# R.S.P. BEEKES

# PRE-GREEK. A LANGUAGE RECONSTRUCTED

(second version, November 2003)

To the memory of Edzard Johan Furné e

# **CONTENTS**

### A. INTRODUCTION

### B. PHONOLOGY

- 1. The phonemic system of Pre-Greek
- 2. Characteristic sound(group)s

```
1. αν; 2. β; 3. βδ; 4. γδ; 5. γν; 6. δν; 7. κτ; <math>8. κχ; 9 μν; 10. ον; 11. πφ; 12. ρδ;
```

- 13.  $\rho \kappa v$ ; 14.  $\rho v$  ( $\rho \delta$ ,  $v \delta$ ); 15.  $\sigma$ ; 16.  $\sigma \beta$ ; 17.  $\sigma \gamma$ ; 18.  $\sigma \kappa$ ,  $\sigma \tau$ ; 19.  $\sigma \tau \lambda$ ; 20.  $\tau \theta$ ; 21.  $\phi \theta$ ;
- 22. χμ, χν; 23. ω; 24. geminates
- 3. Prothetic vowel
- 4. s mobile
- 5. Variations: consonants
  - 5.1. Voiceless / voiced / aspirated stop
  - 5.2. Prenasalization
  - 5.3. Nasalization
  - 5.4. Labial, m and y
    - a.  $\pi$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\phi/\mu$
    - b.  $\pi$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\varphi/(F)$
    - c.  $\mu/(F)$
  - 5.5. Stops /  $\sigma(\sigma)$ ,  $\tau$  or stop +  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$
  - 5.6. Velar / labial / dental: labio-velars
  - 5.7. Dentals / liquids (a.  $\rho/\lambda$ ; b.  $\delta/\lambda$ ; c.  $\tau,\theta/\lambda$ ; d.  $\nu/\lambda$ ; e.  $\delta/\rho$ ; f.  $\nu/\rho$ )
  - 5.8. Simple / geminate
  - 5.9.  $\sigma$ -/ zero, (before consonant and) before vowel
  - 5.10. K-, T- / zero
  - 5.11. v-,  $\lambda$  / zero
  - 5.12. Metathesis, and aspiration shift
  - 5.13. Secondary phonetic developments
  - 5.14. Other variations
- 6. Variations: vowels
  - 6.1. Single vowels, timbre

- 6.2. Long / short
- 6.3. Single / diphthong
- 6.4. Rising diphthongs?
- 6.5. Secondary vowels (or syncope)

### C. MORPHOLOGY

- 1. Reduplication
- 2. Suffixes

Introduction

The material:  $-\alpha\beta$ -,  $-\alpha\gamma$ -,  $-\alpha\gamma\gamma$ -,  $-\alpha\delta$ -,  $-\alpha\theta$ -,  $-\alpha$ 1- $/\epsilon$ (1)-,  $-\alpha$ 1( $/\epsilon$ )-0,  $-\alpha$ 1 $/\epsilon$ -,  $-\alpha$ 10-,  $-\alpha$ 10-,  $-\alpha$ 10-,  $-\alpha$ 10-0-,  $-\alpha$ 20-,  $-\alpha$ 20-,  $-\alpha\gamma$ -,  $-\alpha$ 

- 3. Word end
  - 3.1. in vowel: 1a. -α; 1b. -ι, -ις; 1c. -υ, -υς 1d. -ευς 1e. -ω, -ως
  - 3.2. in -ρ: 2a. -αρ; 2b. -ιρ; 2c. -ορ; 2d. -ωρ
  - 3.3. in - $\xi$ , - $\psi$  3a. - $\xi$  3b. - $\psi$
  - 3.4. in -v
  - 3.5. in  $-\bar{\alpha}\varsigma$

# D. THE UNITY OF PRE-GREEK

[I am indebted to professors Melchert and Ruijgh for their comments.]

#### A. INTRODUCTION

In 1956, Kuiper wrote a study on Greek substratum words which opened a new chapter in the study of the field. Furnée then wrote a dissertation on the subject (1972), in which he presented twenty years of research and which is up to now the handbook for the subject. As Kuiper was also my promotor, I knew the book from the beginning (see my review in *Lingua* 36, 1975). The short overview which follows here is based on Furnée's material and on my own research during thirty years.

Furnee's book met fierce criticism and was largely neglected. [An exception is R.A. Brown's Pre-Greek Speech on Crete, 1985.] That was a major mistake. Pre-Greek words often show variations which are not found in inherited words. It is obvious to study these variations. That is what Furnee did. It appeared, as Kuiper had shown, that these variations show certain patterns, so that they can be used to recognize Pre-Greek elements. Two points of criticism on Furnée are possible. One is that he considered almost all variations as expressive, which is certainly wrong. It is evident that the variants are due to the adaptation of words of a foreign language to Greek. We shall see below that in this perspective many variations can be understood. The second objection to Furne e is that he suggested etymological connections between Greek words, as variants of a Pre-Greek word. When several possible variations are used, many combinations become possible, and here Furne e has gone too far in a number of cases. We can hardly reproach the author in these cases, however, as he was exploring new ground: it is only to be expected that in such a situation one goes sometimes too far. The method, however, was correct; what we have to do, as always in the case of etymology, is to see which suggestions are probable and which are not. Of course, in many cases we cannot reach certainty, but this is no objection. On the whole, Furne e's material is indeed Pre-Greek with a very few exceptions, and so we have a large corpus of material. His index gives 4400 words; as many derivatives and variants are given (and a few Indo-European words that were discussed in the text), I guess that it contains some 1000 Pre-Greek etyma. Also, Furnee often adduces new material, (even now) not mentioned in the etymological dictionaries (mostly glosses from Hesychius).

I have in general given only a few names, and no material from outside Greece and Asi a Minor. The comparison with Basque or Caucasian languages has not been considered as this is not my competence; I think it possible that there are such connections, but that must be left to others. My suggestions for reconstructions are not essential. One may ignore them and just consider the variations themselves. Often these variations are explained as incidental phenomena (assimilations, influence of other words, etc.), and such explanations may be correct in some cases. But if we know which variations frequently occur, we are warned to consider Pre-Greek origin if we find them. The existing etymological dictionaries often seem to 'avoid' the conclusion that a word is a substratum element. It is remarkable that Chantraine was quite aware of the question in his *Formation*, but has very often withdrawn his - in my view correct - evaluation in his dictionary. It seems as if substratum elements were not welcome.

A question that is a real problem, is that a word is often called a loan from an Anatolian langua ge, while it may be just as well a word from the substratum in Greece. It is generally accepted, on the basis of the place names, that the same language was once spoken in Greece and in (western) Asia Minor. [A point for further study is to establish how far to the east related names are found. It is my impression that such forms are found in the south as far as Cilicia.] But it is mostly impossible to distinguish between substratum words and - (mostly) later - loans from Asia Minor. A word may have been taken over through commerce etc., as happens between two neighbouring countries, or in the time when Greeks settled in Asia Minor, which happened probably as early as in the 14th century. I think that, from a methodological point, it is better to consider such words as Pre-Greek, and only to take them as - normal - loan words when there is reason to do so, but it is clear that here we may often make mistakes. A good example is  $\tau o \lambda \acute{o} \pi \eta$  'clew, ball of wool ready for spinning'. The word is clearly related with Luw., Hitt. talupa/i- 'lump, clod'. The Greek word is typical for Pre-Greek words: CaC-up- (with a = o before u); there is no IE etymology (Melchert, Orpheus 8 (1998) 47-51 does not convince). So it is Pre-Greek / Anatolian. [I shall abbreviate

this, when necessary PrGrAn.] Also, 'clew...' is not a word that you bring home from overseas; it is an everyday word, which the Greeks took up at home. I completely agree with Furné e's interpretation (35 n. 33) that the word was brought to Greece by the settlers from Anatolia who brought their language, which, from another perspective, we call Pre-Greek to Greece. So it *is* a loan fron an Anatolian language, but from the one that was also spoken in Greece before the Indo-European speaking Greeks arrived there.

The essential point is that it should be recognized that substratum words are a frequent phenomenon. One may regret this, for example because Indo-European words can be much better explained, but this is irrelevant; it is simply a fact that has to be accepted. My approach is rather that it is fascinating that in this way we can learn something about the old languages of Anatolia, and of the role of Anatolia in early history. And, of course, it is part of the oldest history of Greece.

As to 'Pelasgian' and related theories which assume an Indo-European substratum in Greece, these theories have failed, and I no longer mention them (in my etymological dictionary). The theory has been extensively discussed by Furnée (37-68). 'Pelasgian' has done much harm, and it is time to definitely reject it. The latest attempt was Heubeck's 'Minoisch-Mykenische' (1961 Graegraeca), where the material was reduced to some ten words; the theory has been tacitly abandoned, I think. (Another matter is the problem of  $\sigma \tilde{\nu} \zeta / \hat{\nu} \zeta$  and the  $\zeta$ -, for which Ruijgh assumes an Indo-European para-stratum. Here the evidence seems so clear that an explanation of this kind may have to be assumed.)

#### B. PHONOLOGY

### 1. The phonemic system of Pre-Greek

#### The consonants.

The fact that voiced, voiceless and aspirated stops interchange shows that voice and aspiration were not distinctive in Pre-Greek. On the other hand, the Mycenaean signs for rjo, rja and tja show that palatalization probably was. This is confirmed by the sign pte which will go back on  $p^ve$ . (In our material cf.  $\theta$ ά $\pi$ τα. I wonder whether κροσσόφθον points to  $p^v > pt$  but then realized with aspiration.) Further, the signs two, twe, dwo, dwe, nwa, swa, swi point to labialization as a distinctive feature, i.e.:  $t^wo$ ,  $t^we$ ,  $t^wo$ ,  $t^we$ ,  $t^wa$ ,

Of course, it is possible that one or more of the supposed phonemes did not occur (e.g.  $m^y$ : a palatalized m is a rare sound).

We can now use this insight in explaining forms. Thus,  $\delta \alpha \phi v \eta - \delta \alpha v \chi v(\alpha)$ - can now be explained as  $dak^w n$ -, in the first form giving a labial (this time aspirated),  $\phi$ , in the other being rendered by  $-v \chi$ - with anticipation of the labial feature, while the velar remains a velar. Then we can understand  $\alpha \dot{v} \chi \dot{\eta} v$  - Lesb.  $\ddot{\alpha} \mu \phi \eta v$  from \*ank \*we n.

the latter form is directly understandable (with  $\varphi$  from the labio-velar); the first went through \*  $an^w k\bar{e}n$  (or \*  $a^w nk\bar{e}n$ ) giving  $\alpha \mathring{v} \chi \eta v$  (with loss of the nasal, a development known from Armenian). Perhaps also possible is \*  $ak^w \bar{e}n > \alpha \mathring{v} \chi \dot{\eta} v$  with prenasalized \*  $ank^w \bar{e}n > \alpha \mathring{u} \psi \eta v$ . Such interpretations may in individual cases be wrong, but that is no reason not to try it. On the other hand strange variations become understandable if we start from a limited set of assumptions.

The palatalized phonemes may explain other developments. Thus I wonder whether  $\lambda\lambda$  might continue I'; we know that \*Iy gave  $\lambda\lambda$  in Greek. Thus in Achilleus. [Chantraine's treament of this name is characteristically averting; and in the Addenda (CEG 4) this is not corrected.] Note also that Achileus with one λ occurs only in Homer. This may show that earlier the variation was greater; as is only to be expected. In a similar fashion any may have given  $\alpha \nu$ , and  $ar^{\nu}$   $\alpha \nu$ , and also  $\epsilon \nu$  (with colouring of the vowel; see on the suffix). This is confirmed by the fact that double λ is very frequent (Fur. 387: "Dutzende von apellativische Beispiele"), whereas double ρ, ν and μ are much less frequent or rare. The idea is nicely confirmed if Hitt. Appaliunas is the forebear of Apollo (Beekes, JANER 2, 2003). Also we have  $-\alpha i \rho$ ,  $-\alpha i \nu$  but no \*- $\alpha i \lambda$ -. Thus  $a s^y$  may have given  $\alpha i \sigma$ , cf. κάβαισος, which has a v.l. κάβασος. In rendering such a foreign word, the palatalization may one time have been represented, another time have been neglected. This is a phenomenon we often find - it was the main cause of the variations in Pre-Greek forms. The interpretation is further confirmed by the parallel development of labialized cons onants. Thus I suppose that  $ar^w$  resulted in  $\alpha\nu\rho$  (s. the suff.). In this way, we may understand  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\rho\nu\phi$  (beside  $\kappa\kappa\lambda\phi\rho-\rho\rho-\nu\nu$ ) as kalar<sup>w</sup>-op-. Another form which shows the remarkable interchange α/αυ is ἀρασχάδες - αὐροσχάς. Here one might assume  $ar^{w}ask-at$ . (Note that the labial element would at the same time explain the o as a variant of a.) I think this gives the solution for the hopeless etymon  $\check{\alpha}\lambda o\xi$ ,  $\alpha \mathring{v}\lambda \alpha \xi$ ,  $\mathring{\omega}\lambda \alpha \xi$ ,  $\varepsilon \mathring{v}\lambda \acute{\alpha} \kappa - \bar{\alpha}$ . I assume  $aI^{w}$ -ak-. It gives  $\alpha \mathring{v}\lambda \alpha \kappa$ through anticipation, ἄλοκ- through colouring; thus the first two forms, which are best attested, are directly clear. Further αυ/ευ/ω interchange frequently. Also ὁλοκ- is unproblematic. [Homeric acc. ὧλκ-α is hopeless; it is the only form which has no vowel between  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$ , and therefore may be due to some accident of the tradition; does it stand for \* $\dot{o}\lambda\alpha\kappa'(\alpha)$ , \* $\dot{\omega}\lambda\alpha\kappa'(\alpha)$ ? Or is it  $\dot{\omega}\lambda\kappa$ - < \* $\omega\lambda\alpha\kappa$ - with syncope?]

There is evidence for an affricate, somewhat like [ $t^s$ ]; I shall note it with /c/. See on the variations B 5.5 (esp. on the forms of *Asklepios*). We may suspect a series c,  $c^v$ ,  $c^w$ .

There may have been a sound like the Hittite b. It may have been present in a cluster b, which was represented by  $\xi$ . See B 5.5. But I do not see what it may have become in another position.

The language probably had a y and a w. Initial ya- will often have lost its y-, but it may sometimes be represented by  $\iota \alpha$ -,  $\iota \alpha \mu \beta \circ \varsigma$ ,  $\iota \alpha \nu \circ (?, \log a)$  [but  $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma)$  from  $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma)$ , with long  $\iota$ ]. The ending - $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma)$  may have been - $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma)$  may have had a different development from inherited  $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma)$ . In the same way, - $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \circ \varsigma)$  with a variant - $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \circ \varsigma)$  (note that there is no - $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \circ \varsigma)$ ). Cf. Πηνελόπεια, Περσεφόνεια. Perhaps the  $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \circ \varsigma)$  disappeared in some cases, giving  $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \circ \varsigma)$  (see on the suffix - $\iota \alpha \circ ( \Lambda \rho \circ \varsigma)$ ).

Initial \* w may often have been lost (ἄναξ). But wa- may also have been rendered by  $o\alpha$ -, as in  $O\alpha$ ξός, Cret.  $F\alpha$ ξός. Thus also  $O\overline{\iota}\lambda$ εύς (which has been considered as identical with the root of  $O\overline{\iota}\lambda$ εύς). We find  $v\alpha$ - (which became automatically  $\dot{v}\alpha$ -) in  $\dot{v}\alpha$ κινθος, Cret.  $F\alpha$ κινθος. [Furnée 377 assumes a prothetic v- in the latter

word, which seems improbable to me.] Thus perhaps also  $\delta \alpha/\epsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ . The diffrences will be due to the time when the loan was borrowed, and whether the Greek dialect stil had a y; many possibilities arise in this way. - Still another treatment we see in the word for 'truffle', for which we find  $\delta \delta v \circ (-\tau v)$ ,  $\delta \delta v \circ (-\tau v)$ ,  $\delta \delta v \circ (-\tau v)$ , or  $\delta v \circ (-\tau v)$ ,

It seems as if there was no initial aspiration. Furnée has a few words with  $\dot{\alpha}$ -,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - (one or two with  $\dot{\iota}$ -; none with  $\dot{\delta}$ -,  $\dot{\eta}$ -,  $\dot{\omega}$ -). Several are doubtful; best is αίμασια (αίμοί). One might conclude that the language had no h. This would agree with the fact that aspiration is not a distinctive feature in the stops. - The result is remarkable for  $\ddot{\eta}\rho\omega\varsigma$ ,  $\ddot{\epsilon}$  Ελληνες and  $\ddot{\epsilon}$  Ηφαιστος (but note that Myc. *apaitio* does not have ha-). Of course aspiration can have been added secondarily in Greek. Cf. the variation in  $\ddot{\alpha}\varphi\theta\alpha$  /  $\ddot{\alpha}\varphi\theta\alpha$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\delta\dot{\omega}\nu\eta$  /  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\delta\dot{\omega}\nu\eta$  which is a variant of  $\delta\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\delta\dot{\omega}\nu\eta$ . But Prof. Ruijgh points out to me that Mycenaean had place names (haratua) and personal names (hakumijo) with initial h-; it occurs also in inlaut (pihala, harataa); cf. further harataa (harataa).

#### The vowels.

I think that this language had only three vowels, a, i, u. The Greek words have very often  $\varepsilon$  and o, but this would not be surprising: the three vowels have a wide phonetic range, and the phoneme /a, e.g., may often have sounded as [e] or [o]. What makes me think so is in the first place the fact that the suffix -system has a, i, u, but not e, o. E.g. we have  $\alpha\gamma$ ,  $\iota\gamma$ ,  $\iota\gamma\gamma$ ,

Further I doubt that there was phonemic length. Greek quite often has only a form with a long vowel, but this can be due to the fact that in Greek length is distinctive: a vowel must be long or short, interchange is (normally) not tolerated. Vacillation is found, as in θρινάκη - θρῖναξ (see B 6.2). Cf. ὄβριμος - βρῖμός, βρῖμη (though this could be explained differently). A quite different argument is the following. ἄχυρον and πίτυρον both mean 'chaff'; it is therefore probable that they contain the same suffix -υρ-; but in the first word the u is short, in the second long.

This idea is confirmed by the fact that it explains the  $\varphi \tilde{\alpha} v \iota \xi$ -rule of Greek accentuation. The The nominatives in  $-\iota \xi$ ,  $-\upsilon \xi$  are properispomenon (if possible) though the suffix has a long vowel elsewhere, e.g.  $\varphi o i v \bar{\iota} \kappa o \zeta$ . If there was no distinctive length, the phonetic length was determined by other factors, e.g. short before two consonants, long(er) before a single consonant (as we find also in the Germanic substratum words). It agrees with the fact that the suffixes  $-\iota \kappa$ ,  $-\upsilon \kappa$ - were of Pre-Greek origin. (We don't have it with  $-\bar{\alpha}\xi$ , perhaps because i and u are shorter than a; also we find hesitation between long and short vowel in Pre-Greek words more often with i and

u than with other vowels; see 6.2.)

A difficulty may seem the names in  $-\omega(\varsigma)$ ; they may continue \*au (cf. Τλώς, Hitt. talawa-) I suggest that the diphthong was contracted early, whereby the u/y disappeared. For  $-\varepsilon \nu \varsigma$  (from \*- $\eta \nu \varsigma$ ) one would also posit \*au. That /a/ was realized / heard as  $[e, \bar{e}]$  is no problem. [The length may come from prevocalic \* au. The e-colour may partly come from a preceding palatalized consonant ('Aχιλλεύς, 'Οδυσσεύς?)]. (Are we allowed to compare  $\bar{\alpha} >$  Ion.-Att.  $\eta$ ?) For the feminine in -Myc. -eja I agree with Ruijgh, Etudes \$ 212, that it is an independent Pre-Greek suffix, cf. Κυθέρεια, Πηνελόπεια. Another point is that suffixes often have a long vowel (-ιν-, -ισ-, - $\bar{\alpha}\sigma(\sigma)$ -): they are often found in the pre-final syllable) and standing before one consonant; were they stressed? I counted long α's in Furmée's index, which gave only 13 instances; anyhow whis asks for an explanation. Note that an  $\eta$  often represents  $\bar{\alpha}$  ( $\gamma\bar{\alpha}\theta\nu\lambda\lambda$ ίς /  $\gamma\eta\theta$ -), and as our knowledge of the relevant dialects is rather limited, we often simply do not know whether  $\eta$  is an old a or e. If we did not have  $\sigma$ (δ $\bar{\alpha}$ ρος we would not know that it is an old a. Few people know that  $\Lambda\tilde{\eta}\mu\nuo\varsigma$  represents  $\Lambda\tilde{\alpha}\mu\nuo\varsigma$ . Note Κρήτη. Note the suffixes - $\eta\lambda$ -, - $\eta\tau$ -. Well known words with  $\eta = \bar{e}$ :  $\sigma\pi\hat{\eta}\lambda\alpha$ ιον

### Diphthongs.

I assume two diphthongs, ai and au. If there were no c and o, other diphthongs are not to be expected. The diphthong  $\varepsilon v$  is rare (Fur. 353 A5; I found some 12 instances in all of his material); it interchanges with  $\alpha v$ . Furnée (339 A2) calls  $\varepsilon v$  "(in mehreren Fällen) nur eine Nebenform von  $\alpha v$ ". ot is also rather rare; we find v0 perhaps more often, but interchanging with other vowels. See on the variants of the vowels (B 6.1).

#### Accentuation.

I noted hesitation in: ἄβραμις / -μίς, ἀιγώλιος / -ιός, ἄχυρος / -ός, ἄχωρ / ἀχώρ, κόρυδος / κορυδός, κορύδαλος / κορυδαλλός, μέδιμνος / μεδιμνός, σίκυος / σικυός, ὕρισχος / ὑρισσός. Note also near-identical forms like λυκαψός / λύκοψος. This may not mean that the language had no clear stress; it may just be that the Greeks who took a word over were not always sure about the stress. But the phenomenon may be important heuristically: inherited words only seldom show such variation.

### 2. Characteristic sound(group)s

In Pre-Greek words we find some sounds or clusters that are rare in PIE words. The words may be checked in Furnée. (In brackets I give variants.)

1. αυ

Of course,  $\alpha v$  occurs in PIE words, but only when it derives from \*  $h_2eu$  (mostly in initial position) or  $eh_2u$  (which is rare).

Εχχ. βλαύδες, βραύκας, γραύκαλας, κάναυστρον, κασαύρα, τραυξάνα; Λαβραυνδός.

2. β

As is well known, \*b was rare in PIE. In Pre-Gr. words it seems to occur relatively more often.

Exx. ἄβλαροι, ἄβλας, ἀβυρβηλος, ἄρβαλος, ἀρβόν, ἀρβύλη, ἀτάρβακτος, βάρβιλος, βυσσός, θόρυβος, κίβαλος,

It is often found word initially. - We know that  $\beta$  may also go back to a Pre-Greek 'labio-velar' (labialized velar):  $\beta$ ασιλεύς < Myc. *qasireu*.

### 3. βδ

The cluster is possible in PIE words, but it is rare; see on  $\beta$ .

Exx. ἄβδελλον, ἄβδηρα, ἄβδης, ἴβδης, αὐτο-κάβδαλος, κίβδηλος, κυβάβδα, σίβδα, τίβδαινον; Κομβδιλιπια. [Note that μόλυβδος rather continues -wd-; Beekes, MSS 59, 1999, 7-14.]

# 4. γδ

Cf. Fur. 318 n. 5. There is nothing against PIE *gd*, but it is infrequent. Of course, the group is reminiscent of βδ. Εχχ. ἄγδυς, ἀμυγδάλη, γδουπέω (cf. κτυπέω), ἴγδη, κρίγδανον, λύγδη, μάγδωλος.

# 5. γν

Exx. ἰγνύς (ἰκνύς). - On χν, φν see on the suffixes.

### 6. δν

The sequence is rare in IE words, where it can only arise at a morpheme boundary.

Εχχ. ἀκιδνός, ἀλαπαδνός, ἀράχιδνα, λεπαδνός (λα-), σίπυδνος. 'Αριάδνη.

#### 7. κτ

The group is regular in PIE, but in Pre-Gr. it is found with variants; see B5.5.

Εχχ. ἀβίυκτον, βάκται, δίκτυ.

#### 8. κχ

The group can hardly be of IE origin, but it is not frequent. I noted: βάκχαρ, λάκχα, σάκχαρ, συκχάς; Βάκχος, Βρίακχος, Βύκχις. -κχ is the geminate of χ. Cf. on πφ, τθ.

# 9. μν

The group is quite possible in PIE words, but it is frequent in Pre-Greek.

Exx. ἀμφι-κέλεμνον, γεδιμνος, ἴαμνος, βασυμνιάτης, κρημνός (Beekes), κριμνός, λάμνα, λωρυμνόν, μέριμνα, πρημνάς, ῥόδαμνος, σίγυμνον, σίδριμνον; 'Ατύμνιος, Καρτεμνίδες.

# 10. ου

The diphthong is of course perfectly IE (but not in suffixes), but it is found several times in Pre -Greek.

Εχχ. σενδούκη, σκίουρος, στρουθός, τάγχουρος, τουφος, φάνδουρος, φασίουλος (ο/ω), φουσκος, χλούνης.

# 11. πφ

The group can hardly be of PIE origin, but it is rare in Pre-Greek words too. Like κχ it is the geminate of φ. Εχχ. ἀρχιζάπφης (?); Σαπφώ (Ψαπφώ).

# 12. ρδ

On a morpheme boundary the group is possible in PIE.

Εχχ. ἀγέρδα, καπαρδ-ευσαι, καρδαμάλη.

#### 13. ρκν

A rare group. Perhaps there is no reason to speak of a group.

Εχχ. ἄβαρκνα, βερκνίς.

14. ρν (ρδ, νδ)

Exx. κίσιρνις (-νδ-), ἀχέρδα (-να), σκαπέρδα. See on the suffixes.

15. An  $\sigma$  occurs both word-initially and between vowels, where is has disappeared in inherited words. (Of course, in a few cases Greek got a new  $\sigma$ .)

Init.: σάρυττα, σαγύριον, σάναπτιν, σάνδαλον, σάνδυξ, σαρρυφθείν, σεκούα, σέλίνον, σιβύνη, σίγυμνον.

Intervoc.: ἀγασυλλίς, ἄγχουσα (ἔγχ-), αἴθουσ(σ)α, αἱμασιά, αἴσακος, αἰσάλων, αἰσιμνάω (-υμν-), ἀίσονες, αἰσυητήρ, αἴσυλος, αἰσύφ(ι)ος, ἄλεισον, δρόσος, κάδουσα, κασαλβάς.

After resonant: ἄλσος, βάλσαμον, γελσόν, γένσιμος, μάρσι/υππος.

16. σβ

The group is hardly known from inherited words (σβέννυμι is problematic).

Exx. ἄσβολος, θίσβη, Ασβετος. -σβ- may continue Pre-Gr. -sgw-: Myc. tiqajo may be /thisgwaios/ Θισβαιος.

17. σγ

Again this group is hardly known from IE words. It may sometimes continue -cg-, as in ἀμυσγέλ $\bar{\alpha}$ , 'Ασγελάτας (see 5.5).

Εχχ. άλισγέω, ύσγίνη, φάσγανον, άμυσγέλα, άσγάνδης, πισγίς; 'Ασγελάτας.

18. σκ, στ

These groups are well known from IE, but mostly in word initial position. See on B 5.5.

Εχχ. βέσκεροι, βύσταξ, κύστεροι, λασταγεί.

19. στλ

Though the cluster contains nothing that could not be IE, it occurs more often in substr. words (?).

Εχχ. ἄστλιγγες, στλεγγίς.

20. τθ

The group can hardly be of PIE origin. In Pre-Greek, it is a variant of  $\tau\tau$  and  $\sigma\sigma$  (see 5.5). It is sometimes clearly the geminate of  $\theta$ :  ${}^{\prime}A\tau\theta$ ( $\zeta$ :  ${}^{\prime}A\theta$  $\eta$  $\nu\eta$ .

Εχχ. ἰτθέλα, κότθυβος. Πετθαλοί.

21. φθ

The cluster is possible in inherited words.

Εχχ. νάσκαφθον.

22. χμ, χν

Rather rare in IE; Fur. 110 assumes that the nasal caused the aspiration.

Εχχ. δαυχμός, δαυχνα-, σαυχμόν.

The  $\omega$  is of course perfectly IE, but occurrence of  $*\bar{o}$  was very restricted. Otherwise, it must represent vowel + laryngeal ( $ch_3$ , oH) which are only possible in certain circumstances.

Εχχ. ἀμακρῶτις, ἄμωτον, ἄνθρωπος, ἀνωνίς, ἀποφώλιος, ἀρρωδέω, ἀσκαλώπας, ἀσκαμωνία, ἀσκώλια, γασκώνδας, ἀσμωλεῖν, βαλλωτή, κασσωρίς, κινώπετον, λαιμώρη, μετώπιον, λωρυμνόν, ὕσ(σ)ωπος, φάγωρος, φασίωλος

### 24. geminates

See also B 5.8 on single / gemin.

Indo-European had no geminates. Of course, there arose geminates in Greek, but they are not very frequent. I doubt whether Pre-Greek had geminates, but there occur several in Pre-Gr. words. (I compare e.g. Brixhe, *Dialecte gree de Pamphylie*, 1976, 95, that there were no geminates in this language.)

As Pre-Greek had palatalized phonemes, I wonder whether I' was (often) represented by  $\lambda\lambda$  in Greek. And then perhaps also n'as vv, r'as ρρ. For σσ and ττ see B 5.5. Unclear δδ, κκ,  $\pi\pi$ , and  $\mu\mu$  (palatal m'is a rare sound). I note some exx.

Stops δδ: ἄδδαι, ἄδδιξ, - κκ: ἀκκαλος, βέλεκκος, λάκκος(?) - ππ: ἄγριππος, λούππις - ττ: βίττακος, λάττα, κάττος, μάτταβος, μέττες, μίττος; Πιττακός. We must also recall the instances of κχ, πφ, τθ (above).

Liquids λλ: ἀλλάβης, ἀλλοπίης, ἀμίλλακαν, βαλλωτή, βάτελλα, βδέλλα, βίλλιν, πάτελλα, - μμ: κλεμμύς, - νν: ἀγάννα, βλέννος, γίννος, λαχάννα; Δίκτυννα, - ρρ: ἀρράβακα, βίρρη, βίρροξ, καρρόν Sibilants σσ: αἴθουσ(σ)α, ἄφρισσα, γίσσα.

#### 3. Prothetic vowel

The definition is 'initial vowel that is present or absent in (nearly) identical forms'; for we cannot say whether the vowel disappeared or was added under certain circumstances; still another possibility is that it represents a kind of laryngeal sound, that was sometimes heard as a vowel and and sometimes not. The vowel is in most cases an  $\alpha$ -. The numbers by Fur. 368ff. are as follows:

 $\alpha \pm 90$ , o 10,  $\epsilon$  5, 13,  $\nu$  0,  $\eta$  6,  $\alpha$ 12.

(For  $\upsilon$  I have excluded ὑάκινθος and ὕσσωπος, for  $\alpha\iota$  I have excluded αἴλινος, which is a cry.) Note that - in general -  $\alpha$  varies with  $\iota$ 0,  $\iota$ 1,  $\iota$ 2,  $\iota$ 3,  $\iota$ 4,  $\iota$ 5,  $\iota$ 4,  $\iota$ 6,  $\iota$ 6,  $\iota$ 6,  $\iota$ 7,  $\iota$ 8,  $\iota$ 8,  $\iota$ 9,  $\iota$ 9. The  $\iota$ 9 interchanges three times with  $\iota$ 2,  $\iota$ 1,  $\iota$ 3,  $\iota$ 4,  $\iota$ 5,  $\iota$ 4,  $\iota$ 5,  $\iota$ 4,  $\iota$ 5,  $\iota$ 6,  $\iota$ 7,  $\iota$ 8,  $\iota$ 9,  $\iota$ 9,

Εχχ. ἀγασυλλίς: γηθυλλίς, ἀκιρίς: κίρρις, ἀκορνοί: κόρνοψ, ἀχραδαμύλα: χραμαδοῖλαι, ἀναρίτης: νηρίτης, ἀσκάλαβος: (σ)καλαβώτης, ἀχύνωψ: κύνωψ.

# 4. s mobile

A large number of words shows an initial s- before consonant, which is absent in nearly identical forms. It occurs before stop or m (so not before r, l, n); the stop is mostly voiceless, sometimes aspirated. Fur. 390f.

Εχχ. γέλενος / σχέλινος, (σ)κιδάφη; κίκερος : σκίγκος; (σ)κορδύλη  $(\bar{v})$ ; βάταλος : σπ-; πέλεθος : σπ-; φαττάγης : σπ-; θριγκὸς (τριγχός) : στρίγχός; τοπεῖον : στυππεῖον; (σ)μήρινθος; (σ)μύραινα.

A prothetic vowel may appear before an σ- mobile (Fur. 390 n. 8): ἀσκάλαβος : σκαλαβώτης : καλαβάς; ἀσφάραγος : σφάραγος : φάραγξ; ἀσκάλαφος : κάλαφος. (Uncertain is: σκυρίττω : ἀγκυρίττει.)

### 5. Variations: consonants

### 5.1. Voiceless/voiced/aspirated stop

This is the most frequent phenomenon. Furne e devotes a hundred pages to it (101-200).

Examples: βύστακ- / βύσταγ, γέρσυμον / κέρσιμον, κτύπος / γδουπέω, ἀχάρνας / ἀκάρναξ, ἴσκλαι / ἴσχαλάω, κίχλη / κίγκλος, κρέμυς / χρέμυς, βλέν(ν)α / πλέννα, βροκός / πρόκον, καλαῦροπ- / κολλόροβον, κυπάτης / κυβάλης, ἄγριππος / ἄγριφος, κύπρος / κύφερον, ὅμπνη / ὀμφύνειν, βασκᾶς / φασκάς, κιναβεύεσθαι / κιναφεύειν, σκολύβρα / σκολύφρα, ἀτράφαξυς / ἀδράφαξυς, καιάτας / καιάδας, κοντόη / κονδός, βρύθακες / βρυτιγγοί, θρόνα / τρόνα, θρυγονάω / τρυγονάω, γέλγιθ- / γέλγιδ-, κόρυθος / κόρυδος, σπύραθος / σφυράδ-.

### 5.2. Prenasalization

Before a stop a nasal may appear. This 'prenasalization' is one of the most typical phenomena of Pre-Greek, and it is found very frequently.

Exx. Fur. 267-291. ἀχύνωψ / ἀγχύνωψ, γίγλυμος / γίγγλυμος, γοῦρος / ἄγγουρος, κάχρυς / κάγχρυς, κύχραμος (ί) / κιγκράμας, ὕσπληξ / ὕσπληγξ, φάραγξ -γγος / (ἀ)σφάραγος, κολύβδαινα / κολύμβαινα, κορυφή / κόρυμβος, σαλάμβη / σαλάβη, τράφηξ / τράμπις, ἀτράφαξυς / ἀνδράφαξυς, κίδαφος / κινδάφη. Often the nasal is followed by the voiced variant of the stop (Fur. 271 n. 16), but an aspirate is also often found (cf. -ινθος). [Prof. Melchert notes that in western Anatolian voiceless stop became voiced after nasal, which could be due to substratum influence.] This was the reason that a sound law aspirate > voiced after nasal was assumed for (inherited words in) Greek (Schwyzer 333), which is incorrect.

A prothetic vowel (above B 3.) and reduplication (section C 1.) create the possibility for prenasaliazation; examples are given at the places indicated.

## 5.3. Nasalization

Nasalization is called the process whereby a stop is *replaced* by the nasal of its series, i.e. a dental by n, a labial by m. In the case of the velars, there is the problem that Greek had no sign for a velar nasal; the Greek spelling in this case could not distinguish between nasalization and prenasalization. The case of the labials ( $\pi/\mu$  etc.) is discussed in the next section. The phenomenon is less frequent than prenasalization.

Examples for the dentals are (Kuiper 216; Furnée does not discuss the phenomenon): κίδαφος (κινδάφη) / κίναδος, κιδαφεύειν / κιναφεύειν, κλάδος / κλών (κλῶναξ), κάθος / κανίας, φληδῶντα / φλήναφος.

# 5.4. Labials, m and y

There are three interchanges: labial stop /  $\mu$ , labial stop / F and  $\mu/F$ .

Labial stop /  $\mu$ . The variation is a case of nasalization discussed above (5.3). Fur. 203 -227. The stop is mostly  $\beta$ .

Εχχ. ἀρβύλη / ἄρμυλα (n. pl.), βάρβιτος / βάρμιτος, βυρρός / μύρσος, κυβερνήτης / κυμερνήτης, κύμινδις / κύβινδις, λυκάβας / λυκάμας, μάσκη / βάσκα, μύσταξ / βύσταξ, σκόλυμος / σκόλυβος, στἷμις / στἷβις, φάρμακον / φόρβαντα; ἀπήνη / ἀμανάν (acc. sg.), σπάρος / σμαρίς, σφάραγος / σμάραγος.

Labial stop / F. Fur. 228-242.

Exx. τέθηπα, θάπος / θα $\tilde{v}$ μα, κόβαλος / καυαλός, κασσαβάς / κασαύρα, κράμβος / κρα $\tilde{v}$ ρος, λέπας / λ $\tilde{\alpha}$ ας(?), σίβδα / σίδη.

The variation  $\mu/F$ . Fur. 242-247. A difficulty here is, of course, that Greek mostly did not preserve a F, so

that we often just find zero and the F must be reconstructed, which gives uncertainties. - In this case we must perhaps reckon with a development  $\psi > b$  (as in  $\mu \delta \lambda \nu \beta \delta \sigma \sigma$ , Myc. moriwodo).

Exx. βασυμνι-άτης / βασυν-ίας, κρίμνον / κρίνον, μέδιμνος / FΕδιμνος, σίγυμνος / σίγ $\bar{\nu}$ 0νος (σίγυνος). The evidence comprises 8 or 9 words in -μνος. It is found six times word initially: μ $\bar{\eta}$ 1νον /  $\bar{\eta}$ 1νον, μονθυλεύω /  $\bar{\nu}$ 0νθυλεύω; note μέροψ /  $\bar{\nu}$ 6 κύροψ), where the latter forms could continue \* $\bar{\nu}$ 6- $\bar{\nu}$ 7 εροψ. Note further κύαμος / κύμηχα < \*κυ $\bar{\nu}$ 7 - κυμ-ηκ-. A few other instances are less clear.

### 5.5 Stops varying with $\sigma(\sigma)$ or stop + $\sigma$ , $\tau$

This kind of variation is quite complicated. I distinguished no less than 11 (or 16) different types. They may be presented as follows (C = consonant):

	a. labials	b. velars
1. C/Ct	$\pi/\pi\tau$	$\kappa/\kappa\tau$
2. C/Cs	$\pi/\psi$	
3. C/sC	$(\pi/\sigma\pi)$	$\kappa/\sigma\kappa$
4. Ct/Cs	$\pi\tau/\psi$	$\kappa \tau / \xi$
5. Ct/sC		$\kappa\tau/\sigma\kappa$
6. Cs/sC	$(\psi/\sigma\pi)$	$(\xi/\sigma\kappa)$
7. Cs/ss		$\xi/\sigma\sigma$
8. sC/ss		$\sigma\kappa/\sigma\sigma$
8c. C/ss		κ/σσ
	dentals	
9. t/ss	$\tau/\sigma\sigma$	
10. t/st	$\tau/\sigma\tau$	
11. ss/st	σσ/στ	

Interpretation. We are not concerned with stops simply extended with an s or t, as this would (mostly) not have led to variants; also extension with an s or a t, in further identical forms, would be linguistically quite strange. Also the fact that mostly exactly an s or a t is involved is a fact that must be explained.

The most complicated instance is 5., showing  $\kappa\tau/\sigma\kappa$ . As one might expect, the most complicated phenomenon gives the most information and can be best solved. One expects in this case a cluster with k, i.e. a consonant before or after the k; one of the two resulting clusters will then have had metathesis. As Greek had metathesis  $\tau\kappa > \kappa\tau$  (and no metathesis of  $\sigma\kappa$  or  $\xi$ ), we may assume that this worked here too. Thus we reconstruct for an earlier stage an interchange  $\sigma\kappa/\tau\kappa$ . And this interchange can be easily explained by assuming a consonant, probably unknown to Greek, which resulted either in  $\sigma$  or  $\tau$ . This is of course a dental affricate, i.e. a sound like [f]. For convenience I shall note it as c (without a diacritic). May be it was a c, but as long as we have not shown that there was a distinction between c and c, we can disregard this. E.g.  $d\mu\nu\sigma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha$  /  $d\mu\nu\gamma\delta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta$  will have been \*amucgala\*, represented in the first instance as \*amusgala\* or \*amudgala\*, the latter giving \*amugdala\*. Thus Asklepios was called ' $A(\iota)\sigma\kappa\lambda\alpha\pi\iota\dot{\epsilon}\zeta$  or ' $A(\iota)\gamma\lambda\alpha\pi\iota\dot{\epsilon}\zeta$ . I think that he name was \*Acklap-, giving \*A(i)sklap- or \*A(i)dglap-; in the latter form metathesis did not operate because \*Agdlap- was not tolerated in Greek. Of course, often we will find only one variant. The strange feature or phoneme may also be dismissed altogether, as in  $\delta\iota\kappa\bar{\epsilon}\nu$  beside  $\delta\iota\sigma\kappa\sigma\zeta$  and  $\delta\iota\kappa\tau\nu\sigma\nu$ .

Now one might suppose that all variants in this group are due to an affricate, but this seems less probable to

me, as consonant clusters are rather rare, and notably as there are no suffixes beginning with a consonant (except n, r etc.). Of course, we may not be able to identify in each case what happened exactly. I will shortly review the 11 (16) types (I call the labials 1a etc., the velars 1b etc.).

1a.  $\pi\tau$  may represent a single phoneme  $p^{y}$ , as we saw in B 1.

Exx. (Fur. 315ff): γνυπ- / γνυπτ- (γνυπετ-), κολύμβαινα / κολύβδαινα, κίβαλος / κίβδης, λύπη / λύπτα; without variants note κρόσσοφθον, σαρρυφθείν. Note that μόλυβος / μόλυβδος continues \*moliwdos.

1b. κτ is most probably explained like 5b, discussed above (so 1b is a part of 5b).

Εχχ. (Fur. 319ff): ἄρακις / ἀράκτην, μογέω / μοχθέω, πελεκάν / σπέλεκτος, ἀκακία / κάκτος.

2a.  $\psi$  may result from \*pc. (It is remarkable that there is no 2b.  $\kappa/\xi$ , as  $\xi$  is unproblematic in Greek.)

Exx. (Fur. 324ff): βίττακος / ψίττακος (σίττακος), δέφω / δέψω(?), κανβίον / κανψής,  $\tilde{\text{πωρος}}$  / ψωρίτης.

3a, b.  $(\pi/\sigma\pi, \kappa/\sigma\kappa)$  Both can come from \* cp, ck.

Exx. (Fur. 292 n. 2): θίσβη / θίβις.

(Fur. 295ff.): βέκος / βέσκεροι, ἴχλα / ἴσκλαι, μάκελλα / μάσκη (βάσκη), μὖκος / μύσκος, φάκελον / φάσκωλος.

4a, b.  $\pi \tau/\psi$  and  $\kappa \tau/\xi$  can continue \*pc, kc and belong together with 2a, b.

Exx. (Fur. 263 A3): διφθέρα / διψάρα, (Fur. 318, 324): χαλυβδικός / Χάλυψος.

(Fur. 263 A3): μόροχθος / μόροξος.

5b was discussed above. Note that it is no surprise that there is no \* $\pi\tau$  (5a.), as there is no regular metathesis \*tp > pt in Greek; from \*tp the t may have been simply lost. [However, a metathesis tp > pt may have operated in  $\pi\tau$ όλις.]

Exx. (Fur. 301 A2): ἀμυσγέλα / ἀμυγδάλη, (Fur. 279, 319): δίσκος / δίκτυ(ον).

6a, b.  $(\psi/\sigma\pi, \xi/\sigma\kappa)$ . Furnée (393) simply considered the interchange as due to metathesis, which is of course possible. \*sp, \*sk can represent \*cp, ck.

Exx. (Fur. 393): ἀσπίνθιον / ἀψίνθιον, ὀσφυς / ψύαι.

Exx. (Fur. 393): ἴσχίον / ἰξῦς, φοῦσκος / φοξός.

7b.  $\xi/\sigma\sigma$ . If  $\xi$  represents \*kc, the k may have disappeared in other cases (which did not give  $\xi$ ) after which \*c became  $\sigma\sigma$ .

Exx. (Fur. 130 n. 59): κριξός / κρισσός, (Fur. 317): σίβδα / ξίμβα; (Fur. 286 n. 72): τραύξανα, τρώξανον / τραύσανον, ὶξάλη / ἰσσέλα, ἰτθέλα). Οὐλίξης / ὁ Οδυσσεύς.

8b.  $\sigma \kappa / \sigma \sigma$  can be explained parallel to 7b: \*  $ck > \sigma \kappa$ , or with loss of the k, \*  $c > \sigma \sigma$ .

Exx. (Fur. 300): ὔρισχος / ὑρίσσος.

I added 8c. for δάλαγχαν = θάλασσα. We have δαλαχ- (with prenasal.) / θαλασσ-, and I suppose that it had a \*  $k^y$  (this is a case where a *cluster* seems improbable).

9.  $\tau/\sigma\sigma$ . This is the well-known element that gave  $\sigma\sigma/\tau\tau$ . Furnée does not dicuss it under that heading, because his system is to dicuss one phoneme ('letter') and its variants; thus he discusses  $\sigma\kappa/\kappa\tau$  under  $\kappa/\kappa\tau$ . Also the situation is different as here we have a distribution among the Greek dialects. This is the only time, I think, where we can attribute the different rendering of these loan words to Greek dialects. But the fact that a foreign element was rendered in different ways is the same as with all other phenomena we discussed. Furnée has the heading (253)  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$  /  $\sigma(\sigma)$ ,  $\zeta$ ; I think it can better be stated as  $\tau$  ( $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ ),  $\tau\tau$  ( $\tau\theta$ ) /  $\sigma$  ( $\zeta$ ),  $\sigma\sigma$ , i.e.  $\tau$  with its usual variants  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ ; or the geminated  $\tau\tau$  (with its expected variant  $\tau\theta$ , which is the Greek form of geminated  $\theta\theta$ ) interchanging with  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma\sigma$ ; if the  $\zeta$  was [sd] it does not fit in well. As to its interpretation, it could represent single \*c, which was rendered  $\tau\tau$  or  $\sigma\sigma$ , or single  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  ( the variant  $\zeta$  would then fit in, but one would also expect a variant  $\sigma\tau$ ). Here again, however, it is

difficult to decide between \* c or \*  $k^{y}$  or \*  $t^{y}$ .

Exx. (Fur. 253ff): κιττός / κισσός, κρότιον / κρόσσοφθον, μύρτίνη / μυρσίνη, τεῦτλον / σεῦτλον, τίλφη / σίλφη, γάδος / γάζας, ἀσμωλεῖν / ἀδμωλὴ.

10.  $\tau/\sigma\tau$  may be from \*ct giving  $\sigma\tau$ , or with loss of the t, \*c >  $\sigma\sigma$ .

Exx. (Fur. 301ff): βαλλωτὴ / βαλλαύστιον [does this point to a  $c^{w}$ ?], μάτρυλλος / μάστρυλλος, μύτις / μύσταξ, πατίλη / παστίλη,

11.  $\sigma\sigma(\tau\tau)/\sigma\tau$  can be \*ct > st, or with loss of the t, \* $c > \sigma\sigma$ .

Exx. (Fur. 304 A1, 329): ψιττάκιον / πιστάκιον.

As we saw it is very difficult in each case to indicate exactly what happened; on the other hand it is clear that with few assumptions probably all variations can be understood.

#### 5.6 Velar/labial/dental: labio-velars

There is limited evidence for variation velar and labial, velar and dental, and between labial and dental; and between all three classes (Fur. 388ff.). We find:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \kappa/\pi, \beta & \kappa/\tau, \ \delta & \pi/\tau \\ \\ \gamma/\beta & \gamma/\delta & \beta/\delta & \gamma/\beta/\delta \\ \\ \chi/\phi & \phi/\theta & \chi/\phi/\theta \end{array}$$

It is remarkable that mostly the variants agree in voice/aspiration (this shows that there is a large degree of regularity in these variations).

Εχχ. κ/π: κλάνιον / πλανίς; γ/β: βράκαλον / ῥόπαλον, γλέπω / βλέπω; χάλις / φαλικρόν.

κ/τ: ἀσκάνδης / ἀστάνδης; γ/δ: γάλατμον / ἀδαλτόμον.

π/τ: βαπαίνει / βαταίνει; β/δ: σάμβαλον / σάνδαλον; φ/θ: γνυφαί / γνύθος.

γ/β/δ: γέφυρα / βέφυρα / δέφυρα;

It is tempting to assume labio-velars in these cases, but some cases may have a different origin  $(\beta \rho \acute{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda o \nu / \acute{\rho} \acute{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda o \nu)$  could be just dissimilation in the first word). On the existence of labio-velars see above on the phonemic system.

# 5.7 Dentals / liquids

There are some instances of variation between dentals (including n) and liquids (l, r), and between liquids. These variations are incidental. We find:

- 1.  $\delta$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\nu/\lambda$ ; 2.  $\delta$ ,  $\nu/\rho$  and also 3.  $\lambda/\rho$
- 1. Exx. (Fur. 387f.). δ/λ: ἄβλαρος / βδαροί (330 v. 27); δάφνη / λάφνη; 'Οδυσσεύς / 'Ολυσσεύς. Cf. Myc. gen. dapu₂ritojo / daburinthojo/ λαβύρινθος; καλάμινθα / Myc. kadamita.

 $[\delta/\lambda]$  and the fact that Mycenaean has signs for la, lc, li etc., which Lejeune explained by assuming a specific, unusual sound d, might point to a dental fricative,  $\lambda$ .

θ/λ: θάπτα / λάττα; ν/λ: νίτρον / λίτρον.

- 2. δ/ρ: σίβδα / ξίμβραι. ν/ρ: βληχνον / βληχρον.
- 3. λ/ρ: ἀζηρίς / ἀζηλὶς, κρίβανος / κλίβανος, κρωμαξ / κλωμαξ.

### 5.8 Simple / geminate

Beside a few isolated cases we find this interchange in v/vv but notably in  $\lambda/\lambda\lambda$ . On  $\tau/\tau\tau$  and  $\sigma/\sigma\sigma$  see above 5.5.

Fur. 386f.

Exx. v/vv: ἄνηθον (τ) / ἄννηθον (τ), τημενίς / τήβεννα. Note the suffix -υνν-.

 $\lambda/\lambda\lambda$ : βαλ( $\lambda$ )ήν, θυλίς / θυλλίς, σπέλεθος / σπέλληξι (dat. pl.), μακέλη / μάκελλα (so this will be -alfa-).

Note γεισ(σ)ον, σάρισσα / σάρισσα.

Note the case of ('Αθὴνη) - 'Ατθίς - 'Αττικός.

#### 5.9 σ-/ zero

We discussed  $\sigma$  / zero before consonant, under 's mobile', above section B 4.

An -s- from Pre-Greek is normally maintained. The only instance where it may have disappeared I know of is (Fur. 241) σύριχος, σύρισσος / ὑριχός (-ίσκος, -ίσχος, -ίσσος). Perhaps Ἑλλάς beside Σελλοί belongs here. Another instance seems ἄπιον, which is cognate with Lat. *pirum* which points to -*pis*-. [Prof. Ruijgh points to  $\Lambda$ ίγυ-εη < \* $\Lambda$ ιγυσ-ες, cf.  $\Lambda$ ιγυστικός.]

# 5.10 K-, T- / zero

There are instances where a velar or a dental may be absent in initial position (Fur. 391, and 131 n. 59). Dentals may also be absent in inlaut.

Εχχ. κ / zero: κάνδαρος / ἄνθραξ, καλινδέομαι / ἀλίνδω, κόγχναι / ὄγχναι, κανθήλιον / ἀνθήλιον.

 $\gamma$  / zero:  $\gamma$ i vvo $\varsigma$  / i vvo $\varsigma$  but this form may be a late notation/development).

As an explanation one could think here of a uvular, q.

 $\tau$  / zero: τάγχουρος / ἄγχουρος, τήγανον / ἤγανον, τίφυον / ἴφυον (with  $\bar{\iota}$ );

δ / zero: δελεδώνη / έλεδώνη (ἐ-).

Loss of the dental in inlaut: νέτωπον / νίωπον, ἰθουλίς / ἴουλίς, ἀσίδαρος / ἀσίαρος. (This is reminiscent of Dutch *neder* > *neer* etc, which was a normal sound law.)

# $5.11 \text{ v-}, \lambda$ -/zero

v- and  $\lambda$ - can also be absent (Fur. 391f):

νάφθα / ἄφθα (ἄ-).

λαιψηρός / αἰψηρός, λαμπήνη / ἀπήνη, λατμενεία / ἀτμήν.

Of a different type is γνάμπτω / κάμπτω

Perhaps it concerns palatal  $n^{V}$ ,  $I^{V}$ , which are pronounced very 'light'.

# 5.12. Metathesis, aspiration shift

There are instances of metathesis. It mostly concerns  $\rho$ , sometimes  $\lambda$ . The consonant changes to the other side of the vowel or the consonant:

κιρσός / κρισσός, κριξός, τέρμινθος / τρέμιθος. Cf. Τερμίλαι / Τρεμίλαι.

ἄρπιξ / ἀπρίξ, κέδροπα / κέρδοπα, νάθραξ / νάρθηξ.

It is mostly unknown what was the original configuration. (In a case like  $\xi \rho \beta \omega \zeta / \epsilon \upsilon \rho \omega \zeta$ , where  $\beta$  may stand for - or continue - F, I would think that the F was anticipated. It may concern an original  $F^w$ .)

The cases of  $\sigma\pi/\psi$  and  $\sigma\kappa/\xi$  are discussed in 5.5. above.

Aspiration shift is sometimes found: θριγκός / τριγχός, ἀθραγένη / ἀνδράχνη. In the case of φάτνη / πάθνη the metathesis seems to have occurred late in the history of Greek (Beekes in Bammeberger-Venneman, Languages in Prehist. Europe).

# 5.13 Secondary phonetic developments

We may assume secondary phonetic developments, either in Greek or perhaps already in the original language. One might consider:

βδ > βρ: βδέλλιον, βρέλλιον (Fur. 308)

 $\beta\delta > \beta\lambda$ : βδαροί, ἄβλαροι. For this case cf. 5.7b  $\delta/\lambda$ .

 $\gamma \delta - > \delta -: \gamma \delta \tilde{\upsilon v} \pi \sigma \zeta, \delta \tilde{\upsilon v} \pi \sigma \zeta$ 

δν > γν: δνόφος, γνόφος

κμ- > μ-: κμέλεθρον / μέλαθρον,

 $\psi$ - >  $\sigma\pi$ -? ψενδυλ-? /  $\sigma\pi$ ονδύλη. See 5. above.

ψ- > σ-: ψέφας / σειφα, ψίττακος / σίττακος; cf. Ψαπφώ, Σαπφώ

#### 5.14 Other variations.

There are a few instances with - isolated - puzzling variations. I mention just one, the word for 'night', where we have ψέφας, κνέφας, δνόφος, ζόφος. I think that in some of these cases the solution may be found in a cluster. E.g. Carian allows an initial cluster kbd. Such clusters would have been simplified in Greek. (In IE we have the parallel of Lat. *pecten*, Gr. κτείς, supposed to continue \*pkt-.) If we assume in our example a cluster \*kdn-, it may have been reduced to kn- or, with loss of the first consonant, to dn-. (The process is of course the same as the reduction  $\gamma\delta$ - >  $\delta$ -, above 5.13.) Such variant simplifications are typical of loan words. In this way we could connect two of the words; but I see no way to connect the other two.

#### 6. Variations: vowels

### 6.1 Single vowels (timbre)

The vowels show many variations. I will discuss them in the following order: first a, then c and o; and within each of these groups first the short vowel, then the diphthongs, then the long vowel (and the long diphthong s, but these hardly occur). Note that a variation x/y is not repeated under y.

#### 1. the vowel $\alpha$ .

1a.  $\alpha/\epsilon$  has 80 occurrences in Furné e's material (347).

Εχχ. ἄγχουσα / ἔγχουσα, ἄρυσος / ἔρυσος, γάλινθοι / γέλινθοι, ζακελτίς / ζεκελτίς, καίατα / καιέτας, κάμπος / κέμπορ, κάχρυς / κέγχρος, σάνδυξ / σενδούκη

1b.  $\alpha$ /o. These vary also very often. Fur. 339 mentions that he found 80 instances.

Εχχ. ἀξουγγία / ὀξύγγιον, ἀρρωδέω / ὀρρωδέω, γράβιον / γοβρίαι, ἠπίαλος / ἠπίολος, κάβαξ / κόβακτρα, καλύβη / κόλυβος, λυκαψός / λύκοψος.

1c. α/αι Fur. 336ff. ἀκραιφνής / ἀκραπνής, ἀσύφηλος / αἰσύφιος, λάγματα / λαίγματα.

1d. α/αυ. Fur. 302 n.37. καναύστρον / κάναστρον, μνάσιον / μναύσιον; ἄλοξ / αὖλαξ.

1e. α/ω κλάδος / κλῶναξ.

1f. αι/ει. Fur. 352 A4; 339 A2. Exx. καιρία / κειρία, κύπαιρος / κύπειρος, λαιαί / λείαι.

1g. αυ/ευ. Fur. 353 A5. λαυκανίη / λευκανίη, πέταυρον / πέτευρον; αὖλαξ / εὐλάκα.

1h. αν/ω, ο. Fur. 301 n. 32. κασαύρα(ς) / κασωρίς, θαῦμα / θῶμα, σαῦσαξ / σώσικες, βαύκαλον / βῶκος, καλαῦροψ / κολλώροβον / κολλόροβον.

1i.  $\bar{\alpha}$ /αι Fur. 338. λήθαργος / λαίθαργος, ληκάω / λαικάζω, πήγανον / φαίκανον.

1j. α/ᾱ: λαδος (λήδιον) / λαδος (λήδιον)

2. the vowel  $\varepsilon$ .

2a.  $\varepsilon/\alpha$  see under  $\alpha$ .

2b. ε/ι. Fur. 355ff. βλίτυξ / βλέτυες, ἐβίσκος / ἰβίσκος, δέπας / Myc. *dipa*, ἔντυβον / ἴντυβος, κελλόν / κίλλιξ, κιλλίβας / κελλίβας, κύτεσος / κύτισος, λέσφος / λίσπος (φ).

2c. ε/ι/υ. Fur. 354 n. 55. κεχράμος / κίχραμος (κιγκράμας) / κύχραμος.

2d. ε/ευ. Fur. 115. ἄργετος / ἄρκευθος

2e. ει/αι see αι.

2f. ει/η. Fur. 339 Α2. κείθιον (χείτιον) / κήθιον, χειραμός / χηραμός.

2g.  $\varepsilon v/\varepsilon$  see  $\varepsilon/\varepsilon v$ .

2h. ευ/αυ see αυ.

2i. ε/η. Fur. 358 n. 42. ἔνυστρον / ἤνυστρον, μέρμερος / μέρμηρα, ψάκελον / βάκηλον, μήδεα / μέδεα (μέζεα); Πηλαγόνες / Πελαγόνες.

2j. η/ι. Fur. 171 n. 114. βλήτον / βλίτον, σκήνος / σκίναρ, ψημύθιον / ψιμύθιον.

3. the vowel o.

3a.  $o/\alpha$  see  $\alpha$ .

3b. ο/ι. Fur. 191 n. 37. ἄκονος / ἄκινος, Ἰβρίκαλοι / ὀβρίκαλα," Ονογλιν / ὄνιγλιν.

3c. ο/υ. Fur. 358ff. ὄλονθος / ὄλυνθος, σκολοβρέω / σκολυβρός, σκύτη / -κόττα, κυδώνιον / κοδώνεα, κυρσέας / κορσίς, πρύτανις / πρότανις, τοπείον / στυππείον.

3d ο/ου: Fur. 359 βρόκος / βροῦκος, κολοτέα / κολούτεα (λυ, λω).

3e. ο/ω. Fur. 279 γνοτέρα / γνωτέρα, κολλώροβον / κολλόροβον, φασίωλος / φασίολος (-ουλος), ἄρυγγες / ὄρυξ -γος; ἀσχοί / ὄσχη.

3f. οι/υ. Fur. 127 χραμαδοίλαι / άχραδαμύλα (άκραμύλα).

3g. οι/ου. Fur. 358 + n. 65. κολουτία / κολοιτία (κολοτέα), ψούδιον / ψοίθης?

3h. ου/υ Fur. 120 n. 29. κτύπος / γδουπέω, κροῦναι / γρῦνός.

3i. ου/ω. Fur. 133 μωκάομαι / μουκήζει, 148 λούπης / λώβηξ, φασίουλος / φασίωλος (-ολος).

3j. ω/η. θρωναξ / ἀνθρήνη.

3k. ω/υ Fur. 302 n. 35 ζώγιος / ζύγγιος, ὕσσωπος / ἱσσύπος, λωβεύω / λυβάζειν.

31. ο/ε. γοργυρα / γεργυρα

4.  $\iota/\upsilon$ . There is some variation between  $\iota$  and  $\upsilon$ . I do not know how to interpret this.

Exx. Fur. 364ff. αἰσυμνάω / αἰσιμνάω, ἀνθρίσκος / ἄνθρυσκον, βίδην / βυδοί, βρικός / βρυκός, ζύγαστρον / σίγιστρον, κινώπετον / κυνοῦπες, κύβεσις / κίβισις, μάρσιππος / μάρυππος.

[Prof. Melchert points out to me that an interchange *i/u* is sometimes found in Anatolian; as in *kiklu/ipa-* 'steel', *išqaru/iḫ*); see his Anat. Hist. Phon. 178.]

5. υ/ε. γυργαθός / γεργαθος

The behaviour of the **diphthongs** may be summarized as follows:

```
\alpha \iota - \epsilon \iota and (vice versa) \epsilon \iota - \alpha \iota
\alpha \upsilon - \epsilon \upsilon, \omega
\epsilon \upsilon - \alpha \upsilon
further \iota - \iota
```

All these variations are perfectly understandable in terms of adaptation from a three-vowel system.

# 6.2. Long/short

I doubt whether Pre-Greek had a distinction of long and short vowels (see B 1). We do find η and ω, but not often, and the latter has several variants. On the other hand, the variations  $\omega$ /o and η/ε are not very frequent (in this case also the difference in timbre may have been important, depending on the Greek dialect). Variation between long en short ι and υ is frequent, especially in suffixes:  $\gamma \dot{\eta} \theta \upsilon \upsilon \upsilon / \gamma \dot{\alpha} \theta \iota \alpha$ , κύβεσις / κίβησις,  $\theta \ddot{\iota} \beta \iota \zeta / \theta \dot{\iota} \beta \iota \zeta$ , κρίμνου / κρῖμνου,  $\theta ρ\tilde{\iota} \nu \alpha \dot{\zeta} / \theta \rho \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta$ ; ψημύθιου / ψιμύθιου, σπουδύλη / σπουδύλιου. Cf. κάραβος / καράμβιος (cf. κηραφίς), φενακίζω / πηνηκίζω 'deceive';  $\Omega \gamma \eta \upsilon (-) / \Omega \gamma \epsilon \upsilon (-)$ . γυστέρα / γυωτέρα.

There is some evidence for short + CC : long + C:  $μ\tilde{\upsilon}$ κος /  $μ\dot{\upsilon}$ σκος;  $Λάρ\overline{\iota}$ σα / Λάρισσα; and see B 1 on -ιξ, -υξ.

# 6.3. Single vowel / diphthong

There are several instances where a diphthong varies with a single vowel. They can be found above (6.1). Most frequent is  $\alpha/\alpha\iota$ . We further find  $\alpha/\alpha\upsilon$ ,  $\epsilon/\epsilon\upsilon$ , and  $\upsilon\upsilon/\upsilon$  and  $\upsilon\iota/\upsilon$ . In two cases we find diphthong / long vowel:  $\alpha\iota/\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\epsilon\iota/\eta$ . Examples were given above.

# 6.4. Rising diphthongs?

Relatively frequent are sequences of a more closed followed by a more open vowel, sequences that are not found in IE. They would be rising diphthongs if they formed one syllable, but in fact we may have to do with two syllables:

```
εα: σεᾶγών (σι-, συ-)

ια: βατιάκη, θίασος, θρίαμβος, σίαλον, φιάλη, φιαρός. Note σιᾶγών (σε-, συ-).

ιυ: ἰυγή

υα: βρυαλίζων, γύαλον (γυε-), κύαμος, πύαλος, πύανον, συαγρίς,

υε: γυέλιον (γύαλον), πύελος (πυα-)

Remarkable is also the sequende -ωυ-:

ωυ: πῶυ(γ)ξ; μωύς?
```

# 6.5. Secondary vowels (or elision)

Sometimes words show a vowel which is absent in near identical forms. It mostly concerns vow els between a stop and a resonant. It is often not clear whether the vowel is secondary, or its absence.

Fur. 378-385. Exx. βραγχια / βαραγχια, σκέρβολος / σκέραφος, κνύζα / (σ)κόνυζα, σκόροδον / σκόρδον, τονθορύζω / τονθρύζω, Αρεπυία / ~ Αρπυία, κνώψ / κινώπετον / κυνοῦπες, Κορύβαντες / Κύρβαντες.

#### C. MORPHOLOGY

# 1. Reduplication

Some forms seem to have reduplication (often we cannot demonstrate that it is reduplication). Most frequent is partial reduplication, where only the first consonant + a vowel is repeated. The vowel is mostly ε or ι. Εχχ. βέβραξ, βέ(μ)βρος, γάγγαμον, γαγγλίον, γαγγραίνα, γίγαρτον, γίγγλυμος, κί-κυβος, σέ-συφ-ος / Σίσυφ-ος (cf. ἀ-σύφ-ηλος); με-μαίκυλον (μι-); νενίηλος; σέσελι(ς); σίσυρα (-υρνα); μεμβράς?; perhaps

κίκυμος, κίχραμος (κε-, κυ-, κιγκ-); δενδρύω. Cf. Κέκροψ; Πεπάρηθος, Τιταρήσιος; here also Λέ-λεγ-ες? With prenasal. τενθρηδ-ών, τενθρήν-η cf. ἀνθρηδών, θρῶναξ. Different vowels in: λάλαμις (cf. λαῖλαψ); κο-κρύς; also γηγῆλιξ?. Reduplication of a syllable in: μόρμορος (μορμυραία), μαρμαρυγη. More difficult are: γέλγις: ἀγλῖς (< \*γε-γλ-: ἀ-γλ-?), κέρκα: ἄκρις (< \*κε-κρ-: ἀ-κρ-?). Also Μεμβλίαρος: Βλίαρος (cf. μεμβράς)?; Μεμβλίς = Μέλος, also Μιμαλλίς.

A completely different type perhaps in ἀμ-άμαξυς, cf. ἀμαξίς; also ἀμαμιθάδες?

### 2. Suffixes

### Introduction

It seems to me that most suffixes have the same structure. They contain a consonant; if this is a stop, it can be prenasalized, i.e.  $\beta - \mu\beta$ ,  $\theta - \nu\theta$ , etc. The stop, of course, has its usual variants,  $\beta/\pi/\phi$  etc., though mostly one of these is dominant. Then the group is preceded by one of the three vowels of the language, i.e.  $\alpha$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\nu$ . In this way we find e.g.  $\alpha\gamma\gamma - \iota\gamma\gamma - \iota\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\alpha\nu\theta - \iota\nu\theta - \iota\nu\theta$  etc.

Probably the character of the consonant can be seen. Thus  $-\alpha v$ - could render  $-an^v$ -, while  $al^v$  seems to have resulted in  $\alpha \lambda \lambda$  (or  $-\epsilon \lambda \lambda$ - with colouring). Thus  $\epsilon \iota \rho$  could come from  $ar^v$ , air becoming cir. A nice confirmation could be  $\alpha \iota \rho$ , if this represents  $ar^w$  (cf.  $\alpha \iota \rho \circ \sigma \chi \alpha \delta \epsilon \varsigma$  beside  $\alpha \iota \rho \circ \sigma \chi \alpha \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ , if this form had  $ar^w$ -). Cf. B 1.

Another type of suffix has σ followed by a dental: κάνασθον (-στρον), λαιστρόν or another stop ἔνθρυσκον, αὐροσχάς, κανναβίσκα; these forms may have been partly adapted to Greek suffixes (-τρον). See below on the suffix -στ-.

A form like  $-\varepsilon v\tau$  is deviating; we do not often find a diphthong before the consonant. Does it stand for \*-aut- from  $-at^w$ ? Cf. -aiy- in  $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha v$ , where we may suspect  $ay^w$  or  $aw^y$  (but it may be part of the root). See on B 1.

Not seldom we find long and short vowel with a suffix (= consonant), e.g.  $i\theta - \bar{i}\theta$ ,  $v\kappa - \bar{v}\kappa$ . The explanation is perhaps be that length was not phonemic. In the case of  $\bar{v}\rho$  one might think again of ur' > uir, though r' is a rare phoneme (like m').

## The Material

The examples are mostly taken from Furnée, to whom I refer for details. Words can also be checked in GED. In brackets variants are given. I added geographical names (GN) from Fick, Vorgr. Ortsnamen (+ more material, w. ref. to pages).

1.  $-\alpha\beta$ - (Fur. 107)

ἀγράκαβος, ἀλ(λ)άβης, ἄραβος, ἀσκάλαβος, ἀττέλε/αβος, κάκκαβος, κάνναβος, κάραβος, κόλλαβος, μέσ(σ)αβον, λατραβός, μάτταβος.

GN Καττάβιος (Rhodes 47), Κάσταβος (Caria)

```
    -αγ-
άρπαγ-; cf. Cha. Form. 397ff.
    -αγγ-
σφάραγγος.
    -αδ-
ἀρασχάδες.
    -αθ-
ἀσπάλαθος, γυργαθός.
    GN΄ Υρνάθιον (Epidauros)
```

6.  $-\alpha \iota - (\iota)$  (See also 6b.)

There are words in  $-\alpha \iota \alpha/-\epsilon(\iota)\alpha$ , like  $\gamma \rho \upsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha/\gamma \rho \upsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \alpha$  (or  $\gamma \rho \upsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \alpha)/\gamma \rho \upsilon \mu \alpha \iota \alpha$  (note the hesitation in the accentuation). Frisk notes "die Bildung hat kein näheres Gegenstück." I suggest that the suffix was -ay-(a), which was pronounced  $[-\ddot{a}y-a, -cy-a]$  (we saw that  $\epsilon \iota$  often varies with  $\alpha \iota$ ); the suffix was identified with Gr.  $\alpha \iota$  or  $\epsilon \iota$  (before vowel), but the -y- could also be lost; in this way the three variant forms can be explained. Further we

have κολοιτέα/κολ(ο)υτέα; κορχυρέα (κορκόδρυα H. is prob. an error); κώδεια/κώδεα [note the short α] (κώδυια/κωδία are not clear to me; but cf. Αμάθυια/Αμάθεια).

I wonder whether this can give the solution for  $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$  ( $\langle \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \rangle / \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\iota} \alpha$ : from \*gaya the *i* was retained or lost (as in -εα above), which gave  $g\bar{a}$ . (The i-less rendering, which gave -aa- > -ā, being as old as the rendering  $\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\iota} \alpha$ ; this means that the  $\bar{a}$  became  $\eta$  Attic, not  $\bar{\alpha}$  as in the result of later contractions Another question that might be solved in this way is Athena's name Aθηναίη. I always found this form, supposedly derived from the adjective, rather strange, as well as the coexistence with Aθήνη (Homer uses both forms). The last form is often explained as a development from the form in -αια, according to an Ionoic-Attic rule  $\alpha \iota > \bar{\alpha}$ ; however, this rule is unclear: "Aucune explication satisfaisante n'a été donné jusqqu'ici de ces faits." Lejeune, *Phonét.* 1972, 247. And it is impossible, as

the rule, supposed to be Ionic-Attic, i.e. post-Mycenaean as Mycenaean already has  $At(h)\bar{a}n\bar{a}$  (potnia) [the interpretation as gen.  $Ath\bar{a}n\bar{a}s$  is to be rejected]. Note that  $\Gamma\bar{\alpha}$  is also already found in Mycenaean (in the Thebes tablets, Ma Ka). [I hesitate to add  $M\bar{\alpha}$  -  $M\bar{\alpha}i\alpha$ , as one generally considers  $M\bar{\alpha}$  as primary.]

I further think that this \*ay-a is the same suffix as -εια which makes feminine names, Αμάλθεια, Πηνελόπεια, Ιφιμέδεια. Ruijgh also assumed that this suffix was Pre-Greek (Etudes \$ 212 [Prof. Ruijgh wrote me however that he abandoned this view.]). (Note that in Myc. *Ipemedeja* the -j- is preserved; cf. Ruijgh, El. Ach. 155 n.3.) - There are of course many place names in -εια: Καδμεία, Καλαύρεια, Κερύνεια, Μίδεια, Σκελερδεία, Λεβάδεια etc.

Often the final was adapted to -αία (with long α) after the dominant type, derived from the adjective in -αῖος (Chantr. Form. 91, type ἀναγκαίη; [Chantr. speaks of "Le suffixe fem. -ίa" but that has a short α]); cf. βρυκταία, διρκαία, σιβαία,

We also find -εία used in nouns: δαυρεία, ζαλεία, κουλυβάτεια, ...

Nouns with -εο- are very rare; we find:  $\gamma \omega \lambda \epsilon \delta \zeta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \delta \zeta$ , κολεόν, νικύλεον, συφεός(?), φωλεός. Further it may be found in  $\Omega \kappa \epsilon \alpha v \delta \zeta < *-kay-an$ - (there was probably no /e/, and the hiatus is also remarkable; note the forms

```
Ωγην, Ωγεν-).
         Beside -αια, εια we may expect -αι-ος/ν; we find it e.g. in δίρκαιον, σπήλαιον, ψιφαΐον; γραψαΐος,
(*σκαραβαιος reconstr. by Fur. 169), ... (See also 6b.)
7. -αι(ε)-o- (Fur. 233 n. 22, 255 n. 32. Partly from -αιεο-; it is often impossible to establish whether a form had a
F or not.) See also 6.
ἀκυλαιον, ἀραιός, βαγαιος, βαλαιόν, δίρκαιον, ἔλαιον (Myc. era√rawo), μάταιος, μεσσαιον, σίραιον;
' Αχαι εός.
GN Αστυπάλαια (58)
8. -αιβ-
GN Περραιβοί (Thess.)
9. -αιθ-
GN Σύμαιθα (Thess.), Περαιθείς (Arc. deme), Κελαιθείς (Thess. deme), Κυναιθείς (Arc. deme)
10. -αιν- (Fur. 171 n. 117)
ἄκαινα/ον, βολίταινα, γάγγραινα, κολύβδαινα (-υμβ-), κορύφαινα, μύραινα, σμύραινα, τρίαινα, φάζαινα.
φάλλαινα, φώκαινα.
11. -αιρ-ο-
κύπαιρος (-ειρον, -ηρις, -ερος).
12. -ακ- (Fur. 158 n. 64)
άβυρτάκη, αὖλαξ, βατιάκη, ἐριθάκη, θρινάκη (θρῖναξ), καυνάκη, θῦλάκη, πιστάκη, φάρμακον.
GN Zάρ\bar{\alpha}ξ, -ηξ (Lac.)
13. -\alpha\lambda(\lambda)-o- (Fur. 254 n. 28)
Εχχ. ἀρύβαλλος, αἰγίθαλ(λ)ος, βύσσαλοι, κόκκαλος, κορύδαλ(λ)ος (-ός), πάρδαλος.
[Prof. Melchert suggests that these words may have been taken from IE Anat. languages, where -alla- is very
productive. I think that it was PrGrAn., as it is frequent in Greek; cf. on τολύπη in the introduction.]
GN Κασταλία (Phoc. source), Φάρσαλος, Στύμφαλος (Arc.),
14. -\alphaμβ- (Fur. 184)
δίθύραμβος, θρίαμβος, ἴαμβος, καράμβας, σήραμβος.
15. -αμν-
σφένδαμνος.
GN Σέδαμνος (Crete),
15. -αμ-ο-
ἄρταμος.
```

```
GN Κίσ(σ)αμος (Kos), Πέργαμον, Κώγαμος (Lydia), Κύαμον (M Kydon.), Ύδραμος (Kydon.)
16. -αν-
GN Ιάρδανος (R Crete, Elis), Απιδανός (R Thess.), Ηριδανός (R), Κάντανος (Crete), Ανδανος (18),
Δρά/κανον (Kos, Ikaria),
17. -\bar{\alpha}\nu-
γεντιανή
18. -ανδ-
ἀσγάνδης.
19. -ανδρ-
γελανδρόν
GN Τήλανδρος (51), Τύμανδ(ρ)ος (Pamph.), Μυίανδ(ρ)ος (53), Φολέγανδρος,
20. -\alpha v\theta/\tau- (Fur. 191 n. 35; 216 n. 71. -\alpha v\tau- unless otherwise stated)
ἀλίβας, ἀσκάνθης (σκάνθας), κιλλίβας (but κελλίβατ-), ὀκρίβας, φάλανθ/τος,
                                                                                    Αβαντες, Μέλανθ/τ-,
Πείρανθ/τ-, "Υανθ/τ-, Γίγαντες, Κορύβαντες.
GN Βαβράντιον (Chios), Αμυκλαντ-, Λήλαντον (Eub.), Ἐρύμανθ/τ- (Arc.)
21. -ανν-
τύραννος.
22. -αξ-
GN Κυρτάρπαξον (Crete), Δανάρπαξον (Crete),
23. -απ-o- (Fur. 235 n. 31)
ἄρναπος, γαυσαπός, μόναπος.
GN Μεσσαπ-ιος (Crete 24)
24. -αρ (Fur. 134 n. 75: mostly neuters)
ἴκταρ, κύδαρ, νέκταρ, σκίναρ, σὖφαρ; ἄφαρ(?); adj. μάκαρ; anim. ὄαρ, δάμαρ (gen. -ρτος; Myc. dama / duma).
25. -αρ- (Fur. 257 n. 36)
ἄσκαρος, βασσάρα, βάσσαρος, γάδαρος, γίγγλαρος, κίσθαρος, κύσσαρος, κύτταρος, λεσχάρα, φάλαρα. Also
GN Απταρα (Crete, Lycia), Πάταρα (Lycia), Πίναρα (lycia), Μέγαρα (75), Αλλαρία (Crete), Κυαρία (Caria)
26. -\alpha\sigma-\alpha/o- (Fur. 157 n. 57)
κάρπασος, κραύγασος, καμασός (κάβαισος), πάγασα.
GN Κύρβασα (Crete), Μέδμασα (Crete), Πήδασα (Mess.), Πύρασος (Thess.), Παγασαί (Thess.)
```

```
27. -ασσ-
GN Ρυτιασσός (Crete), Κρυασσός (Crete), Μυκάλησσός Ταφιασσός (32)
28. -ατ-
GN Καίρατος (Crete), Μίλατος (Crete 27),
29. -αυρ-α/ο-
(ἀ)φαῦρος, φλαῦρος, (ἀ)μαυρός, ἄγλαυρος, κασαύρα (-ας), λάσταυρος, πέταυρον (ευ);
GN Ἐπίδαυρος.
30. -αχ-
βότραχος, κύμβαχος.
31. -γδα
ἄπριγδα (adv.).
32. -γρ- (cf. on -ρ-)
παναγρίς.
33. -εδ-
GN Τένεδος, Λέβεδος. (Λακεδαίμων?)
34. -εζ-α see -ισ-.
35. -ειρ-ο-
αἴγειρος, κύπειρον, σάβειρος (σαπέρδης); Κάβειροι.
36. -ελλ-α/ο- (Cf. 28 -ελ-α/ο-)
ἀκρόσπελλος, βάτελλα, βδέλλα, πάτελλα, πέλλα.
37. -ελ-α/o- (Cf. the foregoing)
Εχχ. ἀμυσγέλα,
                    ἀσφόδελος,
                                  βρίκελος,
                                               δρύψελα, (ἐπι)ζάφελος,
                                                                           θικέλιον, ἴτθέλα,
                                                                                                 κύβελα,
στυφελός (στύφλος), δυσπεμπελος?
38. -εμ-o- (Fur. 151 n. 42)
ιάλεμος (ᾱ), κοάλεμος (ᾱ), π(τ)όλεμος (or ΙΕ?), θελεμὸν.
39. -εμν-o- (Fur. 151 n. 44)
άμφι-κέλεμνον, Καρτεμνίδες.
GN Σέλεμνος (95)
```

```
40. -ενν-α (I wonder whether n^{\nu} could give νν)
τήβεννα. Cf. βλέννος.
Cf. Lat., from Etruscan, (doss-)ennus, Porsenna.
41. -ερ-\alpha
διφθέρα, ἀσκέρα (-ηρα).
GN' Ωλερος (Crete)
42. -ετ-o- (Fur. 115 n. 4)
καιετός, καίπετος, μάσπετον, νέπετος, τηλύγετος?
GN Ευπέτη (Att.), Ταλετόν (Lac.), Ταυγετος,
43. -ευρ- see -αυρ-.
44. -ευτ- (Fur. 173; 181 n. 7)
βασκευταί, κρατευταί.
45. -ηβ-
κύρηβος.
GN Κάνδηβα, Τένδηβα, Τορρηβός all in Lydia.
46. -\eta\theta-
GN Πεπάρηθος, Σεσάρηθος (67), Κικύνηθος (Pagas.), Κάνηθος (M Chalkis), Αἴνηθος (Μ 68), Πάρνης, -ηθ-
(Att.). Cf. -\alpha\theta-.
47. -ηκ-, -ηχ- (Fur. 199; 245 n. 70)
βήρηξ, δάνδηξ, κύβηξ, λώβηξ, νάρθηξ, πήληξ, τράφηξ, φήληξ; κύμηξ / κύμηχα.
48. -ηλ- (Fur. 115 n. 5)
άβρόκηλος, άβύρβηλος, άρβηλος, άσύφηλος, βάκηλος, θάργηλος, κάβηλος, κάπηλος, κίβδηλος, κιχήλα,
νενίηλος, τράχηλος, φάσηλος.
GN Κυδρηλ-ειοι (Kos), 'Υδρηλα (Caria)
49. -ήν (Fur. 172 n. 118)
άδήν, ἀτμήν, ἀτταγήν, αὐχήν (ἄμφην), βαλ(λ)ήν, δοθιήν, ἐσσήν, καμασήν (-ασὸς), κυφήν, σειρήν, σωλήν,
ταγήν, τιβήν.
Θηρήν (Crete ++31, 63), Τροιζήν, 'Αραδήν (Crete)
50. -ην-
γλαβρήνη
```

51. -ηρ

```
σπίνθηρ; Αιγλάηρ?
GN Ποθηρεύς (Crete), Κύθηρα
52. -ηρ- (Fur. 204 n. 10)
άβδηρα, άνδηρα, αιψηρός, άσκάληρον, άσκηρά (-έρα), μύκηρος; ιμβηρις, κύπηρις, λεβηρίς.
53. -η\sigma(\sigma)- Cf. -\alpha\sigma\sigma-.
Μάρπησσα (M Paros), Μυκαλησσός (Boe. +80); 'Αρδηττός (Att.), 'Υμηττός (Att. +85)
54. -ητ- (Fur. 172 n. 118)
άλ(λ)άβης, κάνης, κώνης, λέβης, μάσθλης, μύκης, τάπης (Myc. tepa). Here also: ἄν(ν)ητον (-θον, -σον)?
GN Μάσητα (71), Μύης, -ητος (Caria)
55. -ηττ- see -ησσ-
56. -ηψ-
GN Αἴδηψός (Eub.), Γαληψός (Thrac.)
57. -θος
See Cha. Form. 368; cf. -νθος.
βρένθος, κανθός, σπέλεθος, μίνθος,
58. -θρ-
κύριθρα, μάραθρον; GN Λίβηθρα R.
On -αθρον Fur. 303 n. 39: κάν(ν)αθρον, μέλαθρον, σπάλαθρον. Cf. on -στρ-ον.
59. -ιβ-, -ῑβ-
ἐρυθιβη
60. -ίγ-
μαστίγ-
61. -ιγγ-, -ιγκ-, -ιγχ-
άστλιγγας, βρυτιγγοί, ἔλμιγγος, θρίγγος (-ιγκ-, -ιγχ-), θωμιγξ -γγος, σάλπιγξ.
62. -\bar{\iota}\delta-; cf. -\iota\nu\delta- (Fur. 324 n. 7)
βαλβίς, γελγίς, κρηπίς, σφραγίς
63. -ιδ-να
This will be a combination of two suffixes. Cf. on -v-.
ἀράχιδνα (cf. ἄρακος, -χ-)
```

```
64. -\bar{\iota}\theta-, -\iota\theta- (cf. -\iota\nu\delta-)
ἄγλις -\bar{\iota}\theta-, αἰγιθαλος (short ι), αἰγιθος, ἀσπίθιον, γάλιθοι, κάλιθος.
65. -ικ-; cf. -ιχ- (Fur. 226 n. 102)
κάλικον, κύρνικα, λέ(ί)κρικα, νώρικον, σώσικες
66. -i\lambda-, -\bar{i}\lambda- (indicated)
αἰγίλωψ, μαρίλη, (σ)πατίλη (-ῖλ- = παστίλη
GN Σκανδίλη (Kos)
67. -ιλλ-
ἄργιλλος, ἄμιλλα, ἄριλλα, ἄσιλλα
68. -ιμν- (Fur. 246 n. 71)
μέδιμνος (ί), μέριμνα, σίδριμνον
69. -w-
ἀπόλινον, βάκινον, γοσσύπινον.
GN Μύρινα (Lemn.), Σίκινος (Cycl.), 'Αρπινα (Elis)
70. -īv-
κύμινον, πυτίνη, ρητίνη, σέλινον, φοξίνος, φορίνη; Σαλαμίν-
71. -i\nu\delta-; cf. -i\nu\theta- and -i\delta-, -i\tau-.
κύβινδ-ις, κυμίνδ-αλα, ἄλινδον; cf. μίνδις;
GN Κραυσίνδων (R), Πύρινδος (Caria), Βρυγίνδαρα (Rhod. +46)
72. -ινθ-; cf. -ινδ-.
αἴγινθος, ἀσπίνθιον, καλαμίνθη, λαβύρινθος, λίμινθες, μήρινς
GN Κήρινθος (Eub.), Κόρινθος (+74), Σήρινθος (?)
73. -ισ-
άρπισα (-εζα), κύτισος
GN Λάρισα, Κεδρισός, Κηφισός (-ίσος = -ισσος 25, 61), Τυλισός (Crete)
74. -ιτ-; cf. -ιδ-, -ιθ- (Fur. 163)
βάρβιτος, βόλ(β)ιτον, πόρφιτον
GN Σύβριτα (Crete)
75. -ιχ-; cf. -ικ-
ἄρσιχος
```

```
76. -κν- (Probably a combination of -v- with a preceding sound; see on -v-)
άβαρκνα, δορύκνιον, πισάκνα, φενακνίς, φιδάκνη
77. -μ-ο-
GN Λάτμος (Caria), Πάτμος
78. -v- (Fur. 132 n. 65.) Sometimes a preceding velar becomes aspirated
άράχνη, δαυχνα-, κέρκνος, κύδνος, κυλίχνιον, μόλυχνον, πελίχνη, σαταρνίς, σφάγνος, ψύδνος; Κάβαρνος.
GN Κύθνος (Cycl.)
79. -ξ-
ἄμαξα, ἀτράφαξυς, κοτίξις, κυνίξεις, μοροξός (χθ), σαβάξας (κτ), σόρνιξα
80. -o\pi- (Fur. 107; often there is a variant with -\alpha\beta-)
ἔλ(λ)οψ, καλαυροψ, -πος (-όφις), κόλλοψ, σκάλοψ
GN Κορόπη (Thess.), Κασσιόπη (Corc.), Πανοπεύς (Phoc.)
81. -op- (See also on word end)
άχορα (-υρα), λέπορις
82. -0σσ-α, -0ττ-α
GN Έρμωνοσσα (Chios), "Αδροττα (Lydia), 'Αζιοττ-ηνος (Lydia), Μολσσία?
83. -ουλ-ο-
φασίουλος (-ωλος)
84. -ουρ-
ἴνδουρος, κάβουρος, λιγγούριον (λο-, λυ-), παλίουρος, πάρουρος, πάνδουρα, τάγχουρος, σκίουρος.
GN Λυκόσουρα (Arc., the oldest town of all; +93)
85. -ουσ(σ)-α (Fur. 197 n. 55)
ἄγχουσα (ἔ-), αἴθουσ(σ)α (αἴδωσσα), κάδουσα, νήθουσα; 'Ακίδουσα, "Εμπουσα, Κηλοὖσα (Κήλωσσα Μ
Fur. 197), Μέδουσα.
86. -\pi v- (This may rather be a suffix -v- after a stem)
θεράπνη, ὄμπνη
87. -\pi\tau- (this suffix will have consisted of one phoneme, so p^{\nu}?
μαρυπτόν, πέσσυ(μ)πτον, σάναπτιν
88. -ρ- (Fur. 124 n. 37; 215 n. 62)
βάλαγρος, γήλιγρος, σίγραι, τάρανδρος, χάλανδρον; ' Ιδαγρος (Lyc. idakre?).
```

```
Compare also on -\rho v-, -\rho \gamma- and -\gamma \rho-.
89. -ργ-
λάθαργος (αι, η)
90. -ρδ-
GN Κύαρδα (Caria)
91. -pv- (Fur. 48 n. 126; 215 n. 62)
άκαρνάν (ἀκάρναξ), ἄκορνα, κυβερνάω, λιπερνέω (λιφ-).
We find variants without the -v-:
σίσυρνα : σίσυρα, κυβερνάω : κυμερήναι, σαταρνίδες : σαταρίδες, κίσιρνις : κίσσιρις.
So probably the cluster arose through the addition of the suffix -v-. Note that -rn- is found in Etruscan and already in
Cappadocian; Fur. 48 n. 126. See also on (single) -p-.
GN Φαλάσαρνα (Crete), 'Αλασάρνα (Kos); Λέρνα; 'Αλίκυρνα (Aet.)
92. -σκ-
ύρίσκος (χ, σσ)
93. -\sigma-o- (Fur. 254 n. 27. In several cases this seems not a suffix but the end of a root; cf. -\alpha\sigma-, -\iota\sigma-, -\upsilon\sigma-)
άλσος, κάβαισος (ασ), μύσος, πίσος, μίσος, φάρσος
GN Πρίανσος (Crete), Σ(α)ρανσός (Crete), 'Ιαλυσός (Crete)
94. -σσ-
κύπασσις, κυπάρισσος, σάρισσα
95. -στ-
ἀλάβαστος, θεμιστ- (cf. Myc. temitija / timitija [Ruijgh]), λεπαστή, πλατάνιστος;
GN Κάρυστος, Λύκαστος (Crete), Φαιστός, 'Ογχηστός (R Thess.)
96. -στρον; cf. -θρ-.
άλὰβαστρον, δέπαστρον (λ-), ἔνυστρον (ἤ-), ζύγαστρον, κάναστρον, λαι(σ)τρόν, σίγιστρον
[Prof. Melchert writes me that he thinks that the suffix may be Luwian (-as-tar-ra/i-), as in δέπαστρον, where
δέπας is of Luwian origin; see Melchert in FS Manaster Ramer.]
97. -τ-ο-
ἄσφαλτος, ἄτρακτος, ἄφλαστον
98. -ττ- (see 5.5 on ττ/σσ)
κυριττοί, προκόττα; Φέρεφαττα
99. -υβ-
```

```
ἔντυβον, θόρυβος, ἴντυβος (ουβ), σὶλλυβος, σίττυβος, σκόλυβος (μ), σκολύβρα (-οβ-), χάλυψ; cf. ὀχθοιβός
100. -υγγ-
λάρυγξ, πίσυγγος (ί), φάρυγξ
101. -υδ-
άμύς -δος, κορυδός
102. -υδνα
GN Καλυδνά (Kos)
103. -υθ- with long and/or short u
άγνύς (ῡ), λήκυθος (short v)
104. -υι-α
άγυια, κώδυια; Αρπυια
GN Καδυίη (18, 24), Κινδυία (Crete, also Κινδύη 18, 24)
105. -υκ-
ἄμπυξ, ἴδυξ
GN Νᾶρυξ (Locris), Βαβύκα (Lac.)
106. -ῦκ-
κῆρυξ, -υκος
107. -υλ- (Fur. 205 n. 14)
ἀρβύλη, βατύλη, δάκτυλος, κανθύλη, κοτύλη, κρωβύλη, μιμαίκυλον (με-), σφόνδυλος (σ\pi-) with short υ.
108. -ῦλ-
(σ)κορδύλη; σφονδύλη (σπ-),
GN Καρδαμύλη (Mess.)
109. -υμ-
γέρσυμον, γίγγλυμος
GN Σίδυμα (? 33), Κάρυμαι (Crete), Λώρυμα (Kos)
110. -υμβ-
ἴθυμβος, κόλυμβος
111. -υμν- (Fur. 243 n. 66 on -umn- in Etruscan and Cappadocian)
αἰσυμνάω, βασυμνιάτας (ᾱ), σίγυμνος
```

```
GN 'Ρίτ/θυμνα(Crete), Μάθυμνα (Lesb. +28), Λάρυμνα (Locr.)
112. -υν-. See also on -υνν-.
βόθυνος, σιγύνη (cf. -υνν-), λάγυνος
GN Γόρτυν (Crete)
113. -υνδ-; cf. -υνθ/τ-
Βερεκύνδαι
GN Καμυνδ-ιος (Rhodes), Κάλυνδα (Caria)
114. -υνθ/τ-
βόλυνθον, κολοκύνθη, ὅλυνθος; Βερεκύνθ/ται,
GN ' Αμάρυνθος, Ζάκυνθος(+88), Τίρυνς
115. -υνν-
σίγυννος, Δίκτυννα
See on -ūν-.
116. -υξ-
GN 'Ολόφυξος (Athos)
117. -υπ-
ἵσσυπος (older ὕσσωπος), μάρσυππος, οἰσύπη, τολύπη.
118. -υρ-
άήσυρος, ἄχυρα (-ορα), λαιθυρ-άζειν, μαυκυρόν, ὀνυρ-ίζεται, σατύριον, σίσυρα
GN Έλυρος (Crete). Αἴσυρος (Bith. ++18), Αἴγυρον (+30), Κοίνυρα (Thrac.), Τέγυρα (Boe.), Νίσυρος (Kos)
119. -ῦρ-
άγκυρα, ἀνάγυρος ( ὀνό-), γέργυρα (γόργ-), πίτυρον,
120. -υσ-
ἄρυσος
121. -υτ-
GN Λαγινάπυτον (Crete), Κολλυτός (Crete)
122. -υχ-
βό(σ)τρυχος
GN Μόσυχ-λον (Lemn.)
123. -φθ-
```

```
κροσσόφθον, λάκαφθον, μόλοφθος, νά(σ)καφθον, σαρρυφθείν
124. -φ-o- (on -αφ-o- Cha. Form. 269)
άργέλοφοι (ιλ), μαστροφός (-πός), σέριφος, σέσυφος
125. -ωκ-
GN Κοθωκ-ίδαι (Att. +70)
126. -ωλ-
ἀποφώλιος?, μάγδωλος, φασίωλος (-ουλος, -ολος), φάσκωλος
GN Κίμωλος (Cycl.)
127. -ωμ-
βάρωμος
128. -ων- (Fur. 303 n. 39)
άλκυών, ἤιών, σανδών, σινδών, σχαδών
129. -ωπ-; a variant is -ουπ-
αιγίλωπ-, κύνωψ, κινώπετον (κυνουπες).
GN Εὐρωπός/α (Crete), Κασσώπη (Epir.)
130. -ωρ- (Fur. 211 n. 50)
άχώρ, ἀμάνωρ, βιάτωρ, ἰχώρ (ῖ), λείτωρ
GN Πίλωρος (Chalc. +22), Κησκώρα (Crete), "Ασσωρον (M Samos)
131. -wssa see -ous(s)a
GN Διρφωσσός (Eub.), Πιδωσσός (Caria +26)
132. -ωτ- (Fur. 283 n. 83; 384 n. 132)
ἀσκαλαβώτης, βαλλωτή, -καυδωτόν, κίβωτός, κράμβωτον, μόνωτος, οἰσπώτη
GN Θεσπρωτ-οί
Add. - ivva (Cha. Form. 205),
```

#### 3. Word end

Word end is interesting as some original finals of the Pre-Greek language may have been preserved. Of course, Greek endings must be removed, notably  $-o\zeta$ , -ov. Thus -tov, -vov may often continue original -t, -v. (Cf. Myc. *dunijo* beside *duni*.) The words in  $-v\theta o\zeta$  have almost displaced those in  $-v\theta$  (Tίρυνθ- etc.).

#### 1. in vowel

1a.  $-\alpha$ . A short  $-\alpha$  can in Greek only result from \*- $ya < *-ih_2$ ; in other cases we may have to do with an original, Pre-Greek short -a. (It is often difficult to see whether an  $-\alpha$  is short or long; the material must be further studied.)

Εχχ. ἄβαρκνα, ἀγάννα, ἀγέρδα, ἄδαλτα?, αἴκουδα, ἄβδηρα, ἄκαρα, ἄκορνα, ἀκόστιλα, ἀκτάρα, ἄλαρα, ἀμουχρά, ἄρδα, ἀσταγάνα, ἄφθα, βρούκα, γόδα, γόλα, δάξα, δάρδα, θάπτα, μόδα, ῥόμιξα, σάττα, σόρνιξα, σοῦα, κέδροπα (χ-), etc. Note forms in -υα: ἄρυα,...and -εννα.

1b. -t. IE words (i.e. neuters) in -t are very rare in Greek.

Exx. ζάκτι, κόρι, τάγυρι (ταγύριον), ἀκαρί. We can safely assume, I think, that words in -ιον, -υον originally ended in -ι, -υ.

-ις is also frequent. Note that this situation is only to be expected if the language had only the vowels a, i, u.

1c. -υ. ἀβαρύ, κόνδυ, μῶλυ, ... See the foregoing on -υον.

-υς is also found several times: ἄγδυς, ἄρπυς, ἀτράφαξυς, βίθυν, βλέτυς, ἰλυς (ῖ), -μένδυς, μίμαρκυς, μωύς, πηλαμύς, ῥάπυς.

1d. -ευς. Though the ending may also be an IE heritage, in many words the ending is clearly of Pre -Greek origin. βασιλεύς (Myc. *qasireu*); 'Αχιλ(λ)εύς.

1e. -ω. Γελλώ κοθώ, κόρθω, μοτώ, τἶτώ, ... The suffix makes fem. names in -ώ: Λητω, Σαπφώ. Words in -ως are masculine: ἀχαρνώ(ς), ἥρως; Μίνως, Τάλως.

### 2. -in -ρ

2a. in -αρ

ἄσαρ(ον)?, ἀκχάνταρ, βάκαρ, ἐλίμαρ, κύδαρ, νέκταρ, νῶκαρ, σελίαρ

2b. -ιρ

Exx. [sullip is Lac.  $\leq$  -15]

2c. -oρ

Εχχ. άδιγόρ, άκκόρ, κακκόρ, κέμμορ (μπ), πίσορ.

2d. -υρ

Exx. ψίθυρ, (Dor.) μάρτυρ,

2e. -ωρ

Εχχ. ἄχωρ, ιχώρ, κέλωρ, ψόθωρ

# 3. Several words end (in the nom.) in $-\xi$ or $-\psi$ .

3a.  $-\xi$ , stem in  $-\kappa$ -, is found quite often:

- -αξ: ἄβαξ, ἀκάρναξ, ἄμβαξ, ἀνδράφαξ, ἄνθραξ, βύσταξ, μάλβαξ, σαῦσαξ. ἄναξ has a stem in -κτ-.
- -αξ: φέναξ, ράξ, σφήξ,
- -ηξ: δάνδηξ, βήρηξ,
- -ιξ: ἄδδιξ, ἀνθέριξ, ἀπρίξ, κόλιξ, ἄρπιξ,
- -οξ: βέβροξ, βίρροξ,

```
-ουξ: βρούξ,
```

-υξ: βλίτυξ, γόρτυξ; Πνύξ, Στύξ. Note acc. βάλλεκα; and acc. βρίγκα.

3b. -ψ have:

λάτραψ, λαΐλαψ, άλιψ, κόριψ, αἰγίποψ, κόλλοψ, μέροψ, γύψ, μόνωψ. Monosyll.: χρέμψ.

**4. in -v: (**Kuiper 217)

βαλλήν, καρβάν; Ωγήν. κίνδυν, μόσσυν, ῥώθυν-ες,

# 5. Several words end in $-\alpha \zeta$ ( $\bar{\alpha}$ -stems):

άβάς, ἄβλας, ἄθρας(?), ἀμφίας, ἀσκαλώπας, ἀσκωνδας, ἀτταγᾶς, βαδάς (βατᾶς), βασκᾶς, βύας, καλαβάς, κασᾶς; ᾿ Αθάμας, ᾽ Ασγελατας ( $\bar{\alpha}$ ).

With a stem in -αντ-: ἀλίβας (ντ), λυκάβας (-ντ-) etc.; see on the suffix.

With stem in αδ-: ἀχράς, βουνιάς, πρημνάς; see on the suffix.

### D. THE UNITY OF PRE-GREEK

I think that the material itself shows that we have largely to do with one language, or a group of closely related dialects or languages. Of course, we cannot in every case demonstrate that the words that are non-Greek belong to this same language. And it is a priori probable that there are loanswords from other sources, but the bulk of the known non-Greek words seems to fit the general picture we have of 'Pre-Greek', the Pre-Greek substratum. E.g. κότθυβος / κόσυμβος shows not only the element  $\sigma\sigma/\tau\theta$ , well-known from geographical names, but also the suffix -υβ- with prenasalization. κρόσσιον / κρότιον also shows the  $\sigma\sigma/\tau$ , but κρόσσοφθον has a suffix that is also typical for this language. The word  $\delta\alpha\lambda\alpha\gamma\chi\alpha\nu$  beside  $\theta\alpha\lambda\alpha\sigma\sigma\alpha$  (-ττα) has again the suffix  $\sigma\sigma/\tau\tau$  but also the prenasalization.  $\alpha\sigma\tau\lambda\iota\gamma\xi$  has both the typical (prenasalized) suffix  $-\iota\gamma\gamma$ - and variation  $\alpha/\sigma$ . In  $\mu\eta\rho\iota\nu\theta\sigma\zeta$  /  $\sigma\mu\eta\rho\iota\nu\theta\sigma\zeta$  we have the 'mobile s-' and the well known suffix, while  $\mu\epsilon\rho\mu\iota\zeta$ ,  $-\iota\theta\sigma\zeta$  has the unprenasalized variant, and  $\sigma\mu\eta\rho\iota\gamma\gamma\epsilon\zeta$  has another Pre-Greek suffix. In  $\alpha(\mu)\beta\rho\nu\tau\tau\sigma$  /  $\beta\rho\nu\tau\tau\sigma\zeta$  ( $\beta\rho\nu\sigma\sigma\sigma\zeta$ ) we have prothetic vowel and prenasalalization combined.

Other languages may well have existed in the area. Thus it is not certain that Hieroglyphic Minoan expresses the same language as Linear A. Further, Eteocretan has not yet been connected with other elements and seems isolated.

Another matter is that (non-Indo-European) loan-words from old Europe may have entered Greece cf. Beekes, 125 Jahre Idg., 2000, 21-31. And these may have been adopted already in Pre-Greek, as is suggested by ἐρέβινθος. Still another category are Anatolian (?) loanwords that entered Greek, and sometimes also other IE languages very early, like πέλεκυς.

However, I think that it is methodologically better to start from the assumption that non-Greek words are Pre-Greek; and we have now a set of criteria to confirm this. Only when there is reason to assume that they have a different origin we should accept this possibility.

# E. NON-INDOEUROPEAN

Our knowledge of Indo-European has grown so much, especially in the last thirty years with notably the

growth of the laryngeal theory, that we can in some cases say that an Indo-European reconstruction is impossible. A good example is the word  $\gamma v \dot{\alpha} \theta o \varsigma$ . To explain the -a- of this word we need introduces a 'second laryngeal' ( $h_2$ ). However, a preform \* $gnh_2dh$ - would have given Gr. \* $\gamma v \dot{\alpha} \theta$ - with a long a. One might think the assuming \* $h_2e$  would remedy the problem, but \* $gnh_2edh$ - would give \* $\gamma \alpha v \alpha \theta$ -, so we would have another problem. The conclusion is that no Indo-European proto-form can be reconstructed, and that the word cannot be Indo-European. There is no problem in assuming a Pre-Greek word (though the word has no typical characteristics of Pre-Greek). - Another example is the word  $\kappa \rho \eta \mu v \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}$  overhanging bank', for which a connection with  $\kappa \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma}$  'hang (up)' seemed evident. However, we now know that long vowels cannot be postulated at random, and here it is simply impossible: there is no formation type that would allow a long vowel. The objection is confirmed by the fact that there is no trace of the expected  $\alpha < *h_2$  (as in  $\kappa \rho \epsilon \mu \alpha \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma} = *kremh_2$ ). Positively one can say that features of the landscape are often loanwords from a substratum. The inevitable conclusion is that the word is P re-Greek.

The more we know about Indo-European, the less is possible. As our reconstructions become more and more precise, they have to conform to all the rule we have established by now. This holds for all etymological work: in a way, then, it becomes more difficult. This also regards Pre-Greek, as indicated: for some forms an Indo-European origin is no longer possible.

# F. Geographical names

One question that rises when one adduces geographical names is to how far in the east such names can be adduced. I have simply followed Fick. I noted that his names go as far as Ciclicia. I noted the following sites in Cilicia mentioned by him:

- 9 Κωρύκιον
- 24 Κιδρολλᾶς
- 32 Μαλλός
- 37 Κνώς
- 43 Κάσαι, Σάρος, Κάρπαθος(?)
- 47 Καστάβαλα, Λαέρτη
- 59 Δαλισανδός
- 64 Καλύκαδνος
- 70 Κέσκος
- 72 Σ(ά)ράνσος, Κελένδερις,
- 74 Κύινδα
- 77 Πινδενισσός
- 79 Κασταλία
- 84 " Αδανα