## The Hittite Word for "Woman" Again

In Hittite texts the word for "woman" is always written with the logogram SAL.1) The Hittite pronunciation of the word is therefore presumably a consonantal stem with the nominative ending in -ns. In 1990 Erich Neu published an article entitled "Das hethitische Wort woman" was not used in Hittite. für 'Frau'" (HS 103, 208-217). Starting from Luwian wana- and IEs \*gwen- he posited a Hittite stem \*kwin- which he thought to have found in kwinnassan in KUB XII 60 I 24. He interpreted this form woman." In HS 105 (1992) 1-3, "Ist das hethitische Wort für 'Frau' gefunden?," I rejected this interpretation because it does not fit the context. I proposed instead to analyze the form as kwinna "quemque" with the same possessive pronoun, "his everyone" in the sense of "everyone of it". This resulted in a translation better suited for the situation. I was, of course, aware of the fact that a combination of the generalizing pronoun with the possessive was otherwise not attested. Neu cited Stefanini for the same interpretation which he rejec-a the other subject of Carruba's article is the word kuwansa-, for Seagod whom his son had married.) "He gave him everything thounoun by the fact that it refers to the animals. E. Neu wrote me that he accepted my interpretation.

Recently Onofrio Carruba took the question up again (HS 106,

1993, 262-271). Under the title "Hethitisch kuinna- 'Frau'; kuwansaweiblich' und anderes" he discusses two related subjects. One is the form kuinnassan again for which he wants to rescue Neu's interpretation. His arguments against my view are easy to refute. He claims that If -san followed the vowel a the s should not have been doubled. That this is not so is shown by writings like pí-di-iš-ši = pidi+ši "in his/its place", kattišši or even nušši. Secondly he thinks that "everyone of it" should have been neuter in Hittite. He overlooks the fact that the phrase refers to the animals, who are naturally common not known. Phonetic complements like SAL-za, nom. sg., and SAL-za main argument is, of course, the fact that no other examni, dat. sg., SAL-na-as, gen. sg., show that the stem ends in n, ple for the combination of the two pronouns is attested. But this does not prove that it cannot have existed. Besides, the combination "his

Carruba's own interpretation of the passage is not convincing. He takes kuinnassan as gen. pl. "of his women" and reads. "He gave him thousand of his women." Thereafter he reconstructs the logogram as kwinnan (acc.) with the enclitic possessive pronoun -san "his vior young men". However, the signs as copied by Ehelolf are clearly word GURUŠ "young man" is written LU.KAL, not KAL alone. Carruba renders the passage (my English): The gave] him a thousand of his women [and a thousand young men], he gave him one thousand oxen, and he gave him one thousand \*heep." The idea that the Stormgod had so many women at his disposal is highly improbable, and even more so that he should have is them as a means of payment like the cattle and sheep.

ted.<sup>2</sup>) Besides, H. A. Hoffner interpreted the passage in the same way which he proposes the meaning "woman" or "female". It occurs in the in his translation of the myths.3) I translate the passage as follows. Figure kuwanšeš, nom. pl., and probably also kuwasšaš, dat. pl. with (The Stormgod has to pay the brideprice for the daughter of the sismilation. It is always preceded by the sign DINGIR. Carruba Scarod whom his son had marrial) "Ha and him and it is always preceded by the sign DINGIR. Carruba Tenders it D(INGIR) in order to show the ambiguity that the sign sandfold (lit. as a thousand), he gave him one thousand oxen, he gave the same with the word "deity" or the determinative of divine na-him one thousand sheep." I explain the common gender of the pro-

> For details Carruba refers to his article in the Klima Memorial.4) This volume reached Chicago only in the Fall of 1994, but the article must have been written as early as 1990, since Neu's article is cited

> XIIII 30 III 5'-12' with duplicates. This is part of a list of deities

<sup>1)</sup> I prefer this traditional rendering of the sign to the now fashionable Sume. There only as addendum. rian reading MUNUS. - I wish to thank Harry Hoffner for his help in writing Among the examples adduced there the most persuasive is KUB this article.

<sup>2)</sup> HS 103 (1990), p.213, n.19. Ruggero Stefanini, "Note Ittite", AGI 54 (1969), pp. 148-164, esp. 162-164.

<sup>3)</sup> Harry A. Hoffner, Hittite Myths, SBL Writings from the Ancient World Series, volume 2 (1990), p. 26.

Petr Vavroušek, ed., Iranian and Indo-European Studies: Memorial Volume of Otokar Klíma (Praha 1994), pp. 1-25.

grouped in pairs by the formula "god X, with him god Y." In some places kattišši "with him" is replaced by KI.MIN "ditto." Beginning with line 8 we read: [(DSIN-aš) katt]iššima DIšpanazašepaš [(DIašša) ka]ttiššima DIilaššiš [(D.pišeneš)] kattišmima DMaliyaš [(DMaliy)]aš kattiššima D.pišeneš [Dw(aškuw)]attaššiš D.kuwanšeš "The Moongod, with him the Goddess of the Night; the deified Hearth, with him the deity of the Courtyard; the male gods, with them Maliya; Maliya, with her the male gods; Dwaškuwattaššiš D.kuwanšeš" (end of paragraph).

Carruba (l.c. p. 16 ff.) devotes a long discussion to the last two items. It is clear that kuwanšeš is nom. pl., Waškuwattaššiš is nom. sg. of a Luwian adjective of belonging, ending in -ašši-, replacing the genitive. A noun waškuwat- is not attested, but can be understood as a formation like aniyat- or kartimmiyat- from wašku-. This would yield the meaning "He of Transgression" as name of a god; thus Carruba "Gott der Verfehlung". He considers D.kuwanšeš as counterpart to D.pišeneš, "female deities" against "male gods." The fact that the two names are not connected by kattišši renders the contrast less certain. Nevertheless Carruba's definition of kuwanša- may be correct.

The other examples adduced by him do not seem to contradict the proposed meaning.

One factor in defining the meaning of kuwanša- seems to have been its similarity to the stem of the Hittite word for "woman", for which he here posits the stem kuwan-.5) The spelling ku-wa for the sequence kwa is attested in kuwanša-. It is also found in ku-wa-at "why?" in contrast to ku-it "what," compared with Latin quod and quid. For the suffix -ša- in kuwanša- a parallel may be found in antuhša- beside antuwahha- "human being, person," as Carruba also has seen. I cannot here comment on his further explanations; I only want to mention one point:

Carruba misunderstands the writing SAL-anza, as did Starke (KZ 94, 1980, 75 ff.) and Neu. This is not a derivative with -anza. Such derivatives are mostly formed from neuter nouns, if they are the subject of a transitive verb in the active; Laroche called them "ergatif," others say "Personifikation." Such a formation would not be in place in the word for "woman." The only attestation of SAL-anza is KUB XXX 29 obv. 1 (birth ritual):

[ma-a-an-za] SAL-an-za ha-a-ši "[When] a woman gives birth" (ed. Beckman StBoT 29, 22 f.). Obviously this is the same as mānza SAL-za haši of other birth rituals, e.g. KBo XVII 60 (StBoT 29 p. 60) and KUB XVII 28 (ibid. p. 84) and takku SAL-za haši in birth omens (K. K. Riemschneider, StBoT 9 passim). I always considered -an-za as an extended phonetic complement rendering the last and the penultimate syllabic signs, just like SAL-na-aš or ME-an-zi. This was also seen by Beckman who lists SAL-an-za as nom. sg. on p. 316. This phonetic complement clearly shows that the Hittite word had the vowel a in its root. I think that we may safely reconstruct the Hittite word for "woman" as \*kuwanza, gen. \*kuwa(n)naš from the stem \*kuwan-, and put kuinna- to rest.

5834 S. Stony Island Ave. Chicago, Ill. 60637-2004 USA Hans Gustav Güterbock

<sup>5)</sup> Cf. Jaan Puhvel, "Philology and I tymology with Tocus on Anatolian" *Trends in Linguistics* 58 (1992), pp. 262 ff., esp. p. 264 \*guan-s, gen, \*guan-as.