l.c. In XXXIII 107 no traces. According to Otten's collation, trace of one vertical wedge, so that both uš and iš are possible. For

iš cf. tbi. III(?) iv 18; XXXIII 100 + MGK 16, 14; for uš cf. XXXIII 103 ii 6 (duplicate of the last mentioned!) and tbl. I A iii 32.

⁴² Cf. commentary on tbl. I A iv 39 f.

 $^{^{43}}$ The figures 50 and 35 given for the lines lost in cols. ii and iii, respectively, refer to the main copy A; if F i and E₂ i belong in this gap, these figures are to be reduced accordingly.

⁴⁴ Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183, restores šanezzi ^{Gris}ERIN. But cf. XII 65 iii 21: waršulaš GIM-an x[. . .].

The following two fragments may or may not The following two fragments may or may not belong here. belong here. F, col. I(?) F, col. I(?) (Beginning broken) (Beginning broken) (1) [......] (2) memiyan istanza[ni] natta [......] the word [in] (his) mind not [.....]. $[\ldots\ldots]$ (3) Aas-za-kan hattatar [istanzani piran das (or: Ea wisdom [into (his) mind took], daskizzi, daskit)] (4) nas sara tiyat and he stood up, and [.....out] into the court-yard he went. n[as(?) para] (5) hili paitEa [........ $Aa[s \dots \dots]$ (6) nu-si siunes humantes [piran .. (?)] (7) sara and all the gods [before] him [....(?)] stood up, tierStG.-as[-ma-si Kummiyas] (8) hastalius hassus [and] the Storm-God, [Kummiya's] brave king, before him stood up. pir[an sara tiyat] Ea saw the Storm-God, (9) Aas StG.-an austa [and] from [anger] his.....became altered. [nu-si kartimmiyatti] (10) piran X-is tame[ummesta (or: -ahtat)] (Rest broken) (Rest broken) E₂, col. I (beginning of tablet) E_2 , col. I (beginning of tablet) (1) [.... memiskiw] an dais [.....] began to [speak]: ["..... from] the water [......] (2) $[\ldots]$ weten $a[z \ldots (?) (3) [\ldots]$ [\dots] is [\dots]ed. (x) [.....]-astari (Broken) (Broken) Main text, continued Main text, continued Col. III (A) Col. III (A) (About 35 lines lost; two lines fragmentary) (About 35 lines lost; two lines fragmentary) By the hand they [took each other], (3) kessaraz-at-kan [appantat] [.....] [......] While [.....] (4) kwitman-za [.....] [.....] out of the assembly [he (or: they)] came. [.....] (5) tuliyaz arha u[it] (or: u[er]) [Enlil^u] [.....] and to wail he began. (6) nas weskiwan d[ais] [........ "Mayest thou live, O Ea! (7) hwiswanza-wa-za es Aa [....] who com[es] back and forth, [......] of the gods' propitiation [.......] $[\ldots, [9]$ siunas-a warsulas $[\ldots, []$ (10) nu-war-an $kuwat \ zait \ [\dots (?)]$ why didst thou transgress it [,(?)]?"

 $^{^{\}rm t}$ See note 43 to translit.

^u For this restoration cf. line 11.

```
11' <sup>D</sup>É-A-aš A-NA <sup>D</sup>El-li[-el me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-iš Ú-UL-wa ša-ak-ti <sup>D</sup>El-li-el]<sup>45</sup>
 12' me-mi-ia-an-na-wa-at-ta [\acute{U}-UL ku-iš-ki \acute{u}-da-aš \acute{U}-UL-wa-ra-an ša-ak-ti {}^{\mathrm{D}}Ku-mar-bi-iš-wa ku-in]^{45}
 13' A-NA <sup>D</sup>U IGI-an-da tar-pa-na-a[l-li-in ša-am-na-it A-ni-kán ku-iš <sup>NA</sup>4ŠU.U-zi-iš an-da]<sup>45</sup>
 14' mi-e-eš-ta nu-wa-ra-aš pár-g[a-aš-ti 9 LI-IM KAS.GÍD na-aš :ma-al-ta-ni-iš]46
15' GIM-an kar-pi-iš-kat-ta-r[i...
16' [.....n]u tu-uk IGI-a[n-da...
17' [..... ka-ru-\acute{u}-i[-li(-)...
18' [....]x-ri-ia-aš [...
19' [{}^{\mathrm{D}}\dot{E}-A-a\check{s}(?) {}^{\mathrm{D}}E]l-li-lu-u\check{s}-\check{s}[a\dots
20' [....]x \stackrel{D}{E} - A - a \stackrel{\checkmark}{s} [...
21' [.....|h-hi^{47} ku-iš-ká[n...
22' [..........]x-aš šu-up-pa É[.MEŠ.DINGIR.MEŠ(?)....
23' ma-a-a DÉ-A-aš ud[-d]a[-a-ar me-mi-ia-u-wa-an-zi zi-in-ni-it(?)]
24' na-aš IT-TI <sup>D</sup>Ú-pé-el-lu-ri [pa-it...
25' nu^{-D}\acute{U}-p\acute{e}-el-lu-re-e\check{s} IGI.HI.A-wa [kar-ap-ta nu-za ^{D}\acute{E}-A-an \check{s}a-ku-i\check{s}-ki-iz-zi(?)]^{48}
26' \text{ }^{\text{D}}\dot{U}-p\acute{e}-el-lu-ri-iš A-NA \text{ }^{\text{D}}\dot{E}[-A \text{ INIM.MEŠ} \text{ } me-mi-iš-ki-u-an \text{ } da-a-iš(?)]<sup>49</sup>
27' TI-an-za-wa e-eš {}^{\mathrm{D}}\acute{E}-A ša-r[a-a-ia-aš-kán(?) ti-ia-at(?) nu {}^{\mathrm{D}}\acute{E}-A-aš(?)]{}^{49}
28' A-NA DÚ-pé-el-lu-ri TI-tar [EGIR-pa(?) me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-i]š(?)49 T[I-an-za-wa-ra-aš(?) e-eš-
     du(?)^{49}
29' ^{\mathrm{D}}\dot{U}-pé-el-lu-ri-iš MI-ia KI[-pí AN-iš-wa-kán(?) K]I(?)<sup>49</sup>-aš ku-e-da-ni še-er ú-e-da-an-za
30' PA-a-aš A-NA PÚ-pé-el-lu-ri [EGIR-pa(?) me-mi-i]š-ki-u-an da-a-iš Ú-UL-wa ša-ak-ti
31' <sup>D</sup>Ú-pé-el-lu-ri me-mi-ia-an-na-wa-at-ta Ú-UL ku-iš-ki ú-da-aš
32' Û-UL-wa-ra-an ša-ak-ti <sup>D</sup>Ku-mar-bi-eš-wa ku-in nu-ut-ta-ri-ia-an DINGIR-LIM-in
33' DINGIR.MEŠ-aš IGI-an-da ša-am-na-it nu DKu-mar-bi-iš a-ru-šu-wa-ru-pát ku-it
34' DU-ni IGI-an-da aq-qa-tar ša-an-hi-eš-ki-iz-zi nu-uš-ši IGI-an-da
35' tar-pa-na-al-li-in ša-am-na-iš-ki-iz-zi A-ni-kán ku-iš NA4ŠU.U-zi-iš
36' an-da mi-e-eš-ta Ú-UL-an ša-ak-ti na-aš :ma-al-ta-ni-eš GIM-an
37' ša-ra-a kar-pí-iš-kat-ta-ri nu-kán ne-pí-iš šu-up-pa É.MEŠ.DINGIR.MEŠ
38' PHé-bad-du-un-na an-da iš-tap-pa-aš nu-za PÚ-pé-el-lu-ri-iš ku-it MI-ia-aš KI-aš
  <sup>45</sup> Cf. lines 30-36. Middle of tablet at line 11.
  <sup>46</sup> Cf. i 21 and iii 36.
   <sup>47</sup> Goetze proposed [ku-it-ta me-ma-a]h-hi, cf. iv 23
and 25 (priv. comm.).
   48 Cf. tbl. I A iv 10 f.
   <sup>49</sup> The restorations are tentative.
```

v For possible restoration cf. line 37.

[&]quot;Perhaps: [kwis-as asi nutt]ariyas [siunis] "[who he is, this sw]ift [god]"; cf. lines 39 and 44.

^{*} Perhaps: $[kwit\text{-}ta\ mem]ahhi$ "[What] shall I [tell thee]?"

(11) Aas Ellilui [memiskiwan dais] [natta-wa sakti Ellil] (12) memiyan-a-wa-ta [natta kwiski udas]	Ea to Enlil [began to speak]: "[Knowest thou not, O Enlil?] A word [has no one brought] thee?
[natta-war-an sakti]	[Knowest thou him not,]
[Kumarbis-wa kwin] (13) StGni menahhanda tarpana[llin samnait]	the rebel [whom Kumarbi] against the Storm-God [fashioned],
[weteni-kan kwis kunkunuzzis anda] (14) miyesta	[the kunkunuzzi who in the water] grew?
$nu ext{-}war ext{-}as\ parg[asti\ 9000\ ext{X}]$	His hei[ght is nine thousand leagues],
[nas maltanis] (15) mān karpiskattari	[and] like $[a cdots]$ he is lifted,
$[\ldots]^{\mathrm{v}}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{v}}$
nu tuk menahhanda []	And against thee []
$(17) [\dots] karui[i-\dots]$	[] forme[r]
$(18) [\ldots]-riyas [\ldots]^{w}$	[] ^w
(19) [Aas] <i>Ellilus-a</i> []	[Ea] and Enlil []
[]	[]
$(20) [\ldots] Aas [\ldots]$	[] Ea []
$[\ldots\ldots]$ (21) $[\ldots\ldots]$ - bhi^x	["I []x·
kwis- kan []	Who []
(22) []-as suppa $ka[rimmi \ldots \ldots]$	[]" the holy te[mples]"
(23) mān Aas udd[ar memiyawanzi zinnit]	When Ea the words [ceased to speak],
(24) nas Upelluriya kattan [pait]	to Upelluri he [went],
[]	[]
(25) nu Upelluris sakuwa [karpta]	And Upelluri (his) eyes [lifted],
[nu-za Aan sakwiskizzi]	[and Ea he saw].
(26) Upelluris Aa [uddar memiskiwan dais]	Upelluri to Ea [the words began to speak]:
(27) hwiswanza-wa es Aa	"Mayest thou live, O Ea!"
sar[a-yas-kan tiyat]	$[And\ he\ stood]\ up.$
[nu Aas] (28) <i>Upelluriya hwiswatar</i> [appa memiskiwan dais]	[And Ea] to Upelluri life [in turn began to wish]
[hwiswanza-war-as esdu] (29) Upelluris danku-	"[May he live], Upelluri, on the dark earth,
$waya\ daganzi[m pi]$	
[nepis-wa-kan daganzip]as kwedani ser wedanza	(he) upon whom [Heaven and Ear]th are built!"
(30) Aas Upelluriya [appa memi]skiwan dais	Ea to Upelluri [again] began to speak:
natta-wa sakti (31) Upelluri	"Knowest thou not, O Upelluri?
memiyan-a-wa-ta natta kwiski udas	A word has no one brought thee?
(32) natta-war-an sakti	Knowest thou him not,
Kumarbis-wa kwin nuttariyan siunin (33) siunas	the swift god whom Kumarbi against the gods
menahhanda samnait	fashioned?
nu Kumarbis arusuwaru-pat kwit (34) StGni	And that Kumarbiagainst the Storm-God
menaḥḥanda akkatar sanhiskizzi	death plans,
nu-si menahhanda (35) tarpanallin samnaiskizzi	and against him a rebel fashions?
weteni-kan kwis kunkunuzzis (36) anda miyesta	The kunkunuzzi who in the water grew,
$nattan \ sakti$	knowest thou him not?
nas maltanis mān (37) sara karpiskattari	And like a he is lifted,
nu-kan nepis suppa karimmi (38) Hebatun-a anda istappas	and Heaven, the holy temples and Hebat he covered!

39' KI.BAD-aš nu-za a-ši nu[-ut-t]a-ri-ia-an DINGIR-LIM-in Ú-UL ša-ak-ti

```
40' ^{\mathrm{D}}\dot{U}-pé-lu-ri-iš^{50} [A-NA ^{\mathrm{D}}\dot{E}]-A EGIR-pa me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-iš ne-pí-ša-an-mu-kán
41' ku-wa-pi da-ga-an-zi-pa-an-na \S[e-e]r \acute{u}-e-te-er nu \acute{U}-UL ku-it-ki \S a-ag-ga-ah-hu-un
42' \acute{u}-e-er-ma AN-i \acute{g}(!)^{51} ku-wa-p\acute{i} te-k\acute{a}[n-n]a ^{\text{U[RU]DU}}ku-ru-uz-zi-it ar-ha ku-e-ri-ir
43' nu a-pád-da-ia Ú-UL ša-aq-qa-aḥ-ḥu[-un k]i-nu-na-mu ZAG-an ku-it-ki
44′ <sup>uzu</sup>ZAG.LU-an GIG-zi nu Ú-UL ša[-a]q-qa-a[h-hi] ku-i-ša-aš a-ši DINGIR-LIM-iš
45' [m]a-a-an {}^{\mathrm{D}}\acute{E}-A-aš ud-da-a-ar iš-ta-ma-aš-ta nu-kán \check{S}A {}^{\mathrm{D}}\acute{U}-pé-lu-ri
46' [ZAG-a]n^{\text{UZU}}ZAG.LU-an^{\hat{u}}-e-eh-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-ta^{\hat{u}}-
47' [ZAG-n]i UZUZAG.LU-ni Gišši-ia-at-tal ma-ah-ha-an ar-ta - [a]t?
48' [P]É-A-aš ka-ru-ú-i-li-ia-aš DINGIR.MEŠ-aš EGIR-pa me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-iš ud-da-a-ar-mu
49' [i]š-ta-ma-aš-ten ka-ru-ú-i-li-ia-aš DINGIR.MEŠ-iš ka-ru-ú-i-li ku-i-e-eš ud-da-a-ar ku-i-e-eš<sup>52</sup>
50' [š]e-ek-te-ni EGIR-pa-at hé-e-eš-ten an-na-al-la at-ta-al-la hu-u-ha-da-al-la
51' [É]. NA4KIŠIB.ḤI.A nu ka-ru-ú-i-li-ia-aš ad-da-aš NA4KIŠIB ú-da-an-du
52' [na-a]t(?) a-pí-iz EGIR-pa ši-ia-an-du nu-kán ka-ru-ú-i-li-ia URUDUar-da-a-la
53' [pa-r]a-a ti-ia-an-du ne-pí-iš te-kán-na ku-e-ez ar-ha ku-e-ri-ir
54' [nu-ká]n(?) <sup>D</sup>Ul-lu-kum-mi-in NA<sub>4</sub>ŠU.U-zi-in GÎR.MEŠ GAM-an ar-ha ar-du[-.....]
55' [PK]u-mar-bi-iš ku-in DINGIR.MEŠ-na-aš IGI-a[n-d]a [tar-p]a-[n]a-al-li-in
55a'
                                                                                                        : \S[al] - l[a - nu - ut]
          (End of column)
               Col. IV (A)
                 (About 23 lines lost)
  1' [....] x x [...
  2'[x] \times x \times [...]
  3' \times \times \times ma \times [\dots]
  4' [D] T\dot{a}š-mi-šu-uš-ma[....]x[...
  5' [h]a^{53}-li-ia-at-ta-at [.....]x[...
  6' [me]-mi-i\S-ki-u-an da-a-i[\S.....]x-an x[...
  7' NÍ.TE-i-wa-aš-ši-kán an[-da x x]x-eš<sup>54</sup> ta-me-um-mi-iš[-š]a^{2}-an^{2}55 SAG.DU[-i-ma-wa-aš-ši-kán]^{56}
```

- 9' $^{\mathrm{D}}\!\dot{E}$ -A-aš A-NA $^{\mathrm{D}}\!T$ àš-mi-šu EGIR-pa me-mi-iš-ki-u-an da-a-iš pi-ra-an ar-ha $^{\mathrm{59}}$ i-it
- 10' DUMU-mi-it le-e?-mu?p[i-r]a-an(?) 60 ša-ra-a ar-ta-ti ZI-an-za-mu-kán an-da i-da-la-u-eš[-ta]
- 50 E₂ = XXXIII 101 ii 1 (first line of col. ii and the only one preserved) may correspond. It reads: $^{D}\hat{U}$ -pé-el [-.....].

8' še-er te-e-da-nu-uš t[a-me-u]m-mi-eš- $ta^{!}(?)^{57}$ te-eš $[-x^{?}]$ - x^{58}

- 51 Reading required by context, cf. line 53; cuneiform edition looks like LAM (DINGIR-LAM).
- ⁵² Added on the right edge. One of the two *kwes* has to be deleted, preferably the first.
 - ⁵³ Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183.
- ⁵⁴ Or: an[-da x x].MEŠ; cf. commentary on tbl. I A
- ⁵⁵ Traces in cuneiform copy look like -\$a-an rather than like the expected -ta.
 - y Tentative translation; see commentary.
 - ² See notes 54-58 to transliteration and commentary

- ⁵⁶ Restored in parallelism with beginning of line.
- ⁵⁷ Restoration $t[a\text{-}me\text{-}u]m\text{-}\dots$ according to 7; cf. Otten, MGK p. 34 ("106 iv 7, 8"). Last sign looks like δa but might be ta.
- 58 Last sign begins with two horizontal wedges; uncertain whether there was a sign in the break after eš. According to Otten (priv. comm.), te-eš-... is written by a second hand; could this word be disregarded?
 - ⁵⁹ Erased sign (mu) after ar-ha.
- ⁶⁰ Tentative restoration *le-e-mu pi-ra-an* proposed by Otten (priv. comm.).
- to tbl. I A iv 39 f.
 - ^{aa} See commentary.

nu-za Upelluris kwit dankuwayas daganzipas (39) X-as

nu-za asi nuttariyan siunin natta sakti

(40) Upelluris Aa appa memiskiwan dais nepisan-mu-kan (41) kuwapi daganzipan-a ser weter

nu natta kwitki sakkahhun

- (42) uer-ma nepis kuwapi tekan-a kuruzzit arha kwerir
- (43) nu apatta-ya natta sakkahhun kinuna-mu kunnan kwitki (44) paltanan istarkzi nu natta sakkahhi kwis-as asi siunis
- (45) mān Aas uddar istamasta nu-kan Upelluriyas (46) kunnan paltanan weḥta nu-kan kunkunuzzis Upelluriy[as]x (47) kunni paltani siyattal maḥḥan arta[t]
- (48) Aas karuiliyas siunas appa memiskiwan dais uddar-mu (49) istamasten karuiliyas siunes karuili uddar kwes (50) sekteni

appat hesten annalla attalla huhatalla (51) siyannas parna

nu karuiliyas attas siyatar udandu

(52) nat apez appa siyandu

nu-kan karuiliya ardala (53) para tiyandu nepis tekan-a kwez arha kwerir

- (54) [nu-ka]n Ullukummin kunkunuzzin X-as kattan arha ardu[weni]
- (55) Kumarbis kwin siunas menahhanda tarpanallin s[allanut]

(End of col. III)

Col. IV

(About 23 lines lost; 3 lines fragmentary)

- (or: -esta)
 harsani[-ma-wa-si-kan] (8) ser tetanus tameumesta
- harsani[-ma-wa-si-kan] (8) ser tetanus tameumesta [[tes-..]]*

(9) Aas Tasmisui appa memiskiwan dais piran arḥa it (10) X-mit^{aa} le-mu piran sara artati^{aa} istanza-mu-kan anda idalawesta (Is it) because thou, Upelluri, from the dark earth art remote,

(that) this *swift* god thou knowest not?"

Upelluri to Ea again began to speak:

"When Heaven and Earth upon me they built,

I knew nothing.

But when they came (and) Heaven and Earth with a cutter they cut apart,

this, too, I knew not.

Now, something makes (my) right shoulder hurt, but I know not who he is, this god!"

When Ea the words heard, Upelluri's right shoulder he turned: and (there) the *kunkunuzzi* on Upelluri's right shoulder like a *blade* stood!

Ea to the Former Gods again began to speak:

"My words hear, O Former Gods,

who the former words know!

Again open them, the old, fatherly, grandfatherly store-houses!

And the Former Fathers' seal they shall bring, and with it again they shall seal them!

And the former saw^y they shall bring out, with which Heaven and Earth they cut apart.

[And] (as for) Ullikummi, the *kunkunuzzi*, under (his) feet [we shall] saw, y

whom Kumarbi against the gods as a rebel [raised]!"

(End of col. III)

Col. IV

(About 23 lines lost; 3 lines fragmentary)

[but on his] head the hair was altered."2

Ea to Tašmišu again began to speak: "Go ahead with my son!"

Beore me do not stand up!aa

M mind within me became angry!

	$ak-k\acute{a}n-du[-u\check{s}(?) \times MI-i(?) K]I-p\acute{t}^{61}$ IGI. HI.A- it $u\check{s}-ki-nu-un$ na - at SAHAR. HI.A- $u\check{s}$ $:gul-lu[-x-x\ \check{s}a-ra-a(?)\ ku-it-ma(?)]-an^{61}$ a - ra - an - ta - ri
13'	$[{}^{\mathrm{D}}\!\dot{E}$ - A - a š A - NA ${}^{\mathrm{D}}T$ àš- mi -šu $]$ 62 EGIR- pa me - mi - i š- ki - u -an da - a - i š a - a š- ma -an hu - ul - la - nu - un
14'	$[^{\mathrm{D}}Ul\text{-}lu\text{-}kum\text{-}mi\text{-}in(?)^{63}]^{\mathrm{A}_4}\check{\mathrm{S}}\mathrm{U.U}\text{-}zi\text{-}in$ na-an $i\text{-}it\text{-}ten$ $za\text{-}ah\text{-}hi\text{-}e\check{\mathrm{s}}\text{-}ki\text{-}it\text{-}ten$ nam-ma
	[]x ⁶⁴ Gišši-ia-at-tal le-e nam-ma ar-ta-ri ^D Tàš-mi-šu-uš
	$[\ldots\ldots\ldots]u-u$ š-ki-iš-ki-u-an da-a-iš nu 3-Š U pal-wa-it nu-uš-ša-an ša-ra-a
	[iš-t]a-ma-aš-še-er 2-an-ki pal-wa-it nu ^D U-aš ^{URU} Kum-mi-ia-aš
	UR.SAG-iš LUGAL-uš [iš-ta]-ma-aš-ta na-at du-li-ia-aš pí-di an-da e-ri-ir
	nu DINGIR.MEŠ hu-u-ma-an-te-eš A-NA ^D Ul-lu-kum-mi ^{NA} ŠU.U-zi GUD!.HI.A ma-ah-ha-an
	ú-wa-ia-u-wa-an-zi ti-i-e - er
	$^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{U}\text{-}a\S{-}k\acute{a}n ^{\mathrm{G}i\S{-}}ti{-}ia{-}ri{-}da{-}a\S{-}$
	$^{\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A_4}}ku\text{-}un\text{-}ku\text{-}nu\text{-}zi\text{-}i\check{\mathbf{s}}$ $A\text{-}NA$ $^{\mathbf{D}}[\mathbf{U}$ $\mathbf{INIM}.\mathbf{ME\check{S}}$ $m]e\text{-}mi\text{-}i\check{\mathbf{s}}\text{-}ki\text{-}u\text{-}an$ $da\text{-}a\text{-}i\check{\mathbf{s}}$ $ku\text{-}it\text{-}ta$ $me\text{-}ma\text{-}ah\text{-}hi$ $^{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{U}\text{-}ta$ $wa\text{-}al\text{-}hi\text{-}e\check{\mathbf{s}}\text{-}ki$ $nu\text{-}za$ $\mathbf{ZI}\text{-}a\check{\mathbf{s}}\text{-}\check{\mathbf{s}}a\text{-}a\check{\mathbf{s}}\text{-}\check{\mathbf{s}}[a(\text{-})\dots\dots]\mathbf{x}\text{-}x$ $an\text{-}da\text{-}za$ $ar\text{-}ta\text{-}ri$
25'	ku-it-ta me-ma-aḥ-ḥi DU-ta [wa-al-ḥi-eš-ki(?) ⁶⁶ DKu-mar-bi-iš-wa-za(?) ZI-ni(?) ⁶⁷ ḥa-at-t]a-tar pi-ra-an
26′	$^{\rm NA_4}{\rm NUNUZ}$ -an GIM-an kiš-an iš-g[a-ri-iš-ki-it(?)^{67}am-mu-uk-wa-kán(?) AN-ši(?) ša-ra]-ą^{68} LUGAL-iz-na-an-ni
27′	$pa-a-i-mi$ $nu-wa-za$ ${}^{\mathrm{URU}}Kum-mi-ia[-an$ $\mathrm{URU}-an(?)$ $\check{s}a-ne-ez-zi-in(?)$ $\acute{\mathbf{E}}.\mathrm{DINGIR}-LIM(?)$ ${}^{\acute{\mathbf{E}}}k]u-un-tar-ra-an-na^{69}$

29' [$^{\mathrm{D}}U$] l - lu - kum - mi - i š A - N [A $^{\mathrm{D}}U$ E0	GIR- pa me - mi - i š- ki - u - an da - a - i š(?)]x : 71
30' [x x x]x x- i š- ki [$\dots \dots a] n$ -da-za $ar[-ta-ri(?)]^{72}$
].MEŠ-aš
32' [$\vdots $
]x ^{UZU} ha-ah[]
] x x []
•]x-ia-an
, =] - du

28' da-aḥ-ḥi DINGIR.MEŠ-ma-wa-kán ne[-pí-ša-az(?) kat-ta(?) MUŠEN.ḤI.A(?) ma-a-an(?) iš-ḥu]-

- 61 For these tentative restorations see commentary.
- 62 For restoration cf. lines 9 and 15.

 $uh-hi^{70}$

- 63 Restored after line 19; but see commentary.
- ⁶⁴ Trace not = iš. Possibly [NA4ku-un-ku-nu-uz-zi-iš-ša-a]n(?).
 - 65 Middle of tablet. 66 Restored after 23 f.
- ⁶⁷ Tentative restoration according to beginning of enic.
- 68 Cf. tbl. I A iii 19; details (ammuk-wa-kan, AN-ši) uncertain.
- ⁶⁹ Tentative restoration; cf. tbl. I A iii 20 and iv 32. Restored as one phrase because nu-wa-za (27) goes with dahhi (28) whereas GAM tamaš-requires -kan.
- ⁷⁰ Restored according to tbl. I A iii 24, although the space seems larger even if *kat-ta* and *ma-a-an* are spelled syllabically. Perhaps this line was written with wider spacing.
 - 71 Single 'Winkelhaken' at end of line; meaning?
 - ⁷² Cf. line 24.

bb Or: asman hullanun [dan-man tarhun] kunkunuzzin First I struck him,

[but for the second time
I defeated him,] the
kunkunuzzi;

see commentary.

cc Or: nu-san sara [halzais] "and upward [he called]".

dd Or: "Keep hitting thyself!" See commentary.

The dea[d...] on [the dark] Earth with (mine) (11) akkandu[s dankuwai] daganzipi sakwit eyes I saw!aa uskinunaa They are dust, aa nat X-us [whi]le the ..[...] are standing [up]!"aa (12) gullu[-.. sara kwitm]an arantariaa [Ea to Tašmišu] again began to speak: (13) [Aas Tasmisui] appa memiskiwan dais asman hullanun (14) [Ullukummin] kunkunuzzin^{bb} "First I struck him, [Ullukummi,] the kunkunuzzi.bb Now go ye (and) fight him again! nan itten zahheskitten namma [The kunkunuzzi as a] blade shall no longer stand!" (15) [kunkunuzzis-sa]n siyattal le namma artari Tašmišu [.....] began to rejoice, Tasmisus (16) [......] duskiskiwan dais nu 3-anki palwait and three times he shouted. nu-san sara (17) [nepisi pait]^{cc} and up [to Heaven he went], cc [and the gods helard (it). [nu siunes ista] masser Twice he shouted, 2-anki palwait nu StG.-as Kummiyas (18) hastalis hassus istaand the Storm-God, the brave king of Kummiya, heard (it). mastanat tuliyas pidi anda erir And to the assembly-place they came, (19) nu siunes humantes Ullukummiya kunkunuzzi and all the gods against Ullukummi, the kunkunuzzi, like bulls began to bellow. X-es mahhan (20) uwayawanzi tier (21) StG.-as-kan tiyaritas sara gagastiyas mān The Storm-God upon his *cart* like a sprang, and with thunder down to the sea he went. watkut nas-kan tethesnaz (22) katta aruni ar(a)s And he fought him—the Storm-God (fought) the nan zahheskizzi StG.-as kunkunuzzin kunkunuzzi. (23) kunkunuzzis StG.-ni [uddar] memiskiwan The kunkunuzzi to the Storm-God [the words] began to speak: dais"What shall I tell thee? kwit-ta memahhi O Storm-God, keep fighting!dd StG.-ta (24) walheski And also his mind's [.....] within stands. nu-za istanzanas-sas[-a] . . anda-za artari "What shall I tell thee? (25) kwit-ta memahhi O Storm-God, [keep fighting!]dd StG.-ta [walheski] [Kumarbis-wa-za istanzani hatta]tar piran (26) [Kumarbi] unto [(his) mind wis]dom like a bead kunnan mān kisan isk[ariskit] thus st[uck]: [U]p [to Heaven,] to kingship, I will go, [ammuk-wa-kan nepisi sar]a hassuiznanni (27) paimiand Kummiya, [the dear town, the temple] and the nu-wa-za Kummiya[n happiran sanezzin karimmi] dwelling I will take, kuntarran-a (28) dahhi siunius-ma-wa-kan ne[pisaz katta X-us mān ishu]but the gods [down from] Helaven like birds] I will [scatter]!" hhiUllukummi [to the Storm-God again began to speak]: (29) *Ullukummis* [StG.-ni appa memiskiwan dais] (Lines 29b to 45 are too fragmentary for coherent (Lines 29b to 45 are too fragmentary for coherent translation. transcription. Of 29b to 36, which probably contain Ullikummi's Of 29b to 36 one recognizes the following) speech, one recognizes the following:) (30) $[\ldots]$ and a-za ar[tari](30) [.... within st[ands]; (32) [.....] upper [....]; $(32) \left[\dots sar \right] azzi \left[(-) \dots \right]$ (33) (the name of a part of the body);

37' [$\dots \dots $ $-ru$ - wa - mu
38' [^D Ku-mar-bi-iš-wa-mu(?)]-kán ku-it ŠUM-an
39' [da-a-iš(?)	
40′ [
41' [
42' [$\dots \dots]$ x- ti - i š- ta [?]
43' [
44' []
45' []
(End of tablet. Colophon lost)	
(End of tablet. Colophon lost)	
(End of tablet. Colophon lost) E ₂ , col. III.	
(End of tablet. Colophon lost) E ₂ , col. III. (Greater part of column lost)	
(End of tablet. Colophon lost) E ₂ , col. III. (Greater part of column lost) 1' nu Px[73	
(End of tablet. Colophon lost) E ₂ , col. III. (Greater part of column lost) 1' nu Px[⁷³ 2' nu-za NA ₄ ŠU.U[
(End of tablet. Colophon lost) E ₂ , col. III. (Greater part of column lost) 1' nu Px[3] 2' nu-za NA4ŠU.U[3' e-eš-ša-a-i x[
(End of tablet. Colophon lost) E ₂ , col. III. (Greater part of column lost) 1' nu Px[⁷³ 2' nu-za NA ₄ ŠU.U[

(End of column III. Of col. IV, only uninscribed part preserved)

11' nu-wa-za ^{uru}Kum-mi[-ia-an URU-an ša-ne-ez-zi-in(?).....da-a-ú(?) ^DU-an-ma-wa]

13' DINGIR.MEŠ-ma-wa-kán n[e-pí-ša-az kat-ta MUŠEN.HI.A GIM-an iš-hu-wa-ú(?)]

73 Otten, priv. comm.

6' am-mu-uk-wa-za x[...

9' nu-wa ki-iš-ša-a[n. . .

7' GALGA- tar^{75} me-mi- $i[\S-ki$ -it(?)...

8' ZI-ni-wa-za kat-ta[-an ha-at-ta-tar da-aš-ki-it(?)...

10' AN-ši-wa-kán ša[-ra-a LUGAL-iz-na-an-ni pa-id- $du(?)^{76}$...

 $^{^{74}}$ According to Otten (priv. comm) either so or $^{\text{D}}A\text{-}a\text{-}a\text{\'s}\ ud[\text{-}da\text{-}a\text{-}ar\ \dots].$

⁷⁵ According to photograph (Otten, priv. comm.; cf. MGK p. 25).

⁷⁶ Lines 10-13 restored after tbl. I A iii 19 ff., cf. tbl. III(?) iv 26 ff. and Otten, MGK p. 25. For line 11 see also above, note 69. Instead of the 3rd pers. sing imper. forms, the verbs could also be in the 2d pers. sing. imper.: i-it, da-a, GUL-ab, and iš-hu-wa-i, respectively.

(Of lines 37–43 one recognizes the following)	(36) (3rd person [sing. or plur.?] imperative).(Lines 37 ff. still contain a speech; perhaps continuation of Ullikummi's speech)
(37b) []-ru-wa-mu (38) [] (38b) [Kumarbis-wa-mu]-kan kwit laman (39) [dais]	(37b) (particle of direct speech and pronoun "me") (38 f.) The name which [Kumarbi put on me]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(40) (3rd person [sing. or plur.?] imperative) (41) [the br]ave () [] (Lines 42–43 may be part of the narration rather
[l-tista (43) [kunkunuz]zin (44) [] (44–45 completely destroyed; then double line, indicating end of tablet; colophon lost)	than of the speech) (42) (3rd sing. preterit) (43) [the kunkunuz]zi (acc.) [] (44-45 completely destroyed; end of tablet; colophon lost)
E_2 , col. III	$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{2}},\mathrm{col.}\mathrm{III}$
(Greater part of column lost)	(Greater part of column lost)
$(1) \ nu \ [\dots \dots]$	And(name of a god) []
(2) nu-za kunkunuz[zis]	And the kunkunuzzi []
[] (3) essai n[u Ullikummis StGni memiskiwan dais]	[] he fulfills. [And the kunkunuzzi io the Storm-God began to speak]:
(4) StG. Aas -wa[(-)] ^{ee}	"O Storm-God! Ea (nom.) []ee
[] (5) twetaz []	[] from thee []
(6) ammuk-wa-za []	I (or: Me/To me) [
[] (7) hattatar memi[skit]	[Kumarbi] wisdom sp[oke]
[]	[]
(8) istanzani-wa-za katta[n hattatar daskit]	Unto his mind [wisdom he took],
[]	[]
(9) nu-wa kissan [and thus [he spoke]:
(10) nepisi-wa-kan sa[ra hassuiznanni paiddu (or: it)] ^{ff}	'Up to Heaven [to kingship (he shall) go!]ff
(11) nu - wa - za $Kummi[yan$ happiran sanezzin dau (or: da)] ^{ff}	And Kummiya[, the dear town,(he shall) take!]ff
[StGan-ma-wa] (12) $Kummiyas$ [ḫastalin ḫassun walḫdu (or: walḫ)] $^{\rm ff}$	[But the Storm-God,] Kummiya's [brave king, (he shall) hit,] ^{ff}
[] (13) siunius-ma-wa-kan n[episaz katta X-us mān ishuwau (or: ishuwai)] ^{ff}	[] But the gods [down from] He[aven like birds (he shall) scatter!' "] ^{ff}
(End of col. III; col. IV and colophon lost)	(End of col. III; col. IV and colophon lost)

ee Or: StG. $Aas\ ud[dar\ \dots\]$ "O Storm-God! Ea (nom.) the wo[rds $\dots\]$; see commentary.

^{ff} Since the verb is not preserved in any of these lines, it may be restored either as third or as second person of the imperative.

Commentary

First Tablet

A i 1-4, B i 1-4: If the readings $i \leq [-ha-mi-ih-hi]$, [ku]-e-da-ni and [k]u-iš in B 1, 2 and 3, respectively, are correct, B seems to have two relative clauses belonging to a lost noun in line 1, which, in turn, is taken up by the apposition in line 4: "I shall sing of [....], in whose mind....and who..., (namely,) of.... Kumarbi". In A, the construction is different; the verb stands in line 4. One thinks of taking the first three lines as relative clauses, all depending upon Kumarbin in 4 (so Goetze, in Pritchard, ANET p. 121). There is, however, one difficulty: the trace at the end of A 3 can only be n[a] (confirmed by photograph) and this can only be restored as a combination of nu and the enclitic pronoun of the third person (naš, nan, nat). This seems to indicate that the third verse in A is a main clause as expressed in our translation.

A i 13-14: The new fragments show that in this common phrase mention is not made merely of "swift shoes" but rather of "the swift winds as shoes". The word IM.MEŠ-uš = huwanduš "winds" which happened to be broken in all of the previously known passages, is now preserved in A (= MGK 7a) iii 41. Accordingly, it has to be restored in the parallel passages. In our passage, the traces in XXXIII 96 i 14 now turn out to be IM.M[EŠ], too. In the full form INA GIR.MEŠ-KA/ŠU-ma-zaKUSE.SIR.HI.A-uš liliwanduš IM.MEŠ-uš šarkui(t), the phrase occurs in tbl. I A iii 40 f. and tbl. III(?) A ii 3 f. A shorter version appears in tbl. I C ii 34 and C iii 6, where there is room only for INA GIR. $MEŠ - KA/\check{S}U(-ma-za)$ KUŠE.SIR.HI.A-uššarkui(t) "upon thy/his feet (he) put the shoes". Still shorter is the version of tbl. I B i 11 (translit. n. 22): kattan KUSE.SIR.HI.A-uš šarkuit "below, he put on the shoes" (C iii 6 perhaps to be restored in this way). A different form appears in the story of the Sun-God and the Cow (XXIV 7 iii 65 f., cf. Kum. p. 56 and correct Friedrich, ZA 49 p. 230 f.): I[-NA GIR.MEŠ-KA-ma-za KUŠE.SIR.HI.A-uš l]iliwanza IM.MEŠ šarku. Here, liliwanza is construed as a modifier of the subject, "as a swift one", which amounts to the same as an adverb: "Upon thy feet as shoes put swiftly the winds!"; cf. the same construction in our tbl. II B i 20 f.

In tbl. III(?) i 31 f., where the passage applies to the goddess Takiti, the end of our phrase must either be restored, in spite of its length, at the end of 31 (see n. ff to transcription); or, if the end of the phrase stands in 32, the object is different from "winds" (alternative adopted in the text), perhaps because Takiti is a woman.

A i 16: I take *ikunta luli* as a unit. It seems to be the name of a place. *luli* (if the reading is correct) is known as meaning "pond" or the like; *ikunta*, with 'Glossenkeil' in B, might be a 'Luwian' form of Hitt. *ekuna*- "cool, cold". But I have refrained from translating "Cool Pond" or the like; *cf.* now Laroche, RA 45(1951) p. 96. If the two words are one name, the position of *-kan* can be understood as being after the second unit of the phrase. To take *ikunta* as a verb (as Otten suggested, MGK p. 14 n. 2) seems unlikely.

A ii 6 and 13: For these two lines, cf. C ii 3 (variant reading of A 13). Apart from the fact that in affirmative copulative phrases where the verb is in the third pers. sing. pres. Hittite usually omits the verb "is", the particle -kan of C, too, points to an interpretation of ešzi as "he is seated". Cf. Nr. 120 (Kum., text 1 a) i 9 and 16 and our tbl. III(?) A ii 15 for the durative meaning "is seated" of the active form ešzi, as opposed to the punctual middle forms ešat "sat down" and ešuwaštati "we shall sit down". In both cases we find the particle -šan (in Nr. 120) or -kan (in our epic), but in neither of them is -za used (contrast Friedrich, Heth. Elem. I § 253). In connection with this interpretation of ešzi, I propose the conjectural reading [GIŠ]Š $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{!}$. A-Š $U^{!}$ for the enigmatic signs of C 3, although they look different (cuneif. copy confirmed by my own collation, in 1944, and by Otten from a photograph, except for the top horizontal of the last sign). As Goetze pointed out to me, the meaning of our phrase must be something like "Kumarbi must remain seated on the throne".

A ii 9: appa memiškiwan daiš. This phrase is used in our epic:

(1) to introduce a reply: tbl. I A ii 15; tbl. II B₂ i 5; D iv 14 (in the variants); B i 35; tbl. III(?) iii 40; probably also *ibd*. iv 9, tbl. I A ii 9 and tbl. II B₂ i 2 where the preceding speeches are fragmentary but where our phrase may introduce an answer. Restored in tbl. II B i 4; tbl. III(?) i 38; iv 29;

- (2) to introduce a new speech of the same person; this can be addressed
- (a) to the same person as the preceding speech: tbl. III A ii 17 (Tašmišu to the Storm-God as before in line 12); *ibd*. iv 13 (Ea to Tašmišu as before in line 9);
- (b) to another person, so that only the speaker remains the same: tbl. I A iv 55 (Storm-God to Istanu, after having first spoken to Tašmišu, 49); tbl. III(?) A ii 12 (Tašmišu to the Storm-God, after having finished his words to Hebat, 10 f.); ibd. iii 48 (Ea to the Former Gods, after having spoken to Upelluri);
- (3) to introduce the repetition of a message: tbl. I C iii 10 (restoration certain), where Impaluri delivers Kumarbi's message to the Irširras; cf. XII 65 iii 5 "Mukišanu Kumarbi's words to the Sea appa mem. daiš";
- (4) with no apparent reason: tbl. II B i 19. Here, Ištar's soliloquy is introduced by nu-za $^{\mathrm{D}}I\check{S}TAR$ - $i\check{s}$ ZI -ni (19) EGIR -pa $memi\check{s}kizzi$. Neither a speech addressed to her nor another speech of her own precedes. Besides, one would expect ANA PANI ZI - $\check{S}U$. Perhaps ZI -ni EGIR -pa has to be considered a mistake.

In the cases (1) to (3) it is easy to find special translations fitting the different occasions: (1) "he spoke back" = "he replied" or "answered"; (2) "he went on to speak" (a: to the same, or b: to another person); (3) "he repeated". I have refrained from such special translations because I wanted to keep one and the same rendering of the Hittite phrase in all cases. Just as the Hittite listener knew how to understand appa memiškiwan daiš in different contexts, so it is not difficult for the modern reader to interpret "again began to speak" according to the different situations in which this phrase is used.

A ii 10: EN-IA-mu. Impaluri is the Sea's vizier, as we now learn from MGK 25 (ibd. p. 32) iv 1. Until now, the sex of the Sea was not known (Kum. p. 95). The fact that the Sea had a vizier is not decisive for the sex, since Ištar, too, had a sukkallu (XXVII 1 ii 17 = Thureau-Dangin, Syria XII [1931] p. 257). But the EN-IA "my lord" of our passage might show that the Sea was male, that is, if these words are used to address the Sea, which seems natural at the beginning of a speech. It is true that the enclitic -mu prevents us from taking EN-IA as vocative išha-mi; the enclitic personal pronoun shows that EN-IA is

part of the sentence (išhaš-miš or išhan-min, according to the broken context). Theoretically it is possible that this refers not to the Sea who is addressed but to another person, let us say Kumarbi. On the other hand, the very fact that Impaluri is the Sea's vizier makes it more probable that "my lord", even as part of the sentence, refers to the addressee ("appositional nominative", JAOS 65 [1945] p. 252; A. Hahn, JAOS 70 [1950], p. 237). Cf. EN-IA-mu in XXXIII 99 i 5, GAŠAN-IA-mu in tbl. III(?) i 38 and below our discussion of tbl. III(?) iv 23 and 25. The most natural explanation, therefore, seems to be that the Sea is male and that "my lord" at the beginning of Impaluri's speech refers to him.

A ii 12: For *šakuwaianun* see Goetze, JAOS 69 (1949) p. 182, and his translation in Pritchard, ANET p. 121.

C ii 14: For the Hitt. reading of LÉNAR see the vocabulary KBo I 52 i 15 f. (cf. Hrozný, BoSt. I p. 52 n. 1):

[(na-ar) NAR] =
$$[^{\text{L\'{U}}?}n]a\text{-}a\text{-}ru$$
 = $^{\text{L\'{U}}}NAR\text{-}a\check{s}$
[(") NAR] = $[za\text{-}am\text{-}ma]\text{-}ru$ = $^{\text{L\'{U}}}ki\text{-}nir\text{-}tal$ - $la\text{-}a\check{s}\text{-}p\acute{a}t$.

The particle -pat indicates that the Hitt. reading in both lines is the same, hence LONAR = kinirtallaš. Cf. now S. Alp, Jahrb. f. Kleinas. Forsch. I (1950), n. 103 on p. 132.

A iii 3–5. The restorations given in the transliteration are Otten's who in his letter referred to Friedrich, JCS I p. 275 with n. 1. For the $U[-\ldots]$ of line 5, Otten tentatively proposed $\bar{U}[-UL-ma-k\acute{a}n \ ^{\mathrm{D}}\mathrm{UTU}-u\check{s} \ u-up-zi(??)].$ My translation "(night-)watch" for hali(ia)- is based on the material presented by Friedrich, l.c., and some other texts. In the Instructions for Temple Officials (XIII 4 and dupl., Sturtevant-Bechtel, Chrest. pp. 148 ff.), col. ii 80 ff., it is unlikely that hali- = tarbaşu "pen, corral" is used for the temple "precinct" (cf. already Ehelolf, Stud. Or. I [1925] p. 12 n. 1. This distinction is not weakened by the occurrence of hali-"pen" in the same text, ii 16 and iv 59). I propose to translate "watch" in ii 80, iii 18 f., 24 of that text and in XIII 1 i 28. This interpretation permits us to connect hali- with halilatalla-"watchman" (Götze, Madd. p. 106 f.) with which it stands together in some of the above-mentioned passages, and also to connect it with the word discussed by Friedrich, l.c., without the necessity of assuming a special meaning "shadow". Just as haliin some of the passages of XIII 4 has the local connotation of "Wachbezirk", it has the temporal connotation of "(night-)watch" in Friedrich's texts. A similar passage has been communicated to me by Otten: 2385/c,9: nu-za I-NA UD.2. KAM ap-pi-iz-zi-ia-aš pa-a-l[i-...]. According to these texts, the Hittites, like other peoples, divided the night into three watches, since we have references to an "inner" or "middle" and to a "last" watch. [Cf. Goetze, Lang. 27 473 n. 20].

To return to our text, it seems clear, in spite of its fragmentary state which leaves many questions open, that Ullikummi's birth takes place by night. This fits the story very well. The restoration of *ištarniiaš* is, of course, a mere guess.

A iii 11: For the rendering of ^DGUL-šeš as "Fate-Goddesses" see Friedrich, JCS I pp. 283 f.—I am not sure whether *Ḥannaḥanna*, the Hitt. name of the individual Mother-Goddess ^DMAḤ or ^DNIN.TU, can be used in the plural as reading of ^DMAḤ.ḤI.A-uš, the collective name of a group of minor goddesses; nor is the translation "Mother-Goddesses" certain.

A iii 17: The meaning of Giššiiattal is still far from clear. Forrer's translation "missile, arrow" (Forsch. I p. 197) and my own previous rendering as "pole" (Kum. p. 63) were both based on the assumed connection with the verb šai-/šiia-. Since the equation of Giššiiattal with GišŠU. I is now certain (Kum. p. 67; Laroche, RHA 47 p. 22; Otten, MGK p. 13 n. 4), a new attempt at determining the meaning has to start from the logogram. Although the combination GišŠU.I does not occur in Sumerian or Akkadian, one naturally thinks of the element $\S U.I$ in $L^{U}\S U.I = gall \bar{a}bu$ and GIR.ŠU.I = naglabu (both Deimel, Sum. Lex. 354, 193). The form GISSU. I could be considered as Hittite variation of GIR.SU.I, "tool of the gallābu" instead of "knife of the gallābu". The usual translation of $^{\text{L\'U}}\text{ŠU.I} = gall\bar{a}bu$ as "barber" has recently been doubted by Goetze (JCS I p. 83 n. 12, based on a still unpublished text). However that may be, it is certain that naglabu is a cutting tool. In our epic, šiiattal =GIŠŠU. I is always mentioned as a simile for the stone-monster Ullikummi; in most passages it is said that he "is standing like a *šiiattal*". In this connection, Landsberger has pointed to the description of high mountains in Assyrian royal inscriptions as "standing up like the sharpened

blades of swords" or "like sharpened spear-heads" (references in A. Schott, MVAG 30, 2 [1925] pp. 103 f.). An unpublished Hittite text communicated to me by Otten may be interpreted in the light of these Assyrian expressions: 2271/c,9: $[\ldots]_{x}$ GIŠ $\dot{s}i$ -ia-tal GIM-an HUR.SAG $[\ldots]_{x}$ This may mean "[....] like a *šiiattal* the mountains [....]", although the fragmentary state of the text leaves room for other interpretations (GIM-an temporal "when"). At any rate, the logogram GIŠŠU. I is against "pole" and "arrow" and also against a combination with those Assyrian texts which compare the mountains with spear-heads. Since the easiest interpretation of GISSU. I is the assumed equation with GIR.SU. I which is a cutting tool, and since a description of the Stone as "standing up like a blade" can be understood in the light of the above-mentioned Assyrian comparisons, I now propose tentatively to translate *šiiattal* as "blade". That this interpretation is not final goes without saying. It implies that the similarity with the verbal root šai/šiia- is accidental. The denominative verb šiiattalliia- (Code § 41 in KBo VI 5 iv 3, against *šiiattariia*- in the main copy of §§ 40 and 41; šiiattalliški- in KUB II 1 vi 6, 8; cf. Kum. p. 128 and Otten, MGK p. 34) is not clear and does not contribute to establishing the meaning.

A iii 21: [ez]zan. Since the meaning of puššaihas been established as "to pound" or the like (Goetze, JCS I pp. 316 f.), [U]N-an GIM-an "like a man" (Laroche, RHA 47 p. 22) has become impossible. The traces can also be [...]xza-an with very little missing. The only restoration I can suggest is [i]z-za-an. This word occurs in Hatt. iv 83 (cf. Götze, Hatt. pp. 104 f.; Sturtevant, Chrest. p. 99) and 2 BoTU 23 E ii 7 = A ii 61 (Proclamation of Telipinu, § 32) together with GIŠŠUB "property"; according to VIII 50 ii 5 (Friedrich, ZA 39 pp. 22 f.), the behavior of a woman who is robbed of her izzan is used as a simile for Gilgameš's wailing. The meaning "salt", proposed here tentatively, would fit our context well and seems also possible for the texts just mentioned. The complement MUN-an (nomin.) in KBo V 2 ii 15 fits a neuter in -an; but in KBo VI 34 ii 9 the nominative is MUN-aš! The fact that in XXXIV 68 rev. 7 izzan is burned does not speak against "salt"; for salt was burned according to Maglû (ed. G. Meier, AfO Beih. 2, tbl. V 79, tbl. VI 111-114 and tbl. IX 118–120. I owe these references to Landsberger who also pointed to the parallelism between Akkad. $pa'\bar{a}su$ and Hitt. $pu\check{s}\check{s}ai$ -, both used in connection with salt and the $uh\bar{u}lu$ -plant [Delitzsch, HW p. 514; Goetze, JCS l.c.]). In the Soldiers' Oath (KBo VI 34 ii 5–10; Friedrich, ZA 35 pp. 164 f.; Goetze, in Pritchard, ANET p. 353), salt is thrown on the hearth where it is "scattered" ($par\check{s}ittari$) by the heat. An unpublished occurrence of ezzan has been contributed by Otten: 1086/c obv. 5–6:

[....]x e-ez-za-an GIŠ-ru ḥa-aḥ-ḥal [.....] Ì.NUN LAL KASKAL-aš.

The mention of butter and honey seems suggestive. GIŠ-ru is certainly "wood, tree", not to be read GIŠ-UB, in view of hahhal (for this word see Goetze, Tunnawi pp. 85 f.). Does this text indicate that one should read GIŠ-ru in the Hattušili and Telipinu texts too, and could "wood and salt(??)" be an idiomatic expression for "everything"?

A iii 22: lalakueša-. In ABoT 38, 3 f., it is said that "[if] la-la-ku-e-eš-šar comes", the incantation-priest performs an exorcism. Accordingly, lalakueššar is an evil. Something that comes as an evil and can be crushed with the foot (with a rubbing movement of the foot, since pašihai- elsewhere means "to rub, smear", Kum. p. 64), can only be some kind of vermin. The vocabulary KUB III 94 deals with vermin in col. ii, and there occurs in line 26 a word la-la-wi-iš-aš which cannot be separated from ours. This is equated, according to Landsberger's reading, with DAG+KISIM₅×GIR(!) (the inscribed sign is not clear but should be GIR as in Sum. Lex. Nr. 281a) = gul-pa-ab(!)-du; this latter, gulbabtu, is the same as kul-ba-bu (formerly misread zir-babu) "the ant". The Hitt. word occurs also in KUB VIII 63 iv 12 (= Kum., text 3 b): $la-la-\acute{u}-e$ ša-aš ga-ra-pi, and in XVII 27 ii 5 (mentioned and restored by Otten): EGIR-ŠÚ-ma la-la-ú[-e- $\S a-a\S(?)\dots$ (6) taknaz-kan kwit $\S ara$ pid $da[\ldots]$. Both passages fit the meaning "ant". In our epic, the simile "crush him with your foot like an ant" is perfect; the word in -eššar in ABoT 38 may be the appropriate collective noun, a "swarm of ants". [Cf. Landsberger, MSL II 110f.]

A iii 22 and 36: The meaning "to break off" for *arḥa zaḥ(ḥu)reški*- is only conjectured from the context. For the adjective *ḥaḥḥari*- I have nothing to offer.

A iii 33: For the possibility of taking SAL-as as nomin. (against normal SAL-za), see KBo IV 6 obv. 15. The preceding word I can neither restore nor translate.

A iv 12: NA4kunkunuzzi-. The translation "diorite" was based on a passage in Weissbach, Bab. Miscellen p. 7 (text III), where it is said that NA₄ŠU.U (this is the logogram of Hitt. NA₄kunkunuzzi-) is the material of the mace-head which bears the inscription and which the excavators described as being diorite. The difficulty, however, exists that diorite, according to the inscriptions of Gudea, is ^{NA_4}KAL (read ESI) = $u \hat{s} \hat{u}$. Weissbach's suggestion that δu -u is another form of $u \dot{s} \hat{u}$ is certainly wrong. For details and additional literature see Sommer-Falkenstein, HAB p. 119 n. 2; Otten, MGK p. 20 n. 7. Even if "diorite" were correct, the use of such a technical term in the translation of a poetic text would hardly be in place. On the other hand, Sturtevant derives the word kunkunuzzi- from the root kun/kwen- "to kill, slay" (Compar. Gram., 2d ed. p. 77), so that the name would mean something like "killer, slayer". This seems appropriate for the character of Ullikummi as well as for a stone that was used for a mace-head, but it does not help us to determine the nature of the stone, nor would "Killer" or "Slayer" in our translation make it clear that this is the name of a stone. For these reasons I have left kunkunuzzi untranslated. What can be said about the nature of kunkunuzzi has already been stated by Sommer, l.c.: it is a non-precious stone and was used in large pieces.

A iv 32: Ekuntarra. This name of a building (or part of a building) is not known from other texts. In our epic it occurs together with Ekarimmi = £.DINGIR-LIM "temple" (tbl. I A iv 32; II B i 16; III(?) i 19; iv 27). It might be a special part of the temple as abode of the gods. Note that the kuntarra- is not mentioned where the combination "Hebat and the temple(s)" is used, and that in leaving their home the gods leave the kuntarra- first (tbl. II). My rendering as "chamber" is a guess, based on this insufficient evidence. Recently, Laroche has combined this word with the mountain-name Kandurna for which there is now a variant reading Kundurra (tbl. III(?) A ii · 13 f. with variants from B; Laroche, RA 45 [1951], p. 97). It is hard to say whether this similarity is more then accidental. A iv 39 f.: nušši kartimmiiatti piran [....] tameummešta or tameummahtat. For this phrase see Goetze, JAOS 69 p. 183. Unfortunately the subject is lost or damaged in all passages where this phrase occurs. These are:

- (a) tbl. I A iv 39 f. (ours): nušši TUKU.TUKUatti pi-an (40) [x x] ta-mu-u[m-....];
- (b) tbl. II B i 2 f.: nuwašši kartimmiiatti (3) [piran x x tameu]mmahtat;
- (c) tbl. II i 27 f.: nušši kartimmiiatti piran x-x-x (28) tameummešta;
- (d) XXXIII 107 + MGK 17 i 9 f. (placed here between cols. ii and iii of tbl. III(?)): [nušši kartimmiiatti] (10) piran [x²]x iš² tami[-...];
- (e) MGK 25 iv 2 f. (Otten p. 32): nuš[ši kartimmiiatti piran...] (3) tameummaḥtat;
- (f) tbl. III(?) iv 7 f.: NÍ.TE-iwaššikan an[-da x x]x-eš (or: MEŠ) ta-me-um-mi-iš[-š]a²-an² (or: -iš-ta²) SAG.DU[-imawaššikan] (8) šer tetanuš t[a-me-u]m-mi-eš-ta te-eš[-x²]-x (for the reading see notes to translit.).

Traces of the noun are visible in (c) and (d). In (d), after a short gap (see drawing in MGK 17), XXXIII 107 offers part of an $i\check{s}$; before this $i\check{s}$, the head of a vertical wedge was seen by Otten, according to a private communication. This might be either the end of one missing sign or of the second of two short signs. In (c), the first sign of the word (XXXIII 113 i 14 end) begins with three horizontals; small traces of two(?) more signs are given in MGK 12 i 27; the last of them might be $i\check{s}$ as in (d). As Otten wrote me, the traces in both texts are not favorable for Goetze's restoration \check{A} . MEŠ.

In (f), the end of the noun in the first phrase can be either x-eš or MEŠ; the space allows for three signs between an[-da] and eš or MEŠ. This seems to be more than in (c) and (d) and certainly is too much for [Å].MEŠ. Since the whole context of (f) is different, the noun need not be the same as in (a)-(e). In the second part of (f), "the hair" is the subject.

I cannot offer a suggestion for the restoration of the noun in (a)-(e) nor for that in the first part of (f), but neither can I follow Goetze's interpretation. If $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$.MEŠ is excluded by the traces, there is no necessity for comparing Akkad. aham nadû or for abandoning Sommer's interpretation of tameuma- from which our verb is derived (HAB p. 169 f.). For the adjective, Otten has communicated to me the following

- unpublished passage: 679/c obv.(?) 9 ff.:
- (9) na-at U-UL u-uh-hi zi-ga-at-kan le-e wa[-...
- (10) A-NA ^{UZU}NÍG .GIG ta-ma-a-e ša-ga-a-e [. . . .
- (11) da-me-u-ma-an nu ku-iš a-pí-ni-iš-šu-wa-an
- (12) na-an ki-i NI- $I\check{S}$ DINGIR.MEŠ QA-DU DAM- $\check{S}U$ [DUMU.MEŠ- $\check{S}U$].

Unfortunately this is too fragmentary for determining the meaning, although Sommer's proposal "belonging to another, alien" etc. seems to be possible.

What can be altered or become estranged as a result of anger? One would think of the color, the face, the mind, the soul, the heart or something similar (in the second part of (f), the hair perhaps changed its color). But I cannot find a Hittite word or a logogram of any of these meanings that would fit the traces.

A iv 41: kalmara. The rendering "mountain", proposed in Kum. p. 69, is based on the fact that a kalmara- or several of them were depicted on a huwaši-stone and a statue base of solar deities (KBo II 1 ii 13 [cf. Or. XV p. 493 sub 4 b] and iv 5). The place whence the sun comes in the morning (XVII 1 ii 14 = Friedrich, ZA 49 pp. 238 f.) could also be the "horizon", but how should the horizon be depicted in art, and why should it be in the plural? For the phonetic complements of HUR.SAG see Friedrich, l.c. p. 254: HUR.SAG-ri (XXIX 1 i 14) speaks for the equation; HUR.SAG.MEŠ-ša-aš (Friedrich p. 234, 8) could be dat. plur. in -š + pronoun -aš.

For the understanding of our passage which has become more complete in the meantime, the reading of the first sign of line 42 is of importance. I first read [m]a-a-an, Goetze read [d]a-a-an. Otten who was kind enough to collate the photograph expressed himself also in favor of [d]a-a-an, which I have, therefore, now adopted. In the phrase "The Sun-God for the second time again [...ed] the kalmara- (acc. plur.)", the meaning "mountains" is just as well possible as "horizon" (so Goetze, in Pritchard, ANET p. 123). The verb was restored by Goetze as "[entered]", which presupposes an accusative of direction; instead, one might think of [za-a-iš] "crossed" which has the advantage of being transitive.

Unfortunately we cannot decide whether at the end of the gap [pa]-ra-a or [ša]-ra-a has to be restored, nor what immediately preceded this word.

Second Tablet

B i 2 f.: In nuwašši and nuwa, the particle -wa of direct speech seems superfluous, as already stated by Otten, MGK p. 19 n. 8. The whole passage seems to form part of the narration rather than of a speech. It is easy to assume a mistake (cf. Friedrich, Heth. Elem. I § 295b); but see below on line 25.

B i 5-12: Although the sense of this passage seems quite clear in general, it is not easy to restore the broken parts in detail. I tried out several possibilities and discussed them with Otten. The following contributions are Otten's:

- (1) The traces in 11 and 12 are different from da, so that it is not necessary to restore an-da in 11, 12 and 5 according to 6.
- (2) "Bread on the table" is Otten's suggestion, upon which the interpretation of the trace in 11 as [GIŠBANŠ]UR is based.
- (3) At the end of 11 and 12, Otten's transliteration MGK p. 18 is correct, whereas the cuneiform copy of Nr. 12 gives too much space between the fragments Bo 8028 and Bo 4348.
- (4) For the restoration of 10, Otten adduced the parallel KUB VI 45 iii 60 f. // 46 iv 29 f.

The main difficulty in restoring lines 6–7 rests on the question as to where to place $i \not s p i y a$ which is required by the parallel $h a \not s \not s i k$. I have adopted a suggestion of Goetze's who replaces in 7 Otten's KAŠ-i a by [...i] $\not s$ -p i-i a. Thereby it becomes possible to establish full parallelism between lines 5–6 and 11–12 as well as between the two parts of line 7. With restorations spelled out, these lines would then read:

- (5) [GIŠBANŠUR-i-wa-kán NINDA] šanezzešdu nu-wa-za ezza
- (6) [GAL-i-ma-wa-kán GEŠTIN.K]U₇ anda šanezzesdu

nu-wa (7) [e-ku]

 $[e ext{-}ez ext{-}za ext{-}wa ext{-}za\ nu ext{-}wa\ i]$ š-pí-ia

eku-ma-wa nu-wa-za hassik . . .

(11) [nu-kán NINDA I-NA GIŠBANŠU]R šanezzešta

[nu-za] ezza[tta]

(12) [GAL-i-ma-kán an-da GEŠTIN.K]U₇ šanezzešta

nu ekutta.

B i 18: If the reading UR.SAG-annaz is correct, I can understand this phrase only under the assumption that *arḥa* belongs to *nepišaz* although

it stands after UR.SAG-annaz; the latter I take for an adverbial ablative.

i 24: IGI. HI. A-in. Otten, MGK p. 20 n. 5, noted that the phonetic complement does not fit šakuwa "eyes". I think the complement points to a reading menin (accus.), since (1) IGI. HI. A can be a writing for Akkad. pānū "face" which is plurale tantum, (2) meni- is known as Hitt. word for "face" (see now Goetze, JCS IV p. 225), and (3) meni- is an -i-stem as required by the complement. In XXXIV 85, 7 (quoted by Otten, l.c.) "evil face" is as good as "evil eye" ("Böser Blick").—For teški- see Otten, l.c. n. 4; the parallel passage with dai- is now tbl. II iv 12.

i 25: Here again the "superfluous" -wa occurs. It may again be just a mistake. But since it stands in a verse which repeats and supplements the preceding, it might have an affirmative meaning like "yea" or the like. Such a function could be easily combined with the normal use as particle of direct speech. But as long as this example for such a meaning stands alone, this interpretation remains hypothetical.

- i 35: *mal*. This word is still obscure. In addition to our passage
- (a) ma-al-wa-za te-pu-ia U-UL [ša-a]k-ki our text offers in line 38
- (b) ma-a-al U-UL ša-ak-ti. Other occurrences, which I owe in part to Otten, are:
- (c) XXXIII 120 iii 35 f., restored by MGK 1 iii(?) 12 f.: [.....(x-ki šal-li ma-a-a)]l KUR-e ma-ši-wa-an ma-a-al AN-E-kán (36) [....(x ú-iz-zi....)].
- (d) ABoT 21 obv. 6 and 8: ma-a-la(-pát) šipanti. ma-a-la also occurs in ABoT 25 rev. 3 and 33, part of the same tablet as ABoT 21 according to Otten who also communicated to me unpublished fragments joining 25 rev. 3 and 33. [Line 33 + FGH 10 now in RA 45 (1951) p. 190.] But they do not yield an intelligible context.
 - (e) Bo 6472, 14 ff., communicated by Otten:
- (14)]x-an IM-aš-kán wa-ar-aš-ta
- (15)]-ha-a-an ma-al-az-kán wa-ar-aš-ta [....]
- (16) ... G] $^{i\check{s}}\check{s}a$ -am-ma-ma-kán wa-ar-a \check{s} -ta

⁽f) KBo II 9 i 25 ff.: našta ANA LÚ.MEŠ arha LÚ-natar tarhuilatar (26) haddulatar ma-a-al-la ^{GIŠ}TUKUL.HI.A ^{GIŠ}BAN.HI.A ^{GIŠ}KAK. Ú.TAG.GA.HI.A (27) GÍR dā (cf. Sommer,

ZA 33 [1921] p. 98 f. with n. 2; in view of the above passages noun + -a).

For our passage (a) I thought of "much" in contrast to *tepu* "little": "neither much nor little does he know". In (f), "much" would have the meaning of "abundance". But in view of the other passages which I do not understand I prefer to leave *mal* untranslated.

ii 13: kariiašha. Meaning "mercy" or the like guessed from context and by combination with kari tiia- "comply, yield". Other occurrences: XIV 7 iv 5 and 7; XVII 20 ii 11. For the suffix, see Sturtevant, Comp. Gram., 2d ed., p. 80 § 118.

iii 4: GUDŠerišu. This writing shows that the -š belongs to the stem. For the addition of the stem-vowel -u- cf. Hebatu-, frequent in our epic, compared with normal DHé-bat, and Tašmišucompared with ^DTa-aš-mi-iš XXXIII 97, 10. Usually, however, the Hittite scribes took the final s of the names of the two bulls as Hitt. nomin. ending, as the accus. DŠe-er-ri-in XX 42 v 14 and the frequent stem-forms $\check{S}e(-er)-ri$ show. In the Alakšandu treaty (XXI 1 iv 8, Friedrich, Staatsv. II p. 78) the writing Serris and Hurris with š side by side with Namni and Hazzi may indicate that the scribe of that treaty was aware of the fact that in the names of the bulls the -š was an essential part. In XXVII 1 i 73 we find $^{\text{GUD}}\check{S}e\text{-}ra\text{-}a\check{s}$ $^{\text{GUD}}Hu\text{-}ra\text{-}a\check{s}$ as Hurrian forms. Cf. furthermore Gelb-Purves-McRae, Nuzi Personal Names (OIP LVII) p. 256; Speiser, Introd. to Hurrian (AASOR XX) p. 204 n. 13.

iii 5: It is surprising to find here a new name for Tešub's second bull: *Tella*, instead of the well-known *Hurri*š.

iii 6 and 21: In these two parallel passages, our text once has $^{\text{UZU}}PU-DU$ (6), the other time $Gi\dot{s}BU$ -BU-DU (21). Akkadian $p\bar{u}du$ means "shoulder", Gišbubūtu is a part of a wagon, most probably the axle (cf. Götze, NBr. p. 60; Lacheman in Starr, Nuzi II p. 538; Th. Bauer, Das Inschriftenwerk Assurbanipals II p. 91 n. 1). It is evident from the context that in the two identical passages mention cannot be made of the shoulder (of the bulls) in one case, and of the axle (of the cart) in the other. Since the signs "GIŠ.BU" and "UZU" are similar enough to be confused, one of the two must be a mistake and we have to read either $p\bar{u}du$ or $bub\bar{u}tu$ in both places. The fact that the command to bring out the cart is only given in line 13, that is, well after

 $^{\mathrm{U}\,\mathrm{Z}\mathrm{U}}PU\text{-}DU$ of line 6 which immediately follows the commands concerning the two bulls, first led me to prefer $p\bar{u}du$. But it is possible that the order to prepare the cart was given at an earlier stage because it was to be carried out while the cart was still inside its shed, so that it could still have had to be brought out at a later moment. Furthermore, $p\bar{u}du$ is not the normal word for the shoulder of an animal. As stated Kum. p. 65, in Akkadian $p\bar{u}du$ is used of human beings, imittu of animals, whereas the Hittites use "ZAG.LU (= imittu = paltana-) both of humans and animals. The preparations described in lines 6-8 and 21-23 are not quite clear (see below) but seem to be better intelligible if they apply to the axle of the cart. In the meantime, there appeared Laroche's review of MGK in RA 45, where he expressed himself in favor of bubūtu for both passages (p. 97). For the reasons just mentioned I now follow Laroche and change "UZUBU-DU" of line 6 into GIŠ!BU!-BU-DU.

iii 6–8 and 22–23: In lines 6–8 it is clear that andurza and araḥza stand in contrast to each other. daššawa, neuter plural of the adjective daššu- "strong", stands without noun. Has a word been omitted, or is "the strong ones, the strong (things)" an idiomatic expression? And if so, what does the expression mean? The parallelism of KALAG.GA-uš (= daššamuš) NA₄.HI.A-uš "strong stones" in the following clause is in favor of the first alternative.

In line 22, the words between GIŠBU-BU-DU [-ma] and araḥza-ma must have been different from those in 6 f.; the traces before -nu-ut look like "a" (i.e., they can be restored to a, e, ia, kar or kal/dan), but certainly not like ar (cuneiform copy confirmed by Otten's collation). I cannot offer a restoration of this line.

iii 7: haršandanahiti is unknown. The ending is the Luwian abstract ending (Forrer, ZDMG 76 pp. 222 f.; Güterbock, AOr XVIII 1/2 p. 216 n. 35). The only connection that occurs to me is with Hitt. haršan(a)- "head". A part of the axle, the name of which is an abstract noun derived from "head", could be the hub or rather, in the case of the massive wheels of ox-carts, the peg which keeps the wheel from slipping off the axle.

iii 9: I can understand this phrase only if ku-e-uš stands for the nom. plur. kweš (cf. Friedrich, Heth. Elem. I § 129) and if NA4pi-ru-ni[-x] is

peruneš, with the -eš-form for the accus. plur. (l.c. § 67). In any case, the gender of the relative pronoun shows that the relative clause belongs to the following heuš IM. MEŠ-uš, not to the preceding haršiharši which is a neuter.

iii 11: How is KALAG.GA-ia to be read? From daššu- there is no form in -ia. Goetze proposed the reading hatugaia which I have adopted.

iii 13: In favor of the proposed equation GIŠMAR.GÍD.DA = GIŠtiiarit(a-) (Kum. p. 76), Otten privately quoted Code § 122, where GIŠMAR.GÍD-it occurs as accusative (or should one correct that into GIŠMAR.GÍD.DA?). I have used this equation in the transcription and translation, indicating uncertainty of the reading tiyarit(a-) where the text has GIŠMAR.GÍD.DA, of the translation "cart" where the text has tiyarit. As for the choice of the English word "cart" for the vehicle used by the gods in battle, cf. Kum. p. 77; apparently the gods rode on oxcarts as depicted in Arslantepe and Imamkulu.

Third(?) Tablet

i 3: The parallel of tbl. II iii 14 speaks in favor of the first of the two alternatives offered in the text, with restoration of the active form *hanta[ir]*. But the addition of *anda* in our passage may indicate that the context was different, so I have added the second possibility, with the middle form *hanta[ntat]*.

ii 5: Otten informed me that the traces at the end of the line are not E[N....] as proposed in Kum. p. *26, but look like pa-u[-....]. I now think of a construction of the type discussed by Ose, Supinum und Infinitiv (MVAG 47 [1944]) pp. 39 ff.: the form in -uwanzi dependent upon a verbum dicendi. The subject must be the person referred to by the pronouns -ši and -aš of the following line, i.e., the Storm-God.

ii 6-7: For *šara tittanu*- in the sense of "fulfill" cf. now (in addition to Gurney, AAA 27 p. 63, quoted Kum. p. 77) also Friedrich, JCS I p. 297.

A ii 22 = E 9: The duplicate confirms Goetze's equation of GIŠaraši- with GIŠaraša- of line 31 (JAOS 69 p. 183). The tentative translation "inner door(?)" is based on line 30 where hantezzi-[iaš GIŠIG-aš] "to the first door" seems to be in contrast with GIŠarašaš. It is true, however, that instead of hantezzi[iaš] one can also read hantezzi, adverb, "first"; in this case our translation has to

be given up. Gišaraša- also occurs KUB II 2 iv 20, followed by ha-an-t[e-.....], and VII 13 obv. 21, together with Giškattaluzzi "threshold". Although some connection with a door is given by these texts, the exact nature of Gišaraša/i- is not clear.

ii 24: waštumati has the 'Glossenkeil' (MGK p. 24 n. a; p. 33); it can be understood as 'Luwian' 3rd pers. sing. pres. Instead of -aš-, the duplicate has another sign which I cannot identify. The meaning is unknown, but Goetze's rendering (ANET p. 124) "Ea's [heart] will perhaps be softened" seems to suit the situation although the duplicate now shows that the text did not have the word "heart". The restoration [nukan arha ANA D]Aa in A is based on [ar]hawaššikan of B; ha in B is certain, and the enclitics show that nothing preceded; -ši "to him" instead of "to Ea" is the same as in the variants of the preceding lines.

iii 42: URUDUkuruzzi. "Cutter" is only an attempt to render the Hitt. word which is a nomen instrumenti derived from kwer/kur- "to cut". The same implement is called ardala in line 52, where a verb of the same root follows (54, see below). It is perhaps not without reason that Upelluri uses a more general word: since he did not notice anything when Heaven and Earth were first built and then cut apart on him, he was naturally still less aware of the exact nature of the tool used; therefore, he simply calls it "cutting-tool".

iii 52-54: The noun URUDU ardala and the verb ar-du[-...] obviously have the same root. The common element is ard-, from which the noun is derived by means of -ala (on this element cf. now Alp, Jahrb. f. Kleinas. Forsch. I pp. 124 f.). The -u- of ar-du[-...], then, seems to be the beginning of the ending and thus leads to the restoration of the verbal form as 1st pers. plur. pres.

As far as the meaning is concerned, "a saw" and "to saw" are mere guesses. These renderings were chosen for the following reasons: (1) The verb is not simply "to cut", for which Hitt. has the verbs kwer/kur- and tuhš-; therefore, a more special meaning is required. (2) If possible, the noun and the verb should have the same root in English as in Hittite. (3) Sawing seems to be a good way of cutting off a stone. Note, however,

that the Hittite word is in the plural (karuiliya ardala).

iv 10: If the reading le-e-mu pi-ra-an is correct, a new sentence begins with $l\bar{e}\text{-}mu$, so that DUMU-mit belongs to the preceding. I can understand DUMU-mit only as instrumental in comitative sense.

iv 11 f.: For the restoration and interpretation I followed Goetze's translation in Pritchard, ANET p. 125. However, the gap in line 12 seems to be a little shorter than indicated there (maximum 8 signs according to Otten).

iv 14: Goetze thinks of restoring a phrase with $d\bar{a}n$, corresponding to $a\bar{s}ma$. A restoration like $[da\text{-}a\text{-}an\text{-}ma\text{-}an\ tar\text{-}ah\text{-}hu\text{-}un]}$ as offered in footnote bb would fit the space. On the other hand I still feel that in view of the following "go ye and fight him", Ea's statement "first I struck him" makes sense even without a further statement that he "secondly" achieved something else. If the beginning of col. iv were preserved we would know whether Ea did succeed in weakening the Stone in another way than by cutting him off.

iv 16 f.: palwait. In Kum. pp. 79 f. I proposed "clapped (his hands)". This meaning has to be given up now because of the following text communicated to me by Otten: 274/c, 4 ff.:

- (4) 1^{EN} BI-IB-RU-ma A-NA $^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{Z}A$ $.BA_4$ $.BA_4$
- (5) ti-an-zi nu pal-wa-a-iz-zi
- (6) $^{\mathrm{D}}Le-el-lu-u-ri-i\check{s}-wa-k\acute{a}n\ \check{S}\check{\mathbf{A}}-a\check{s}-\check{s}a-a\check{s}$
- (7) a-ar-aš ^DIŠKUR ma-nu-zi-ia-ma-wa-kán (etc.)

Here, the following speech with -wa clearly shows that palwai- is a verbum dicendi.

iv 23 and 25: kuitta memahhi DU-ta walheški (last word broken in 25). How is this to be understood? kuit-ta memahhi seems to be clear; I think that "What shall I tell thee" is preferable to "Everything I shall tell". But what is "U-ta? Our epic writes the logogram ^DU so consistently with the complements that point to the familiar stem ending in -na- (dative ^DU-ni in tbl. I A i 7, 8; tbl. III(?) ii 12, 17, iii 34), that one hesitates to assume a different name of the Storm-God here (stem ending in -ta-). But the same inconsistency has been observed (Kum. p. 80) in the Storm-God Myth (XXXIII 28 iii 13 = 30 iii 3 against 24 i 38). Goetze, l.c., apparently takes ^DU-ta as vocative on th s ground; I have followed him in the translation. If, on the other hand, -ta is not part of the name, it can only be the enclitic pronoun again; since this cannot be attached to a vocative, DU would then be an appositional nominative (JAOS 65 pp. 252 ff.; A. Hahn, JAOS 70 pp. 236 f.; cf. above on EN-IA-mu and GAŠAN-IA-mu, tbl. I A ii 10). This second possibility I have put in the footnote. The meaning would be "keep hitting yourself in your vain attempt to defeat me, for my father has assigned kingship to me!"

 E_2 iii 4: I first read ${}^{D}A$ -a-a*s-w[a.....]; Otten proposed ${}^{D}A$ -a-a*s* ud[-da-a-ar(?)....] but thinks that w[a] is not impossible. At any rate, the fact that ${}^{D}U$ has no complement and ${}^{D}A$ -a-a*s is not followed by -a (-sa) "and", leads to an interpretation of ${}^{D}U$ as vocative and of the whole passage as speech (with or without -wa). In view of lines 10–13 and of the mention of the Stone in line 2, it seems likely that the Stone is speaking.